

Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

1. the CoC Application,
2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The FY 2022 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The FY 2022 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It

- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.

- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions

Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

Attachments

Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.

- Attachments must match the questions they are associated with—if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.

- We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: CO-500 - Colorado Balance of State CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: Colorado Coalition for the Homeless

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: Colorado Coalition for the Homeless

1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1B-1.	Inclusive Structure and Participation–Participation in Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Sections VII.B.1.a.(1), VII.B.1.e., VII.B.1.p., and VII.B.1.r.	
	In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2021 to April 30, 2022:	
1.	select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted—including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC's coordinated entry system; or	
2.	select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC's geographic area:	

	Organization/Person	Participated in CoC Meetings	Voted, Including Electing CoC Board Members	Participated in CoC's Coordinated Entry System
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	No
2.	Agencies serving survivors of human trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.	Disability Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
5.	Disability Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
6.	EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
8.	Hospital(s)	Yes	Yes	No
9.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Yes	Yes	Yes
10.	Law Enforcement	Yes	Yes	Yes
11.	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBTQ+) Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
12.	LGBTQ+ Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
13.	Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes
14.	Local Jail(s)	Yes	Yes	No
15.	Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
16.	Mental Illness Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes

17.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes	Yes	Yes
18.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
19.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes	Yes	Yes
20.	Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
21.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
22.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	Yes	Yes
23.	State Domestic Violence Coalition	Yes	Yes	Yes
24.	State Sexual Assault Coalition	No	No	No
25.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
26.	Substance Abuse Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
27.	Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
28.	Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
29.	Domestic Violence Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
30.	Other Victim Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
31.	Youth Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
32.	Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
33.	Youth Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Other: (limit 50 characters)			
34.	Colorado Division of Housing	Yes	Yes	Yes
35.	U.S. Dept. of Veteran Affairs	Yes	Yes	Yes

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(2)	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	communicated a transparent invitation process annually (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to solicit new members to join the CoC;
2.	ensured effective communication with individuals with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats;
3.	invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in the geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities).

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our CoC website has a section inviting new members to join, and specifically invites partners who represent the voice of lived expertise and other marginalized populations. The website includes contact information for board members and staff, as well as meeting information. Members of our Governing Board and regional CoC planning groups invite new members to monthly Governing Board meetings, regional CoC planning meetings (held at least 4 times per year) via CoC and partner websites, email announcements, and social media. We solicit new members on an ongoing basis informally through outreach at existing community meetings and one-on-one outreach to new members.

2. All CoC meetings are conducted in accordance with HUD published best practices, including the "HUD Teams Accessibility Guidance" and "Microsoft Teams Tips for Inclusive Meetings". Our regional CoC planning groups include disability advocates and providers who ensure that meeting participants who have a disability receive all the communication assistance they need, including accessible electronic formats.

3. Our CoC invites organizations and individuals serving culturally specific communities, including Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities in a variety of ways, both formal and informal. Our CoC website has a section inviting new members to join, and specifically invites partners who represent the voice of lived expertise and other marginalized populations. Members of our Governing Board and our regional CoC planning groups invite new organizations and individuals to monthly Governing Board meetings and regional CoC planning meetings (held at least 4 times per year). We solicit new members on an ongoing basis informally through outreach at existing community meetings and one-on-one outreach to new partners. Our Roaring Fork regional planning group and Coordinated Entry (CE) case conferencing includes members who are disability advocates, victim advocates, and agencies who work with immigrants. Our Montezuma planning group and CE design team includes DV provider, LGBTQ+ organizations, and a provider serving people living with disabling conditions. These organizations have increased participation via in-person community outreach events, personal invitations, and virtual meetings.

1B-3.	CoC's Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(3)	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
	1. solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness, or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness;	
	2. communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information; and	
	3. took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our CoC solicits and considers opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness at regularly scheduled CoC Governing Board meetings, CoC committee meetings, and regional planning meetings. Informally, members of the Governing Board and the regional CoC planning groups solicit opinions on an ongoing basis, during community meetings and direct outreach to partners. Our Youth Action Board, including the two youth with lived experience who serve on our Board of Directors, are an important resource for soliciting feedback.

2. Regional CoC planning groups hold periodic public forums and listening sessions to solicit the community's opinions about homelessness. In addition, CoC staff and members attend local meetings and contact state and local government officials within the cities and counties of the CoC geography to provide information and solicit feedback.

All of our meetings and forums are well publicized and announced via e-mail, on the CoC and partner websites, and at other meetings. The CoC Governing Board, CoC committee, and regional planning meetings, and other forums are open to the public and to anyone with an interest in preventing and ending homelessness in the local community.

3. We use information gathered at these meetings and forums to create improvements and new approaches to the homeless crisis response system.. Recently, the CoC convened stakeholders for "Homelessness Legislation & Funding Opportunities 2022" to inform CoC members of new federal, state, and local funding opportunities and to discuss strategies to maximize the impact for persons experiencing homelessness in the Balance of State CoC. This discussion helped inform updates to the CoC Strategic Planning work. Feedback from our Youth Action Board and Rural Collaborative led to the development of an LGBTQ+ toolkit that was distributed to service providers to help address the unique needs of LGBTQ+ individuals who are experiencing homelessness.

1B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(4)	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public:	
	1. that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding;	
	2. about how project applicants must submit their project applications—the process;	
	3. about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and	
	4. how your CoC effectively communicated with individuals with disabilities, including making information accessible in electronic formats.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1,2. On August 6, 2022 an invitation was posted on the CoC website inviting new and existing applicants to attend an informational meeting/Q and A session regarding the FY 2022 NOFO funding opportunity. The informational meeting was held on August 12, 2022 and a meeting recording was made available and a PDF copy of the PowerPoint was shared on the website and via email. Information detailing the process and timeline to apply (new or renewal) was published on the CoC website on August 18, 2022. Also, this information was emailed to the stakeholder distribution list.

3. The CoC outlines the approved project ranking process in the CoC Governance Charter. The relevant section from the charter is posted on the NOFO page of the CoC website. This dedicated NOFO page ensures that the ranking process was communicated transparently and easily accessible for potential applicants.

4. As noted above, the CoC held an informational meeting on August 12, 2022. A meeting recording was made available and a PDF copy of the PowerPoint was shared on the website and via email. A chat function was available during the informational meeting for attendees who preferred to ask questions in an electronic format. The meeting was closed captioned and a transcript was posted after the meeting. All information was communicated electronically via email and postings on the website. CoC staff was also available to answer questions for anyone who requires assistance. In addition to CoC-generated materials, the CoC posted links to HUD e-snaps resources and other HUD resources on the CoC website.

1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1C-1.	Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.	

In the chart below:

1.	select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC's coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or
2.	select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist within your CoC's geographic area.

	Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects	Coordinates with the Planning or Operations of Projects?
1.	Funding Collaboratives	Yes
2.	Head Start Program	Yes
3.	Housing and services programs funded through Local Government	Yes
4.	Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC)	Yes
5.	Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations	Yes
6.	Housing and services programs funded through State Government	Yes
7.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Yes
8.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Yes
9.	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Yes
10.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Yes
11.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes
12.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes
13.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes
14.	Private Foundations	Yes
15.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes
16.	Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
17.	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
	Other:(limit 50 characters)	

18.		
-----	--	--

1C-2.	CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG and ESG-CV funds;
2.	participated in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients;
3.	provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area; and
4.	provided information to Consolidated Plan Jurisdictions within your CoC's geographic area so it could be addressed in Consolidated Plan update.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The “recipient” for all ESG and ESG-CV funds within our CoC jurisdiction is the Colorado Division of Housing (DOH). Managers at the CoC Lead Agency, Colorado Coalition for the Homeless, work directly with managers at DOH to determine ESG and ESG-CV funding allocations to the CoC Lead Agency and to make recommendations to the CoC Governing Board. The CoC Governing Board approves all final funding allocations to the CoC Lead Agency’s ESG and ESG-CV subrecipients. For ESG and ESG-CV homeless prevention and rapid rehousing programs, DOH has contracted a large portion of the funding to the CoC Lead Agency. Under the supervision of the CoC Governing Board, the CoC Lead Agency subgrants the funds to selected non-metro and rural homeless service providers.

2. The CoC Lead Agency works with the CoC Governing Board to establish performance measures for the CoC Lead Agency’s ESG and ESG-CV subrecipients. As part of this work, the CoC Lead Agency provides the CoC Governing Board with the most recent CAPER reports and other information that tracks the performance of the CoC Lead Agency’s ESG and ESG-CV subrecipients. The Colorado Division of Housing conducts periodic monitoring of the CoC Lead Agency’s ESG and ESG-CV programs.

3. The CoC Lead Agency provides PIT count and HIC data annually to the three Consolidated Planning jurisdictions in the CoC’s geographic area: the Colorado Division of Housing, the City of Pueblo, and the City of Grand Junction.

4. The CoC Lead Agency ensures that local homelessness information is communicated and addressed in the Consolidated Plans. Each Consolidated Planning Jurisdiction, the Colorado Division of Housing, the City of Pueblo, and the City of Grand Junction is provided with the most recent HIC and PIT data, CAPER reports, the annual CoC System Performance Measures (SPM) report, and other information that tracks the performance of the ESG and ESG-CV subrecipients.

1C-3.	Ensuring Families are not Separated.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.c.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ensures emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member's self-reported sexual orientation and gender identity:

1.	Conducted mandatory training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.	Yes
2.	Conducted optional training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.	Yes
3.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients.	Yes
4.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC- and ESG-funded facilities within your CoC's geographic area that might be out of compliance and took steps to work directly with those facilities to bring them into compliance.	Yes
5.	Sought assistance from HUD by submitting AAQs or requesting technical assistance to resolve noncompliance of service providers.	Yes
6.	Other. (limit 150 characters)	
	Our regional CoC planning groups have been working with their regional homeless service providers to develop written policies on family separation.	Yes

1C-4.	CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with:

1.	Youth Education Provider	Yes
2.	State Education Agency (SEA)	Yes
3.	Local Education Agency (LEA)	Yes
4.	School Districts	Yes

1C-4a.	Formal Partnerships with Youth Education Providers, SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below the formal partnerships your CoC has with at least one of the entities where you responded yes in question 1C-4.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Centennial and San Luis Valley Boards of Cooperative Education (BOCES) are MOU partners of the Colorado Rural Collaborative, which is the group that collaborates with the CoC on youth Coordinated Entry, youth PIT, and the Youth Action Board. The BOCES McKinney-Vento programs host an annual summit with CoC partners, youth leaders, and others to train on the McKinney-Vento Homeless (Education) Act and develop action steps to leverage cross-system resources and link youth with those resources to reduce youth homelessness. Also, these McKinney-Vento programs leveraged their HUD/CARES funds to allow the use of ESG funds for McKinney-Vento services.

1C-4b.	Informing Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC adopted to inform individuals and families who become homeless of their eligibility for educational services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Our CoC has a policy that requires every homeless service provider to inform households experiencing homelessness about eligibility for educational services and ensure that the children and youth they serve are enrolled in school and are receiving needed services. This policy is implemented through our regional CoC planning groups, some have formal written policies and others informal verbal policies. In general, service providers, case managers, and school district homeless liaisons collaborate to identify homeless families and make sure that all homeless children and youth are enrolled in school and receiving the services they need. School district homeless liaisons participate in local CoC planning meetings, which gives the local CoC planning groups an opportunity to better understand the challenges of serving children and youth who are homeless and enrolled in school. Most of our regional CoC planning groups also devote some of their planning meetings to educating local case managers so that they understand their families' rights to educational services under the McKinney-Vento Act and associated guidance. The CoC Lead Agency recently distributed a McKinney-Vento Act education toolkit to the entire CoC membership (ref. "Know Your Rights Toolkit," National Law Center on Homelessness and Poverty).

Representatives from SEA, CEA and school districts have collaborated and participated in our tri-county regional CoC and Coordinated Entry (CE) case conferences to both provide and receive information and make CE referrals for homeless families and students in the local school districts.

1C-4c.	Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC has written formal agreements or partnerships with the listed providers of early childhood services:

		MOU/MOA	Other Formal Agreement
1.	Birth to 3 years	No	No
2.	Child Care and Development Fund	No	No
3.	Early Childhood Providers	No	No
4.	Early Head Start	Yes	Yes
5.	Federal Home Visiting Program—(including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)	Yes	Yes
6.	Head Start	Yes	Yes

7.	Healthy Start	No	No
8.	Public Pre-K	No	No
9.	Tribal Home Visiting Program	No	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)		
10.		No	No

1C-5.	Addressing Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors—Collaborating with Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC regularly collaborates with organizations who help provide housing and services to survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking to:

1.	update CoC-wide policies; and
2.	ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC collaborates with several organizations to update CoC-wide policies are updated annually. Organizations who provide housing and services to survivors include victim service providers (SHARE Inc, DV shelter and RRH; Advocates Against Domestic Assault, DV shelter and TH), state domestic violence coalition (Violence Free Colorado), and other agencies that serve this population (YWCA).

2. The CoC ensures that all housing and services in the CoC are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors through a) developing processes that meet this standard and including them in written policies and procedures, b) by soliciting feedback in CoC meetings and work groups, c) including stakeholder members with relevant expertise, d) sharing relevant training opportunities related to trauma-informed care, and e) including representatives from agencies listed above to share information and expertise at CoC meetings.

1C-5a.	Annual Training on Safety and Best Practices to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC coordinates to provide training for:

1.	project staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma-informed, victim-centered) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually); and
2.	Coordinated Entry staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma informed care) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually).

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our regional CoC planning groups collaborate with their local victim service providers to provide trainings to all service providers on best practices for serving victims of domestic violence (DV), dating violence, sexual assault and stalking. The training addresses best practices on safety and planning protocols for survivors of DV that is consistent with trauma-informed care and victim-centered services. The frequency of these training events ranges from quarterly to annually by region. Some of our regional CoC planning groups offer one-on-one training to service providers who encounter families and individuals fleeing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking.

2. Our regional CoC planning groups collaborate with their local victim service providers to provide trainings to all coordinated entry (CE) and access point staff on best practices for serving victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking. The training addresses best practices on safety and planning protocols for survivors of domestic violence that is consistent with trauma-informed care and victim-centered services. The frequency of these training events ranges from quarterly to annually by region. Some of our regional CoC planning groups offer one-on-one training to CE staff who conduct assessments and referrals for families and individuals fleeing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking.

For example, our Roaring Fork Tri-County planning group and CE case conferences have members from DV shelters and advocates from the judicial districts who participate and provide information on their programs and refer survivors for housing and other assistance. The Roaring Fork region's planning group and CE lead has completed trauma informed care training as have most of the access point staff who refer and case conference clients. Trauma-Informed Care best practices are regularly discussed in the region's weekly case conference meetings to ensure that what is learned in training is put into regular practice.

1C-5b.	Using De-identified Aggregate Data to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	the de-identified aggregate data source(s) your CoC uses for data on survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking; and	
2.	how your CoC uses the de-identified aggregate data described in element 1 of this question to evaluate how to best meet the specialized needs related to domestic violence and homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. To assess the special needs of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking survivors, our CoC Lead Agency reviews de-identified data from two DV comparable databases. The data for all of our CoC-funded DV providers is entered into the EmpowerDB database. The data from all of our ESG and ESG-CV funded DV providers is entered into the CAFÉ database. Our CoC sought information from advocates knowledgeable about the laws to update our Policies and Procedures and ensure compliance with data privacy issues.

2. Both of these comparable databases are capable of generating HUD-required APR and CAPER reports in CSV format. Our CoC planning groups utilize de-identified aggregate data to inform planning and address housing and service needs for this population.

1C-5c.	Communicating Emergency Transfer Plan to Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC communicates to all individuals and families seeking or receiving CoC Program assistance:	
	1. the emergency transfer plan policies and procedures; and	
	2. the process for individuals and families to request an emergency transfer.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

The current structure for communicating emergency transfer plans is situational and based on individual agency's protocols for addressing urgent client needs. Agencies address these transfers on a case-by-case basis as client need arises. CoC service provider partners assist their clients with transfers and connect them as appropriate to local DV providers, legal assistance, etc. to ensure their safety. In the next year, the CoC will be working with the state DV coalition, DV providers, housing providers, and other stakeholders to implement a CoC-wide emergency transfer policy and process and to train all agencies on its implementation.

1C-5d.	Access to Housing for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures that survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have access to all of the housing and services available within the CoC's geographic area.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC uses well-defined written policies and procedures to ensure that survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have access to all of the housing and services available within the CoC's geographic area. The CoC's Coordinated Entry (CE) procedures clearly state that clients fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence must have access to the full array of coordinated entry programs and services. Clients can access these resources whether they choose to work with a DV provider or another homeless service provider in the community. The CE policies outline a process that provides access to all housing and services in the CoC. The process recognizes the highly sensitive nature of information gathered from survivors, and regardless of where an individual or family in crisis presents for assistance, they can access housing and services tailored to their unique circumstances and needs.

The CoC partnerships with DV shelters, judicial district advocates, human services departments and other partners provide added assurance that clients are connected to CE and ultimately to housing and services.

1C-5e.	Including Safety, Planning, and Confidentiality Protocols in Coordinated Entry to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry includes:	
1.	safety protocols,	
2.	planning protocols, and	
3.	confidentiality protocols.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

Per the CoC Coordinated Entry (CE) policies and procedures, a client fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence (DV) must have access to the full array of CE programs and services. When a homeless or at-risk household is identified for DV services through CE or when a DV service provider identifies a household in need of CE housing resources, that household bypasses the standard CE process. Instead, the service provider completes a modified paper intake form that only includes the minimum information necessary to determine eligibility and prioritization and excluding personally identifying information (e.g.name, DOB, SSN). After an intake is completed, the provider administers a paper CE assessment. The assessment considers the complex physical and emotional safety needs of the household and provides a score. Once the score is recorded/reported to the By Name List maintainer, the assessment is destroyed. The provider conducts all communication about the assessment and any possible placements to maintain client confidentiality. When an appropriate housing resource is identified for the household, the DV Agency and the Housing Provider are responsible for using their own Release of Information to communicate with each other.

Any client who is identified as fleeing or attempting to flee from domestic or intimate partner violence is offered services from a regional DV provider including the assessment. If a household chooses to have a regional DV provider conduct their assessment and/or if the household is determined to be at risk of harm if an assessment is administered at standard CE access points, access point staff refer the household to a regional DV provider with a warm hand-off including a phone call and transportation assistance as appropriate.

1C-6.	Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer+--Anti-Discrimination Policy and Training.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.f.	

	1. Did your CoC implement a written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination?	Yes
	2. Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)?	Yes
	3. Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access in Accordance With an Individual's Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs (Gender Identity Final Rule)?	Yes

1C-6a.	Anti-Discrimination Policy--Updating Policies--Assisting Providers--Evaluating Compliance--Addressing Noncompliance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.f.	

	Describe in the field below:
	1. whether your CoC updates its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy, as necessary, based on stakeholder feedback;
	2. how your CoC assisted providers in developing project-level anti-discrimination policies that are consistent with the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination;
	3. your CoC's process for evaluating compliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies; and
	4. your CoC's process for addressing noncompliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC updates the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy annually based on stakeholder feedback and any updates to federal guidelines around anti-discrimination, fair housing, accessibility, involuntary family separation, and faith-based activities policies.
2. The CoC provides trainings on the anti-discrimination policy and sends updated information and training tools to projects to ensure that project-level policies and procedures align with CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy.
3. The CoC's Coordinated Entry (CE) requires adherence to Housing First and monitors referrals to ensure anti-discrimination policies are not violated. Monitoring safeguards clients from being 'rejected' or turned back without a valid reason (e.g. client choice). In addition, the CoC's written policies have a detailed grievance process for both clients and agency staff to report policy violations.
4. Per the grievance process, clients should address any grievances to their regional CE access point. Issues that cannot be resolved are referred to the Balance of State CE Committee, and if needed to the CoC Governing Board. For providers who wish to file a grievance regarding violation of the anti-discrimination policy, they contact their local CoC Governing Board representatives with a written statement describing the alleged violation and steps they have taken to resolve the issue locally. For either clients or providers, the final decision rests with the CoC Governing Board.

1C-7.	Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area--New Admissions--General/Limited Preference--Moving On Strategy.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

You must upload the PHA Homeless Preference\PHA Moving On Preference attachment(s) to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Enter information in the chart below for the two largest PHAs highlighted in gray on the FY 2021 CoC-PHA Crosswalk Report or the two PHAs your CoC has a working relationship with--if there is only one PHA in your CoC's geographic area, provide information on the one:

Public Housing Agency Name	Enter the Percent of New Admissions into Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Program During FY 2021 who were experiencing homelessness at entry	Does the PHA have a General or Limited Homeless Preference?	Does the PHA have a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g., Moving On?
Colorado Division of Housing	4%	Yes-HCV	Yes
Pueblo Housing Authority	0%	No	No

1C-7a.	Written Policies on Homeless Admission Preferences with PHAs.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	steps your CoC has taken, with the two largest PHAs within your CoC's geographic area or the two PHAs your CoC has working relationships with, to adopt a homeless admission preference—if your CoC only has one PHA within its geographic area, you may respond for the one; or
2.	state that your CoC has not worked with the PHAs in its geographic area to adopt a homeless admission preference.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Colorado Division of Housing has had a homeless admissions preference for many years. Our CoC will continue to discuss this issue with Division of Housing and work closely with them to advocate for additional permanent housing units and other resources for our CoC. The CoC will work with the City of Pueblo Housing Authority, who has included homelessness as a potential preference criteria in it's Admin. Plan, but does not explicitly prioritize households experiencing homelessness. We will work with the PHA to improve and expand its homeless admission preferences and to explore additional strategies, such as adding a Move On preference.

1C-7b.	Moving On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate affordable housing providers in your CoC's jurisdiction that your recipients use to move program participants to other subsidized housing:

1.	Multifamily assisted housing owners	No
2.	PHA	Yes
3.	Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments	No
4.	Local low-income housing programs	Yes
	Other (limit 150 characters)	
5.		

1C-7c.	Include Units from PHA Administered Programs in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

In the chart below, indicate if your CoC includes units from the following PHA programs in your CoC's coordinated entry process?

1.	Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV)	Yes
2.	Family Unification Program (FUP)	No
3.	Housing Choice Voucher (HCV)	Yes
4.	HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH)	Yes
5.	Mainstream Vouchers	No
6.	Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Vouchers	No
7.	Public Housing	No
8.	Other Units from PHAs:	

	No
--	----

1C-7d.	Submitting CoC and PHA Joint Applications for Funding for People Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

1.	Did your CoC coordinate with a PHA(s) to submit a competitive joint application(s) for funding or jointly implement a competitive project serving individuals or families experiencing homelessness (e.g., applications for mainstream vouchers, Family Unification Program (FUP), other programs)?	No
----	---	----

		Program Funding Source
2.	Enter the type of competitive project your CoC coordinated with a PHA(s) to submit a joint application for or jointly implement.	

1C-7e.	Coordinating with PHA(s) to Apply for or Implement HCV Dedicated to Homelessness Including Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV).	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

	Did your CoC coordinate with any PHA to apply for or implement funding provided for Housing Choice Vouchers dedicated to homelessness, including vouchers provided through the American Rescue Plan?	No
--	--	----

1C-7e.1.	List of PHAs with Active MOUs to Administer the Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV) Program.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Does your CoC have an active Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with any PHA to administer the EHV Program?	Yes
--	--	-----

	If you select yes to question 1C-7e.1., you must use the list feature below to enter the name of every PHA your CoC has an active MOU with to administer the Emergency Housing Voucher Program.

PHA
Colorado Division...
Garfield County H...
Housing Authority...

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Colorado Division of Housing

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Garfield County Housing Authority

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Housing Authority of the City of Grand Junction

1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd

1D-1.	Discharge Planning Coordination.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.h.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the systems of care listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs.

1. Foster Care	Yes
2. Health Care	Yes
3. Mental Health Care	Yes
4. Correctional Facilities	Yes

1D-2.	Housing First—Lowering Barriers to Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.	

1.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2022 CoC Program Competition.	7
2.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2022 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach.	7
3.	This number is a calculation of the percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, SSO non-Coordinated Entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.	100%

1D-2a.	Project Evaluation for Housing First Compliance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC evaluates every recipient—that checks Housing First on their Project Application—to determine if they are actually using a Housing First approach;
2.	the list of factors and performance indicators your CoC uses during its evaluation; and
3.	how your CoC regularly evaluates projects outside of the competition to ensure the projects are using a Housing First approach.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC evaluates each recipient that checks Housing First on their project application to ensure adherence to a Housing First approach with check-ins throughout the year and a formal annual monitoring tool and procedure. The CoC Lead conducts monitoring using a tool developed in 2021. In addition to the questions on the monitoring tool, the Coordinated Entry System tracks all referrals and ensures that referrals are not being rejected for reasons that violate Housing First principles.

2. The CoC ensures that agencies are adhering to the Housing First model of offering crisis intervention, rapid access to housing, and follow-up case management and support services. The CoC ensures that project participants are not refused housing due to having little or no income, substance use, criminal background, or history of victimization. This is done via monitoring and via information gathered during the CE process.

3. In addition to monitoring all CoC funded projects, the CoC evaluates all participants in Coordinated Entry via annual monitoring, as described in part 1 above.

1D-3.	Street Outreach–Scope.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.j.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	your CoC's street outreach efforts, including the methods it uses to ensure all persons experiencing unsheltered homelessness are identified and engaged;
2.	whether your CoC's Street Outreach covers 100 percent of the CoC's geographic area;
3.	how often your CoC conducts street outreach; and
4.	how your CoC tailored its street outreach to persons experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our CoC has a number of traditional outreach programs, such as La Gente and La Puente in the San Luis Valley, Axis Mental Health in La Plata County, Outreach Day Center in Mesa County, and Volunteers of America, which has a presence in most counties in the Balance of State. We also have SSVF programs that conduct outreach specifically to homeless veterans. For example, the Western Slope outreach team is an inter-agency collaboration that includes, among others, local government, a veteran coordinator, and a housing navigator. They have partnered with local first responders to accept referrals to outreach. The new outreach program in Roaring Fork/Eagle Valley is focused on preventative health outreach. The outreach worker is an EMT who does outreach to people experiencing homelessness and works to do health education and preventative work with populations to prevent negative health outcomes.

We also have a number of nontraditional outreach programs conducted by numerous churches and other faith-based organizations, law enforcement agencies, and hospitals. Outreach is conducted on the streets, on public lands, at service-based locations such as soup kitchens, and at other locations where persons experiencing homelessness frequent. Various counties in our CoC also have a website that lists outreach events and other resources for homeless persons.

Some of our regional CoC planning groups also organize Project Homeless Connect events, and sponsor public awareness campaigns to make homeless families and individuals aware of the services available in the community. Unsheltered households are referred to the local coordinated entry access point for assessment and prioritization, and are then referred to appropriate housing programs.

2. The outreach covers 100% of the CoC's geographic area.

3. The outreach programs described in (1) above are conducted continuously year-round on a daily basis.

4. In our experience, the persons least likely to request assistance are those that camp on public lands, such as National Forests and BLM lands, and along rivers and streams. Our regional outreach strategy is informed by local knowledge of where to find those camps and how to conduct outreach in those areas safely and effectively. All of the programs described in (1) above conduct outreach to this population. Our coordinated entry system defines street outreach as an "access point" to help us reach people who are least likely to request assistance.

1D-4.	Strategies to Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.k.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate strategies your CoC implemented to ensure homelessness is not criminalized and to reverse existing criminalization policies in your CoC's geographic area:

		Ensure Homelessness is not Criminalized	Reverse Existing Criminalization Policies
1.	Engaged/educated local policymakers	Yes	Yes
2.	Engaged/educated law enforcement	Yes	Yes
3.	Engaged/educated local business leaders	Yes	Yes
4.	Implemented community wide plans	Yes	No
5.	Other:(limit 500 characters)		

1D-5.	Rapid Rehousing–RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC).	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.I.	

		2021	2022
	Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC—only enter bed data for projects that have an inventory type of "Current."	307	468

1D-6.	Mainstream Benefits—CoC Annual Training of Project Staff.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.m.	

Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains program staff annually on the following mainstream benefits available for program participants within your CoC's geographic area:

	Resource	CoC Provides Annual Training?
1.	Food Stamps	Yes
2.	SSI—Supplemental Security Income	Yes
3.	TANF—Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	Yes
4.	Substance Abuse Programs	Yes
5.	Employment Assistance Programs	Yes
6.	Other (limit 150 characters)	

1D-6a.	Information and Training on Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.m	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

1.	systemically provides up-to-date information on mainstream resources available for program participants (e.g., Food Stamps, SSI, TANF, substance abuse programs) within your CoC's geographic area;
2.	works with project staff to collaborate with healthcare organizations, including substance abuse treatment and mental health treatment, to assist program participants with receiving healthcare services; and

- | | |
|----|---|
| 3. | works with projects to promote SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certification of program staff. |
|----|---|

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our CoC-wide and regional CoC planning meetings regularly feature training on the details of mainstream benefit programs for program participants, such as SSI/SSDI, TANF, Food Stamps, Medicaid, etc. Written updates are shared with our email distribution list, as appropriate.
2. Representatives from several substance use treatment and behavioral health treatment providers, Colorado Public Health, area clinics, and hospitals are part of regular CoC meetings and provide updates, offer feedback, and participate in CoC planning activities. Local mental health centers have outreach staff who are included in CoC planning and CE activities.
3. To facilitate better coordination with SOAR, the CoC Balance of State Continuum of Care Coordinator serves on the SOAR Committee for the State. The regional CoC planning groups and most of their local service providers now take advantage of SOAR training through Easter Seals of Colorado (the SOAR state team lead for Colorado). Several CoC partners have SOAR certified case managers, including the Centers of Independence throughout the Balance of State. The Centers for Independence also participate in CoC planning and CE activities.

1D-7.	Increasing Capacity for Non-Congregate Sheltering.	
-------	--	--

NOFO Section VII.B.1.n.

Describe in the field below how your CoC is increasing its capacity to provide non-congregate sheltering.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The regional planning groups across the Balance of State CoC are taking a variety of approaches to increase non-congregate sheltering. In Eagle, Pitkin, and Garfield counties, they utilize hotel and motel vouchers while also exploring the addition of safe parking and safe outdoor spaces. In the San Luis Valley, they utilize hotel vouchers at one hotel as an isolation space for persons with COVID symptoms. In the Western Slope, private funds are being used to provide hotel and motel vouchers. A safe outdoor camping site was established in the City of Delta. In Mesa, they were able to utilize hotels and motels with funding they had during COVID.

Host Homes, a form of shared housing are a key strategy for unaccompanied homeless youth in the CoC due to limited housing stock in small rural and frontier counties. The Host Home model uses available bedrooms in community members' homes, housing youth with supportive adults providing life skills education. Within the CoC, five regions operate a 6-month youth host home program offering tenancy support and housing for youth ages 18-25.

ID-8.	Partnerships with Public Health Agencies—Collaborating to Respond to and Prevent Spread of Infectious Diseases.	
-------	---	--

NOFO Section VII.B.1.o.

	Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively collaborates with state and local public health agencies to:
1.	develop CoC-wide policies and procedures to respond to infectious disease outbreaks; and
2.	prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC Lead Agency has representation on the State Emergency Operations Center (SEOC) State Homeless Task Force led by the Department of Local Affairs' Division of Housing and the Colorado Department of Human Services. The group met bi-weekly during COVID and now meets monthly. The group includes other CoCs, FEMA, CDC, Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE), and other key stakeholders. The CoC Lead Agency shares information with the CoC board, committees, and stakeholders to inform a CoC response to infectious disease outbreaks.

The CoC developed partnerships with the state and local public health agencies in the CoC geographic region and connected relevant partners in each CoC region to facilitate regional planning for a COVID-19 response. This collaboration laid the groundwork to address future infectious disease outbreaks. Policies were developed at the regional level based on need and available resources (e.g. making hotel rooms available for persons who tested positive for COVID-19).

ID-8a.	Collaboration With Public Health Agencies on Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.o.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively equipped providers to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants by:
1.	sharing information related to public health measures and homelessness, and
2.	facilitating communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC Lead Agency has representation on the State Emergency Operations Center (SEOC) State Homeless Task Force led by the Department of Local Affairs' Division of Housing and the Colorado Department of Human Services. The group met bi-weekly during COVID and now meets monthly. The group also includes other Colorado CoCs, FEMA, CDC, Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE), and other key stakeholders. The CoC Lead Agency shares information from this Task Force with the CoC board, committees, and stakeholders. The CoC has invited CDPHE to share information via CoC meetings, email distribution list, and website. The CoC Lead Agency worked with members of the medical team at their organization to develop tailored information to share with partners.

2. In addition, the CoC connected regional planning groups to their local public health officials. The planning groups convened outreach, shelter, and housing providers for informational meetings, and also shared information via email, social media, and websites. The CoC Lead Agency provided tailored guidance from the medical team at their organization to share relevant information related to public health measures and homelessness with CoC partners.

1D-9.	Centralized or Coordinated Entry System–Assessment Process.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.p.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:	
1.	covers 100 percent of your CoC's geographic area;	
2.	uses a standardized assessment process; and	
3.	is updated regularly using feedback received from participating projects and households that participated in coordinated entry.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our coordinated entry system (CE) covers 100% of the CoC's geographic area which includes 54 counties.

2. The CoC uses a standardized assessment which is detailed in written CE policies and procedures. The process includes triage, assessment, and adding households to a By Name List to be prioritized for housing.

3. The CoC Coordinated Entry Committee reviews and updates the policies and procedures annually. In August 2022, the CoC developed a survey tool and distributed to persons who are experiencing homelessness or have experienced homelessness in the past five years to gather feedback to assist with CoC improvements. Participants were asked about a) the process to access housing resources, b) what does and doesn't work in the current system, and c) their preferences and barriers in accessing housing and services. Over the next six months, focus groups will be convened to further engage with the voice of lived expertise to inform CoC improvements. A health foundation grant is providing funding to compensate participants with lived expertise for their time. Three representatives with lived expertise currently sit on the CoC Governing Board (two youth and one adult) and are involved in reviewing and approving CE policy updates.

1D-9a.	Program Participant-Centered Approach to Centralized or Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.p.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:	
1.	reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance in the absence of special outreach;	
2.	prioritizes people most in need of assistance;	
3.	ensures people most in need of assistance receive permanent housing in a timely manner, consistent with their preferences; and	
4.	takes steps to reduce burdens on people using coordinated entry.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our Coordinated Entry (CE) defines street outreach as an access point to help us reach people who are least likely to apply for assistance. We have a number of programs that conduct outreach, as well as non-traditional outreach with hospitals, law enforcement and faith communities. One of the guiding principles of our system is low barrier to entry – as stated in the Coordinated Entry P&P document, "no client will be turned away from services based on income, employment, disability status, substance use or mental health history."

2. Our CE prioritizes people most in need of assistance as follows: a. chronic homeless status; b. assessment score; c. length of time homeless; and d. local tiebreakers. Since the CoC covers 54 counties, we have developed regional hubs for CE who follow the CoC-wide policies, and a local tiebreaker the fourth factor for prioritization (e.g. high utilization of crisis or emergency services, unsheltered status) based on their specific regional need.

3. As stated in the CE policies, all persons who are assessed are added to the by-name list within 48 hours. Client preferences are documented and taken into consideration during case conferencing. Clients may decline any housing referral made to them and maintain their prioritized status.

4. The written CE policies describe a process flow for CE that is designed to reduce burdens on people using CE. In August 2022, the CE committee and HMIS Leads updated the Release of Information so clients only sign one for CE and HMIS. The CE policies detail the responsibility of participating agencies to provide transportation to clients as needed (e.g. bus vouchers) and to have a virtual option (e.g. a phone line) available for clients. In addition, outreach teams serve as an access point for CE. A 'phased assessment' process is used which streamlines the CE process and reduces the burden on persons accessing CE. Each region hosts regular case conferencing and maintains a By Name List to ensure that households are quickly connected to housing. The Statewide HMIS Collaborative has seated a Racial Equity Drivers Work Group to review each step of the CE Process for racial disparities and to recommend policy changes that will further reduce burdens on persons accessing CE. In 2022, the CoC made improvements to their MOU with CE partners, in order to encourage new agencies to join CE. Adding new agencies has increased access points, which reduce client burden to accessing CE.

1D-10.	Promoting Racial Equity in Homelessness—Conducting Assessment.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

1.	Has your CoC conducted a racial disparities assessment in the last 3 years?	Yes
2.	Enter the date your CoC conducted its latest assessment for racial disparities.	04/01/2022

1D-10a.	Process for Analyzing Racial Disparities—Identifying Racial Disparities in Provision or Outcomes of Homeless Assistance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	your CoC's process for analyzing whether any racial disparities are present in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and	
2.	what racial disparities your CoC identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.The CoC provides HMIS data regarding racial disparities in homelessness and housing outcomes for meetings of the CoC Governing Board and CoC committees for discussion and CoC planning. In addition,the CoC PIT report explicitly calls out disparities between the general population and those experiencing homelessness. For the FY2022 CoC ranking and renewal process, the CoC added an unscored component to the project scoring requiring grantees to report on whether they have conducted a racial equity assessment and to indicate what supports and education they would like from the CoC in this area. The component had a narrative section for CoC grantees to reflect on how their agency can play a role in achieving racial equity in homelessness. This element will be scored in future CoC competitions.

2.Per the 2022 Point in Time report, homelessness disproportionately impacts people of color in the Balance of State CoC. Compared to the general population in the CoC region, people surveyed were more likely to identify as Black, African American, or African, multiple races, American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous. This data was utilized to inform a dialogue with CoC members about the need to prioritize racial equity. HMIS data, including data for the Coordinated Entry System (CE), allows for detailed reporting disaggregated by race (e.g. length of time from assessment to housing, whether housing referrals are successful, and program outcomes).

1D-10b.	Strategies to Address Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	
	Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the strategies your CoC is using to address any racial disparities.	

1.	The CoC's board and decisionmaking bodies are representative of the population served in the CoC.	Yes
2.	The CoC has identified steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC.	Yes
3.	The CoC is expanding outreach in geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups.	Yes
4.	The CoC has communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups.	Yes
5.	The CoC is training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness.	Yes
6.	The CoC is establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector.	Yes
7.	The CoC has staff, committees, or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness.	Yes

8.	The CoC is educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national nonprofit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity.	Yes
9.	The CoC reviewed coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	Yes
10.	The CoC is collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system.	Yes
11.	The CoC is conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	Yes
	Other:(limit 500 characters)	
12.		

1D-10c.	Actions Taken to Address Known Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below the steps your CoC and homeless providers have taken to address disparities identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

(1) Our CoC Lead Agency created and distributed a training module titled "Anti-Discrimination Policy, Equal Access and Fair Housing Training;" (2) our regional CoC planning groups required their local homeless providers to attend additional training on improving racial equity in the provision of assistance, and improving outcomes for persons of color; and (3) Our CoC Lead Agency is analyzing data from our HMIS database to inform our next formal racial equity assessment.

In FY2022, the CoC NOFO Committee required applicants requesting CoC funds to respond to several racial equity questions. Responses provided the CoC with an understanding on how applicants and advancing racial equity and how the CoC can support their efforts to improve. The CoC is working in consultation with the CoC Governing Board and committees to develop a work plan for addressing racial equity in the CoC utilizing resources from HUD, USICH, NAEH, and others.

1D-10d.	Tracking Progress on Preventing or Eliminating Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below the measures your CoC has in place to track progress on preventing or eliminating disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

HMIS data, including data for the Coordinated Entry System (CE), allows for detailed reporting disaggregated by race (e.g. length of time from assessment to housing, whether housing referrals are successful, and program outcomes). The CoC uses this data to inform improvements across each step of the CE process to prevent or eliminate disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

In FY2022, the CoC NOFO Committee required applicants requesting CoC funds to submit responses to several racial equity questions. Responses provided the CoC with an understanding on how applicants are advancing racial equity and how the CoC can support their efforts to improve. The CoC is working with agencies to ensure that they are a) conducting organizational racial equity assessments, b) creating a culture that is anti-racist, c) analyzing their program-specific data and outcomes to prevent and eliminate disparities.

Finally, the CoC is working in consultation with the CoC Governing Board and committees to develop a work plan for addressing racial equity in the CoC utilizing resources from HUD, USICH, NAEH, and others.

1D-11.	Involving Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness in Service Delivery and Decisionmaking—CoC's Outreach Efforts.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's outreach efforts (e.g., social media announcements, targeted outreach) to engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decision making processes.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC has three representatives with lived expertise on the CoC Governing Board (two youth and one adult). The requirement for board representation is written into the governance charter. The CoC uses the CoC website and staff and board outreach to invite persons with lived experience. In August 2022, a survey tool was developed and distributed to persons who are experiencing homelessness or have experienced homelessness in the past five years to gather feedback to assist with CoC improvements. Participants were asked about the process to access housing resources, what does and doesn't work in the current system, and their preferences and barriers in accessing housing and services. In addition, focus groups will be convened to further engage with the voice of lived expertise to inform CoC improvements.

1D-11a.	Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Enter in the chart below the number of people with lived experience who currently participate in your CoC under the five categories listed:

	Level of Active Participation	Number of People with Lived Experience Within the Last 7 Years or Current Program Participant	Number of People with Lived Experience Coming from Unsheltered Situations
1.	Included and provide input that is incorporated in the local planning process.	10	10
2.	Review and recommend revisions to local policies addressing homelessness related to coordinated entry, services, and housing.	7	7
3.	Participate on CoC committees, subcommittees, or workgroups.	10	10
4.	Included in the decisionmaking processes related to addressing homelessness.	10	10
5.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's local competition rating factors.	1	1

1D-11b.	Professional Development and Employment Opportunities for Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC or CoC membership organizations provide professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

We have two CoC member organizations who are providing employment opportunities for persons with lived experience of homelessness. The Pueblo Rescue Mission and the City of Pueblo launched a program that promotes employment by employing persons experiencing homelessness to clean up trash and debris in community spaces. La Puente just hired a new live-in property manager for their supportive housing (Over the Rainbow) who is a veteran with lived expertise of homelessness.

1D-11c.	Routinely Gathering Feedback and Addressing Challenges of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

1.	how your CoC routinely gathered feedback from people experiencing homelessness and people who have received assistance through the CoC or ESG program on their experience receiving assistance; and
2.	the steps your CoC has taken to address challenges raised by people with lived experience of homelessness

(limit 2,500 characters)

In August 2022, a survey tool was developed and distributed to persons who are experiencing homelessness or have experienced homelessness in the past five years to gather feedback to assist with CoC improvements. Participants were asked about the process to access housing resources, what does and doesn't work in the current system, and their preferences and barriers in accessing housing and services.

The Colorado Division of Housing, the ESG recipient for the CoC, convened a Lived Experience Advisory Group (LEAG) to provide feedback to inform distribution and implementation of ESG. Their first report will be released at a public webinar on September 21, 2022 and will be further incorporated into CoC planning discussions to address challenges raised by persons with lived experience of homelessness.

YouthMOVE CO the YAB for the BoS developed a LGBT toolkit from a youth perspective and has shared it with partners to assist them in addressing the challenges of LGBTQ+ youth experiencing homelessness.

In addition, over the next six months, focus groups will be convened to further engage with the voice of lived expertise to inform CoC improvements. The CoC currently has three representatives with lived expertise on the CoC Governing Board (two youth and one adult). The requirement for representation is written into the governance charter. The CoC outreaches to persons with lived expertise via the CoC website and targeted outreach by staff and board members.

1D-12.	Increasing Affordable Housing Supply.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.t.	
	Describe in the field below at least 2 steps your CoC has taken in the past 12 months that engage city, county, or state governments that represent your CoC's geographic area regarding the following:	
	1. reforming zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development; and	
	2. reducing regulatory barriers to housing development.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

In 2022, the San Luis Valley Housing Coalition commissioned a Valley-wide housing study with fifteen communities. They are in the final process of completing Action Plans. Priorities of the San Luis Valley Housing Plan include increasing existing inventory with improvements to run-down and abandoned homes and developing on vacant lots, adding new rental housing, and updating zoning rules as needed. A vacant home/vacant land inventory and a mobile home park listing was commissioned for each of the communities. CoC partners are working with local elected and non-elected officials to advocate for zoning changes to support this work. This advocacy work includes meeting with elected/non-elected officials, attending zoning meetings, and submitting comments/information to officials. One such project currently underway is the rehab of a former elementary school into affordable housing.

Other similar projects in the CoC that look to repurpose buildings and land formerly zoned for other uses include the rehab of a 17-unit motel for affordable permanent housing and a 100-unit motel for low income housing. These projects are close to completion.

In September 2022, the CoC Governing Board approved an updated Strategic Plan. Strategy #4 in the plan is to Support Creation of Affordable and Supportive Housing across the BoS CoC. (Rapid Rehousing, Permanent Supportive Housing, other types of Affordable Housing and Supportive Housing interventions that are supported by the community, including people with lived experience.) The BoS works closely with the State of Colorado and local jurisdictions in the 54-county region to educate about the need for additional housing and to advocate for a reduction in barriers to developing additional housing for persons experiencing or at risk of experiencing homelessness.

1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1E-1.	Web Posting of Your CoC's Local Competition Deadline–Advance Public Notice.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.a. and 2.g.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Deadline attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC published the deadline for project applicants to submit their applications to your CoC's local competition.	08/18/2022
--	---	------------

1E-2.	Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. We use the response to this question and the response in Question 1E-2a along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	

You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected project applications during your local competition:

1.	Established total points available for each project application type.	Yes
2.	At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH).	Yes
3.	At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).	Yes
4.	Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services.	No
5.	Used data from comparable databases to score projects submitted by victim service providers.	Yes

1E-2a.	Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition. We use the response to this question and Question 1E-2. along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	

You must upload the Scored Forms for One Project attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Complete the chart below to provide details of your CoC's local competition:

1.	What were the maximum number of points available for the renewal project form(s)?	190
2.	How many renewal projects did your CoC submit?	10
3.	What renewal project type did most applicants use?	Tie

1E-2b.	Addressing Severe Barriers in the Local Project Review and Ranking Process.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.d.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC collected and analyzed data regarding each project that has successfully housed program participants in permanent housing;
2.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing;
3.	how your CoC considered the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing when your CoC ranked and selected projects; and
4.	considerations your CoC gave to projects that provide housing and services to the hardest to serve populations that could result in lower performance levels but are projects your CoC needs in its geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our CoC analyzed the APR data for each project that has successfully housed program participants in permanent housing to review each area of performance.
2. The CoC analyzed HMIS and Coordinated Entry (CE) data to determine how long it takes to house people in permanent housing.
3. Our project review and ranking tools include a criterion that scores whether "100% of program referrals are made through Coordinated Entry." Our system uses an assessment tool to prioritize clients based on greatest need. The assessment includes a number of participant vulnerabilities, including but not limited to: a) physical health; b) history of household crisis such as domestic violence, sexual assault, mental health crisis or suicide risk c) childhood abuse d) criminal history, either as a victim or perpetrator; e) disabilities; and f) chronic homelessness. Documentation of our review and ranking process and a copy of our final scoring tools are attached to this application.
4. All of our HUD-funded projects are required to use CE, which means that all of our HUD-funded projects provide housing and services to the most vulnerable and hardest-to-serve clients in the CoC. This impacts all of our project scores about equally. Documentation of our review and ranking process and a copy of our final review and ranking tools are attached to this application.

1E-3.	Promoting Racial Equity in the Local Competition Review and Ranking Process.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.e.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	how your CoC obtained input and included persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population;	
2.	how the input from persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, affected how your CoC determined the rating factors used to review project applications;	
3.	how your CoC included persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, in the review, selection, and ranking process; and	
4.	how your CoC rated and ranked projects based on the degree to which their project has identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Compared to the general population in the Balance of State CoC, people experiencing homelessness are more likely to be multiracial, Native American or African American. We have recruited and added CoC board members who represent diverse racial and underserved identities to ensure that we have input from populations who are over-represented in the local homelessness population.

2. Our project ranking process did not rank projects based on the degree to which their program participants mirror our homeless population demographics. However, we did have a required non-scored section on racial equity, which will be a scored metric next year. The CoC has looked more critically at equity, specifically racial equity, and identified a need for CoC-wide education and a more thorough understanding of racial disparities through our data and work on our committees, like the CE Committee, Governance and the HMIS Statewide Collaborative's Equity Driver Work Group.

3. The CoC included persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, in the review, selection, and ranking process through our Board representation. They were involved in reviewing and approving the scoring tools and reviewing and approving the final ranking.

4. The CoC did not rank on these factors this year, but we have added racial equity questions to the NOFO process. Also the CoC started examining our Coordinated Entry (CE) data to monitor programs for racial disparities and will look at how to score and rank these factors in the future.

1E-4.	Reallocation—Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.f.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	your CoC's reallocation process, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed;	
2.	whether your CoC identified any projects through this process during your local competition this year;	

3.	whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and
4.	why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our CoC has a written process for reallocation. It states that every year, our CoC will review our housing projects to identify lower performing projects and to assess the feasibility of reallocating those project funds to create new permanent housing projects. This year, our CoC used the project scoring/ranking process and we did not identify any projects that are low performing or less needed.

2. Based on the project scoring/ranking results, we did not identify projects to recommend for reallocation.

3. After careful consideration of each project's history and performance and this year's project scores, we did not recommend any projects as low performing or less needed.

4. Not applicable.

1E-4a.	Reallocation Between FY 2017 and FY 2022.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.f.	

	Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2017 and FY 2022?	No
--	--	----

1E-5.	Projects Rejected/Reduced—Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

1.	Did your CoC reject or reduce any project application(s)?	No
2.	Did your CoC inform applicants why their projects were rejected or reduced?	No
3.	If you selected Yes for element 1 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2022, 06/27/2022, and 06/28/2022, then you must enter 06/28/2022.	

1E-5a.	Projects Accepted—Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Accepted attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2022, 06/27/2022, and 06/28/2022, then you must enter 06/28/2022.	09/14/2022
--	--	------------

1E-5b.	Local Competition Selection Results—Scores for All Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Final Project Scores for All Projects attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Does your attachment include: 1. Applicant Names; 2. Project Names; 3. Project Scores; 4. Project Rank—if accepted; 5. Award amounts; and 6. Projects accepted or rejected status.	Yes
--	--	-----

1E-5c.	1E-5c. Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Web Posting—CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC posted the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC's website or partner's website—which included: 1. the CoC Application; and 2. Priority Listings for Reallocation forms and all New, Renewal, and Replacement Project Listings.	09/26/2022
--	--	------------

1E-5d.	Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on Website.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application has been posted on the CoC's website or partner's website.	09/26/2022
--	---	------------

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2A-1.	HMIS Vendor.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.	Bitfocus
--	--	----------

2A-2.	HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Select from dropdown menu your CoC's HMIS coverage area.	Statewide
--	--	-----------

2A-3.	HIC Data Submission in HDX.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.a.	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2022 HIC data into HDX.	05/06/2022
--	---	------------

2A-4.	Comparable Database for DV Providers–CoC and HMIS Lead Supporting Data Collection and Data Submission by Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.b.	

	In the field below:	
1.	describe actions your CoC and HMIS Lead have taken to ensure DV housing and service providers in your CoC collect data in databases that meet HUD's comparable database requirements; and	
2.	state whether your CoC is compliant with the 2022 HMIS Data Standards.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. All victim service providers in our CoC who receive CoC, ESG and ESG-CV funding use comparable databases that comply with the HUD 2022 HMIS Data Standards. CoC-funded DV agencies use the EmpowerDB database, and ESG-funded and ESG-CV funded DV agencies use the Salesforce CAFÉ database. Our CoC HMIS Lead Agency provides the technical support to help them comply with the HMIS Data Standards.

The database compliance work was developed with the DV Comparable Database Subcommittee of the Colorado Statewide HMIS Collaborative. Members of the committee included CoC and HMIS Lead Agencies, state DV Coalition (Violence Free Colorado), CoC funded DV providers (e.g. Bright Future Foundation), DV advocates and housing providers, (e.g. Advocates Against Domestic Assault), and state government.

2. Yes.

2A-5.	Bed Coverage Rate—Using HIC, HMIS Data—CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.c. and VII.B.7.	

Enter 2022 HIC and HMIS data in the chart below by project type:

Project Type	Total Beds 2022 HIC	Total Beds in HIC Dedicated for DV	Total Beds in HMIS	HMIS Bed Coverage Rate
1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds	862	143	375	52.16%
2. Safe Haven (SH) beds	0	0	0	
3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds	468	25	73	16.48%
4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	468	37	431	100.00%
5. Permanent Supportive Housing	1,063	0	439	41.30%
6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH)	0	0	0	

2A-5a.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates at or Below 84.99 for Any Project Type in Question 2A-5.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.c.	

For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is at or below 84.99 percent in question 2A-5, describe:

1.	steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type; and
2.	how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Our HMIS bed coverage rate is below 85% for emergency shelter, transitional housing and permanent supportive housing.

(1) and (2) For emergency shelter and transitional housing, the low bed coverage rate is due to a large number of providers who are not HUD-funded historically have declined to participate in HMIS. For permanent supportive housing, the low bed coverage rate is caused by a large number of VASH vouchers on our housing inventory chart. The Dept. of Veterans Affairs does not participate in our HMIS system. We convinced some but not all of our regional CoC planning groups to enter their local VASH vouchers into HMIS. Not counting VASH vouchers, our bed coverage rate for permanent supportive housing programs is 100%.

However, over the next 3 months, we will be completing the rollout of our statewide Coordinated Entry system (CE) inside of HMIS (BoS-CE). As more CE-participating agencies begin entering into HMIS directly, HMIS participation will improve greatly. We are targeting the VOA and local housing authorities to continue to improve coverage.

2A-6.	Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.d.	

Did your CoC submit LSA data to HUD in HDX 2.0 by February 15, 2022, 8 p.m. EST?	Yes
--	-----

2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2B-1.	PIT Count Date.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b	

	Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2022 PIT count.	01/25/2022
--	---	------------

2B-2.	PIT Count Data—HDX Submission Date.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2022 PIT count data in HDX.	05/06/2022
--	---	------------

2B-3.	PIT Count—Effectively Counting Youth.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b.	

	Describe in the field below how during the planning process for the 2022 PIT count your CoC:
1.	engaged stakeholders that serve homeless youth;
2.	involved homeless youth in the actual count; and
3.	worked with stakeholders to select locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC engaged members of the Colorado Rural Collaborative for Runaway and Homeless Youth to serve on the PIT committee and assist with planning the 2022 youth count. The Rural Collaborative and Karis, the two federally funded Family and Youth Services Bureau (FYSB) programs in the CoC shared information about the count with their programs and partners to engage them in the 2022 PIT. They provided agencies with a training for the youth count. A regional McKinney Vento lead also shared information about the 2022 PIT with its program and partners to engage them in the 2022 PIT count.

2. The CoC has two youth with lived expertise who serve on the CoC Governing Board. They provide guidance at the CoC Governing Board meeting about issues impacting youth and youth voice, including the PIT.

3. Each local region's PIT plan included identification of where homeless individuals convened including youth experiencing homelessness. Local planning groups were provided with this information to develop specific plans to count youth.

2B-4.	PIT Count–Methodology Change–CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.a and VII.B.7.c.	

	In the field below:
	1. describe any changes your CoC made to your sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2021 and 2022, if applicable;
	2. describe any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2021 and 2022, if applicable; and
	3. describe how the changes affected your CoC's PIT count results; or
	4. state "Not Applicable" if there were no changes or if you did not conduct an unsheltered PIT count in 2022.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC did not make methodological changes to the sheltered PIT count implementation between 2021 and 2022.
2. The CoC did not conduct an unsheltered count in 2022.
3. Not Applicable
4. Not Applicable

2C. System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2C-1.	Reduction in the Number of First Time Homeless--Risk Factors Your CoC Uses.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.b.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe how your CoC determined the risk factors to identify persons experiencing homelessness for the first time;	
2.	describe your CoC's strategies to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our CoC identified risk factors for first-time homelessness based on review and discussion at meetings of the CoC Governing Board/regional CoC planning meetings, prioritization criteria contained in our Coordinated Entry (CE) documents, and the CE assessment tool, and reviewing data with the CoC Data and Performance Committee. We consider a combination of risk factors that depend on household type including but not limited to: loss of jobs/income, mental illness, substance abuse, physical illness, unpaid medical bills, family/household trauma, and DV.

2. Our CoC operates several homeless prevention programs which are funded through HUD, State of Colorado (e.g. Colorado Housing and Finance Authority), and private funding (e.g. United Way, Rocky Mountain Health Foundation). The CoC Lead Agency, Colorado Coalition for the Homeless also publicizes the availability of its homeless prevention programs to our regional CoC planning groups, to the Governing Board, and to homeless service providers to quickly divert households at risk to those programs before they lose their housing. Our CoC has homeless prevention partnerships with service providers, faith-based organizations, victim- service (DV) providers, and mental health providers. Our CoC provides various types of homeless prevention assistance, including working with landlords and property managers on forbearance, assistance with arrears, mediation, and rental assistance and utility assistance. CoC providers offer counseling on diversion/problem-solving strategies with persons at-risk of becoming homeless. Providers have extended their partnership networks to ensure that persons from marginalized communities have access to resources to increase equity within the CoC. For example, one of our service providers, La Puente, recently developed a partnership with the Immigrant Resource Center who had already developed trusting relationships with their clients. Together these organizations were able to serve community members who are at-risk of homelessness due to job loss.

3. The organization responsible for overseeing our CoC's strategy to reduce the number of families and individuals experiencing homelessness for the first time is Colorado Coalition for the Homeless the CoC Lead Agency. The position responsible is the Balance of State Continuum of Care Coordinator. This work is conducted with the feedback, guidance, and support of the Data and Performance Committee.

2C-2.	Length of Time Homeless—CoC's Strategy to Reduce.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.c.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;	
	2. describe how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and	
	3. provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our strategies to reduce the length of time homeless include: (a) making length of time homeless one of our CE prioritization factors (b) requiring adherence to a Housing First model for all CoC and ESG funded projects and educating and encouraging use of a Housing First model for all housing in the CoC; (c) working with our rapid rehousing providers and landlords and property managers on strategies for identifying appropriate housing units more quickly (e.g. landlord education, recruitment, and mitigation funds) (d) developing additional units of permanent supportive housing (we have several more permanent supportive housing projects in the development pipeline that will break ground in the next two years) and (e) ensuring CoC wide outreach connects people to housing and services.

In addition, our CoC is part of a statewide partnership with Colorado and Community Solutions. This Statewide implementation of Built for Zero has led to one county (Fremont County) reaching functional zero for veteran homelessness, with another (Pueblo) on the verge of ending veteran homelessness and then moving their focus to ending chronic homelessness. Three other counties achieved quality data for at least one population, and the other counties are working toward quality data. The local CE planning groups utilize By Name Lists to ensure persons experiencing homelessness are known to them and engaged regularly and moved toward housing opportunities.

2. Our CE uses length of time homeless as a prioritization factor. We use By Name Lists to identify families and individuals with the longest duration of homelessness, and then prioritize and connect them with appropriate housing as quickly as possible. We track the duration of homelessness using data from By Name Lists and other reports generated from our HMIS system and DV comparable databases.

3. The organization responsible for overseeing our CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time that families and individuals remain homeless is Colorado Coalition for the Homeless, the CoC Lead Agency. The position responsible is the Balance of State Continuum of Care Coordinator. This work is conducted with the feedback, guidance, and support of the Data and Performance Committee.

2C-3.	Exits to Permanent Housing Destinations/Retention of Permanent Housing—CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.d.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations;	
	2. describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations; and	
	3. provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and families exit to or retain permanent housing.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our strategies include: (a) working with shelter and outreach providers to implement a 'housing focused' strategy aimed to move people quickly into permanent housing (b) working with our rapid rehousing programs to ensure that households are moved quickly into housing and provided the level of support needed (c) creating more units of permanent supportive housing and other housing (d) via CE and work with Community Solutions: Built for Zero maintaining By Name Lists to ensure that persons experiencing homelessness are known and that we are working to quickly move them to housing, and (e) ensuring that households not prioritized via CE are connected to other housing in the community.

As an example of efforts to increase housing availability, the San Luis Valley Housing Coalition recently commissioned a Valley-wide housing study with 15 communities. They are in the final process of completing Action Plans. Priorities of the San Luis Valley Housing Plan include increasing existing inventory with improvements to run-down and abandoned homes and development on vacant lots, adding new rental housing, and updating zoning rules as needed.

2. Our strategy includes: (a) working to resolve barriers to housing stability by providing the appropriate level of services (e.g. health care, mental health care, substance use treatment, employment services, budgeting and life skills classes, legal assistance) (b) working with households in RRH to ensure that they are provided the correct level of assistance to exit to permanent housing including transfer to a PSH program when appropriate; and (c) providing landlord education, mediation, and mitigation funding to ensure that households remain housed.

3. The organization responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and families exit or retain permanent housing is Colorado Coalition for the Homeless (CCH), the CoC Lead Agency. The position responsible is the Balance of State Continuum of Care Coordinator. This work is conducted with the feedback, guidance, and support of the Data and Performance Committee.

2C-4.	Returns to Homelessness—CoC's Strategy to Reduce Rate.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.e.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness;	
2.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate of additional returns to homelessness; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our CoC identifies risk factors for returns to homelessness with review, analysis, and discussion of data from HMIS and our DV comparable database at meetings of the CoC Governing Board, regional CoC planning groups, and the Data and Performance Committee. We utilize this data to identify risk factors and assess whether certain programs have higher than average rates of return to homelessness.

2. Our CoC uses several effective strategies to minimize returns to homelessness: a) Case managers are trained to monitor formerly homeless households to recognize and address these risk factors for returns to homelessness with an appropriate mix of follow-up services. Training includes helping households identify and take advantage of other sources of support in the local community, such as employment opportunities, life skills classes, budgeting classes, parenting classes, and free or inexpensive sources of continuing education. Use of local and CoC-wide homeless prevention resources is another strategy to assist households that are at high risk for returning to homelessness. In addition, the CoC provides education, mediation, and mitigation funding to landlords to reduce returns to homelessness.

3. The organization responsible for overseeing our CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness is Colorado Coalition for the Homeless, the CoC Lead Agency. The position responsible is the Balance of State Continuum of Care Coordinator. This work is conducted with the feedback, guidance, and support of the Data and Performance Committee

2C-5.	Increasing Employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.f.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access employment cash sources;	
2.	describe how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness increase their cash income; and	
3.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase income from employment.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our strategies for increasing access to employment and increasing employment income include working with our programs and their case managers to ensure that all clients' case plans have goals for employment and job skills training, including making appointments at the county workforce center, applying for all appropriate jobs, and reviewing these commitments during regularly scheduled case management meetings. Agencies provide employment readiness training to support clients in entering or reentering the job market. Another strategy includes CoC partner organizations providing employment directly. For example, the Pueblo Rescue Mission and the City of Pueblo employ persons experiencing homelessness to clean up trash and debris in community spaces. Several CoC partners work with Work and Gain Education & Employment Skills (WAGEES), a program for persons re-entering from corrections. Our CoC regularly evaluates system performance and program data (APR and CAPER) data from all CoC-funded and ESG-funded programs to analyze performance for increasing clients' incomes from employment.

2. Under the leadership of our regional CoC planning groups, job and resource fairs and "one-stop" shops are regularly held to help connect households experiencing homelessness with mainstream employment organizations and major regional employers.

3. The organization responsible for overseeing our CoC's strategy to increase income from employment is Colorado Coalition for the Homeless the CoC Lead Agency. The position responsible is the Balance of State Continuum of Care Coordinator. This work is conducted with the feedback, guidance, and support of the Data and Performance Committee.

2C-5a.	Increasing Non-employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.f.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe your CoC's strategy to access non-employment cash income; and	
	2. provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. CoC strategies for increasing access to non-employment cash income and increasing non-employment cash income include: a) working with our programs and their case managers to ensure that all clients' case plans have a commitment to applying for all eligible cash benefits within 30 days of program enrollment, b) assisting clients with each step of the application process, and c) following up with clients and county caseworkers to ensure that cash benefits are received. Our CoC encourages all program case managers to attend SOAR training events and advocate for their clients' applications for SSI/SSDI benefits. To facilitate better coordination with SOAR, the Balance of State Continuum of Care Coordinator serves on the SOAR Committee for the State. Our CoC has several attorneys and paralegals who assist our clients with their SSI and SSDI cases. Our CoC regularly evaluates system performance and program-level APR and CAPER data from CoC-funded and ESG-funded programs to analyze performance on increasing clients' non-employment cash income.

2. The organization responsible for overseeing our CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income is Colorado Coalition for the Homeless the CoC Lead Agency. The position responsible is the Balance of State Continuum of Care Coordinator. This work is conducted with the feedback, guidance, and support of the Data and Performance Committee.

3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3A-1.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Housing Resources.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.6.a.	
	You must upload the Housing Leveraging Commitment attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses housing subsidies or subsidized housing units which are not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	No
--	--	----

3A-2.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Healthcare Resources.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.6.b.	
	You must upload the Healthcare Formal Agreements attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	No
--	--	----

3A-3.	Leveraging Housing/Healthcare Resources–List of Projects.	
	NOFO Sections VII.B.6.a. and VII.B.6.b.	

If you selected yes to questions 3A-1. or 3A-2., use the list feature icon to enter information about each project application you intend for HUD to evaluate to determine if they meet the criteria.

Project Name	Project Type	Rank Number	Leverage Type
This list contains no items			

3B. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3B-1.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.s.	

Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project application requesting \$200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction?	No
--	----

3B-2.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.s.	

If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below actions CoC Program-funded project applicants will take to comply with:

1.	Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u); and
2.	HUD's implementing rules at 24 CFR part 75 to provide employment and training opportunities for low- and very-low-income persons, as well as contracting and other economic opportunities for businesses that provide economic opportunities to low- and very-low-income persons.

(limit 2,500 characters)

3C. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3C-1.	Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section VII.C.	

	Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?	No
--	--	----

3C-2.	Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section VII.C.	

You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

If you answered yes to question 3C-1, describe in the field below:

1.	how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and
2.	how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act.

(limit 2,500 characters)

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

4A-1.	New DV Bonus Project Applications.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.	

	Did your CoC submit one or more new project applications for DV Bonus Funding?	No
Applicant Name		
This list contains no items		

4B. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

We have provided the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points:

1.	You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete.
2.	You must upload an attachment for each document listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes'.
3.	We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported—please only use zip files if necessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images. Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube.
4.	Attachments must match the questions they are associated with.
5.	Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process.
6.	If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either. . We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time). . We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment.
7.	After you upload each attachment, use the Download feature to access and check the attachment to ensure it matches the required Document Type and to ensure it contains all pages you intend to include.

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference	No	PHA Homeless Pref...	09/22/2022
1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference	No	PHA Moving On Pre...	09/22/2022
1E-1. Local Competition Deadline	Yes		
1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool	Yes	Local Competition...	09/22/2022
1E-2a. Scored Renewal Project Application	Yes	Scored Renewal Pr...	09/22/2022
1E-5. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced	Yes	Notification of P...	09/22/2022
1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted	Yes	Notification of P...	09/22/2022
1E-5b. Final Project Scores for All Projects	Yes	Final Project Sco...	09/22/2022
1E-5c. Web Posting—CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		
1E-5d. Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		
3A-1a. Housing Leveraging Commitments	No		

3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements	No		
3C-2. Project List for Other Federal Statutes	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: PHA Homeless Preference

Attachment Details

Document Description: PHA Moving On Preference

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Scoring Tool

Attachment Details

Document Description: Scored Renewal Project Application

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Accepted

Attachment Details

Document Description: Final Project Scores for All Projects

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page	Last Updated
1A. CoC Identification	09/15/2022
1B. Inclusive Structure	09/22/2022
1C. Coordination and Engagement	09/22/2022
1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd	09/22/2022
1E. Project Review/Ranking	09/22/2022
2A. HMIS Implementation	09/22/2022
2B. Point-in-Time (PIT) Count	09/22/2022
2C. System Performance	09/22/2022
3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare	09/15/2022
3B. Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs	09/15/2022
3C. Serving Homeless Under Other Federal Statutes	09/15/2022

FY2022 CoC Application	Page 61	09/22/2022
------------------------	---------	------------

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants	09/15/2022
4B. Attachments Screen	Please Complete
Submission Summary	No Input Required



COLORADO

Department of Local Affairs

Division of Housing

HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER (HCV) AND RENTAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS (RAP) ADMINISTRATIVE PLAN



**COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL AFFAIRS
DIVISION OF HOUSING
DIRECTOR – ALISON GEORGE**

EFFECTIVE – MAY 1, 2022

Targeted Funding Programs	Number of Set Aside Vouchers
Project-Based Assistance Program	Up to 20% of ACC + additional 10% to assist certain household types
VASH	1,201
Non Elderly Disabled	1,450
Family Unification Program	277
FSS	98

Regular HCV Funding

Regular HCV funding may be used to assist any eligible family on the waiting list. Families are selected from the waiting list according to the policies provided in Section 4-III.C.

4-III.C. SELECTION METHOD

PHAs must describe the method for selecting applicant families from the waiting list, including the system of admission preferences that DOH will use [24 CFR 982.202(d)].

Local Preferences [24 CFR 982.207; HCV p. 4-16]

PHAs are permitted to establish local preferences, and to give priority to serving families that meet those criteria. HUD specifically authorizes and places restrictions on certain types of local preferences. HUD also permits DOH to establish other local preferences, at its discretion. Any local preferences established must be consistent with DOH plan and the consolidated plan, and must be based on local housing needs and priorities that can be documented by generally accepted data sources.

Waiting List - Order of Selection

DOH has established local preferences, and gives priority to serving families that meet these criteria. Families will be given one preference point for each of the categories below for which they qualify and can verify.

- **1st Preference:**
 - **Households that include someone experiencing homelessness-**
DOH will use the definition for literally homeless.
 - Sleeping in a place not designed for or used as a regular sleeping accommodation, including a car, park, abandoned building, bus or train station, airport, camping ground, etc.
 - Living in a shelter designed to provide temporary living arrangements (including emergency shelter, congregate shelters, transitional housing, hotels and motels paid for by charitable organizations or by government programs)
 - Exiting an institution where they:
 - resided for ≤ 90 days AND



COLORADO

Department of Local Affairs

Division of Housing

HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER (HCV) AND RENTAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS (RAP) ADMINISTRATIVE PLAN



**COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL AFFAIRS
DIVISION OF HOUSING
DIRECTOR – ALISON GEORGE**

EFFECTIVE – MAY 1, 2022

Targeted Funding Programs	Number of Set Aside Vouchers
Project-Based Assistance Program	Up to 20% of ACC + additional 10% to assist certain household types
VASH	1,201
Non Elderly Disabled	1,450
Family Unification Program	277
FSS	98

Regular HCV Funding

Regular HCV funding may be used to assist any eligible family on the waiting list. Families are selected from the waiting list according to the policies provided in Section 4-III.C.

4-III.C. SELECTION METHOD

PHAs must describe the method for selecting applicant families from the waiting list, including the system of admission preferences that DOH will use [24 CFR 982.202(d)].

Local Preferences [24 CFR 982.207; HCV p. 4-16]

PHAs are permitted to establish local preferences, and to give priority to serving families that meet those criteria. HUD specifically authorizes and places restrictions on certain types of local preferences. HUD also permits DOH to establish other local preferences, at its discretion. Any local preferences established must be consistent with DOH plan and the consolidated plan, and must be based on local housing needs and priorities that can be documented by generally accepted data sources.

Waiting List - Order of Selection

DOH has established local preferences, and gives priority to serving families that meet these criteria. Families will be given one preference point for each of the categories below for which they qualify and can verify.

➤ 1st Preference:

○ Households that include someone experiencing homelessness-

DOH will use the definition for literally homeless.

- Sleeping in a place not designed for or used as a regular sleeping accommodation, including a car, park, abandoned building, bus or train station, airport, camping ground, etc.
- Living in a shelter designed to provide temporary living arrangements (including emergency shelter, congregate shelters, transitional housing, hotels and motels paid for by charitable organizations or by government programs)
- Exiting an institution where they:
 - resided for ≤ 90 days AND

- were residing in an emergency shelter or place not meant for human habitation immediately prior to entering the institution
- **Households that include a person who is a person with a disability**
 - "Any person who has a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities; has a record of such impairment; or is regarded as having such an impairment."
- **Households that include victims of domestic violence (currently experiencing domestic violence resulting in a need for housing).**
 - Domestic violence means an act or threatened act of violence upon a person with whom the actor is or has been involved in an intimate relationship. Domestic violence also includes any other crime against a person or against property or any municipal ordinance violation against a person or against property, when used as a method of coercion, control, punishment, intimidation, or revenge directed against a person with whom the actor is or has been involved in an intimate relationship.

Colorado law defines "Intimate relationship" as the following:

- Intimate relationship means a relationship between spouses, former spouses, past or present unmarried couples, or persons who are both the parents of the same child regardless of whether the persons have been married or have lived together at any time.
- **Non-Elderly Disabled households transitioning from nursing homes and other approved institutional settings into independent, community-based living.**
 - Institutional settings include mental health institutes, nursing homes, and institutions for individuals with intellectual and/or developmental disabilities.
- **Current Participants in the following DOH subsidy programs:**
 - Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)
 - Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA)
 - Section 811 Project Rental Assistance (S811 PRA)
 - State Housing Voucher (SHV)
 - Homeless Solutions Program (SHV-HSP)
 - Community Access Team Vouchers (SHV-CATV)
 - Rapid Rehousing (RRH)

2022 Colorado Balance of State Continuum of Care (CO BoS CoC) Renewal Project Ranking Tool - Measures List and Description

Main Measures:	These are the primary ranking measures for the Project Ranking Process.	
Measure:	A.	Percentage of Participants Who Either Stayed in the Program or Exited to Other Permanent Housing.
Description:	This measure is carried over from the previous year and provides information on who either stayed in the program or exited to Other Permanent Housing. This is an objective measure that is part of our System Performance Measure and is a chosen measure because it indicates success in permanent housing placement.	
Measure:	B.	Percentage of Adults who Increased or Maintained Income While in the Program.
Description:	This measure is carried over from the previous years and provides information on adults who increased or maintained income while in the program. This is an objective measure that is part of our System Performance Measures and is utilized as it is an indicator of success once someone exits the program.	
Measure:	C.	Unit Utilization Rate
Description:	This measure is carried over from the previous years and provides information on unit utilization for projects. This measure allows us to see how the project has utilized proposed units over the course of the grant year, broken in to quarters.	
Measure:	D.	Percentage of Adults Exiting with Non-Cash Benefit Sources.
Description:	This measure is carried over from previous years and provides information on adults who exited the program with non-cash benefit sources. These types of benefits can include SNAP benefits, WIC benefits, certain TANF benefits, et al. Non-cash benefit sources provide security to households that are exiting the program.	
Measure:	E.	Percentage of Adults Who Had Cash Income at Program Exit (Including Employment, Disability, etc.);
Description:	This is a new main measure which has functioned as a "tie-breaker" in years past. Similarly to measure B it focuses on income, though this measure looks at cash income at program exit. Cash income at program exit is another indicator of success once someone exits the program as it shows that the individual and family have a level of stability to maintain housing outside of program assistance.	
Measure:	F.	Returns to Homelessness
Description:	This is a new main measure which has functioned as a tie-breaker previously. This is a System Performance Measure that tracks returns to homelessness after working with a program. This provides information to the community about who is struggling in maintaining housing after program assistance and can be utilized to provide additional support for participants.	
Measure:	G.	100% of program referrals made through Coordinated Entry
Description:	We utilize this measure to gauge the program's participation in Coordinated Entry. All CoC and ESG funded programs must funnel all program referrals through their regional Coordinated Entry System. This measure has become more stringent in 2022 to make sure all projects are going through Coordinated Entry.	
Tie-Breakers:	In cases where programs rated through main measures tie, the following "tie-breakers" are used to rank one scored project above another.	
Measure:	H.	Expenditure of Grant Funds
Description:	This measure uses any funds returned to HUD as a measure to break the ties of agencies with similarly scored projects. The returned funds are outlined in an excel spreadsheet generated by the SNAPS office.	
Measure:	I.	Data Quality
Description:	This measure utilizes the data quality section on a projects APR to break any ties that may occur through the main measures. If measure H. does not provide the necessary tie-breaking score needed to rank projects, move on to this measure.	
Unscored Equity Measure:	These Unscored Equity Measure questions will be sent to projects separately from the scoring tools and will not be scored in the 2022 Project Ranking Process, then attached to the scoring tool in final ranking once answered by CoC projects. The intention of the Unscored Equity Measure questions are to continue the understanding and progress of where projects are in terms of equity, with a focus on racial equity and justice. While the measures are not scored, each agency is required to complete the questions in order to be ranked in BoS project ranking. The reasoning behind this is to recognize as a CoC that equity and advancing racial equity is an important part of our process in making homelessness rare, brief and one-time. This has weight in the work we do, and will have weight in future competitions. It also helps to remind us that equity with a focus on racial equity is something BoS CoC programs will continue to work towards.	

2022 Colorado Balance of State Continuum of Care (CO BoS CoC) Renewal Project Ranking Tool

Directions:		
Steps:	1	In order to score the project, choose "RRH or TH Project #1" tab. The "Project #1 Appendix..." tab next to it has the corresponding number to the RRH or TH Project Tab. Save this file with a unique name should you need to score additional RRH projects.
	2	Add the "Project Name" in cell 2C of the tab you're completing.
	3	Score the project as directed in the sheet, utilizing APR's, reports from Regional Coordinated Entry Non-CoC Funded Leads/Partners, and the corresponding spreadsheet sent by the Project Ranking Committee that shows percentage of funds expended.
	4	See the corresponding "Project #1 Appendix (A,B,C, D, E & F Measures)" appendix tab to the project you are scoring. Use the Appendix tab to complete calculations for the measures in the RRH or TH Project tabs. The calculations should automatically populate in the corresponding RRH or TH Project tab.
	5	Calculate the scores to the "Tie Breaker" questions (Located at the bottom of each "RRH or TH Project" Tab) so that the Project Ranking Committee has additional measures to use in case of a tie with another project.
Points of Clarification:	1	A separate workbook should be saved for each project being scored.
	2	The automatic formulas in the "Appendix" should transfer the calculation to the "Project" tabs. You must then choose the corresponding points for the projects from the drop-down menu of points.
	3	The "Total Scores" tab is an easy way to see the project score on one sheet. Mainly it will be used by the Project Ranking Committee.
Files Needed to Score Projects:	1	All Project APR's can be found here: https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1hNRQLKRKIsFduLGB-iUCizlrZwp-zIR?usp=sharing
	2	The Expenditure of Grant Funds Spreadsheet can be found here: https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1xZ5SHghSfR7kEuEftwzG7XeFE_OUnH5ox_AcT7HnMs/edit?usp=sharing
	3	Will communicate with CoC and HMIS Leads for answers to tie-breakers.
	4	Unscored Equity Measure due EOB Friday 9/9/2022 - email shayes@coloradocoalition.org

PSH Project				
Project Name:				
Measure:	A. Percentage of Participants Who Either Stayed in the Program or Exited to Other Permanent Housing.			
Directions:	To calculate the percentage, see Appendix to this scoring tool and reference APR Measures "Q05a", "Q23a" and "Q23b".			
Scoring System:	% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign		
	Less than 79.99% met the criteria	10		
	80% to 84.99% met the criteria	20		
	85% to 89.99% met the criteria	30		
	90% to 94.99% met the criteria	40	If it does not auto-populate from appendix, enter percent from Appendix Here:	0.00%
	95% to 100% met the criteria	50		
	Total Points Assigned for Project (Choose points based on the % in):			
Measure:	B. Percentage of Adults who Increased or Maintained Income While in the Program.			
Directions:	To calculate the percentage, see Appendix to this scoring tool and reference table "Q19a3" of your APR.			
Scoring System:	% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign		
	Less than 19.99% met criteria	5		
	20% to 39.99% met criteria	10		
	40% to 59.99% met criteria	15		
	60% to 79.99% met criteria	20	If it does not auto-populate from appendix, enter percent from Appendix Here:	0.00%
	80% to 100% met criteria	25		
	Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):			
Measure:	C. Unit Utilization Rate			
Directions:	Reference APR Q02, "Utilization Rate - Unit". Note: Utilization rates are calculated by averaging the rates of the four quarters as shown in the Unit Utilization Rate table.			
Scoring System:	% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign		
	Less than 64.99% unit utilization rate	5		
	65% to 74.99% unit utilization rate	10		
	75% to 84.99% unit utilization rate	15		
	85% to 94.99% unit utilization rate	20	If it does not auto-populate from appendix, enter percent from Appendix Here:	0.00%
	95% to 100% unit utilization rate	25		
	Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):			
Measure:	D. Percentage of Adults Exiting with Non-Cash Benefit Sources.			
Directions:	Items to review: APR Measure 20(b). See Appendix for Information on Percentage Calculation.			
Scoring System:	% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign		
	59.99% and less with Non-Cash Benefit Sources	5		
	60% to 69.99% with Non-Cash Benefit Sources	10		
	70% to 79.99% with Non-Cash Benefit Sources	15		
	80% to 89.99% with Non-Cash Benefit Sources	20	If it does not auto-populate from appendix, enter percent from Appendix Here:	0.00%
	90% to 100% with Non-Cash Benefit Sources	25		
	Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):			
Measure:	E. Percentage of Adults Who Had Cash Income at Program Exit (Including Employment, Disability, etc.);			
Directions:	Items to review: APR Q18, "Total Adults", "Adults with only Earned Income", "Adults with Only Other Income" and "Adults with No Income". *Note, this measure is looking at those who have exited programs. Any program that has no exits should be given 100% in final scoring for this tie-breaking measure.			
Scoring System:	% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign		
	49.99% and less with Cash Income at Program Exit	5		
	50% to 69.99% with Cash Income at Program Exit	10		
	70% to 89.99% with Cash Income at Program Exit	15		
	90% to 100% with Cash Income at Program Exit	20	If it does not auto-populate from appendix, enter percent from Appendix Here:	0.00%
	Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):			
Measure:	F. Returns to Homelessness			
Directions:	Items to review: APR Q23(a), "Exit Destination - More Than 90 Days", "Temporary Destinations" Emergency Shelter or Place not Meant for Habitation and Q5(a). "Total Number of Persons Served"			
Scoring System:	% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign		
	80% to 100% Returns to Homelessness	5		
	60% to 79.99% Returns to Homelessness	10		
	40% to 59.99% Returns to Homelessness	15		
	20% to 39.99% Returns to Homelessness	20		
	0% to 19.99% Returns to Homelessness	25	If it does not auto-populate from appendix, enter percent from Appendix Here:	0.00%
	Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):			

Measure:	G. 100% of program referrals made through Coordinated Entry (Coordinated Entry System or CES)		
Directions:	How to Score: The local Coordinated Entry Coordinator and BoS CE Committee as it relates to the BoS Coordinated Entry Policies & Procedures Version 2.1 & the Regional Decision/Contact Guide which outlines each regions Policies & Procedures.		
Scoring System:	% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign	Provide score and narrative here:
	0% to 89.99% of referrals made through CES	0	
	90% to 98.99% of referrals made through CES	10	
	99% to 100% of referrals made through CES	20	
	Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):		

Total:	0
--------	---

Tie Breakers

The below Measures will ONLY be used as a tie breaker for any applicants who have the same scores. In these instances, the percentage will be used as the score and higher percentages/scores will be ranked higher. Measure E will be used to break ties first and if any ties remain after comparing the tied projects with Measure E, those ties will be broken with Measure F.

For example: Program A, B and C all receive the same score on the original screening tool. They are then compared using Measure E, in which Program A has 75% of adults who had cash income at program exit, and programs B and C have 60% each. Then, programs B and C are ranked using the Measure F. If project B has 95% of funds expended and program C has 70%, then Project B would rank higher than Project C. Therefore, even though they all originally tied, they will be ranked Program A, then Program B, then Program C.

H.	Expenditure of Grant Funds
	Utilizing the HUD report documenting expended funds up to the end of 2021 sent to you via attachments , enter the percentage of funds expended for this project. (The percentage can be found adjacent to the project name under "Column K", "Total Balance (LOCCS)")
Total Balance (LOCCS) \$ -	

Narrative Explanation:	In order to best help the Project Ranking Committee and the BoS CoC Governing Board understand why funds may have been returned to HUD, we want to offer projects the ability to provide an explanation from your point of view of what has caused the inability to spend down funds for future competitions.
------------------------	---

I. Data Quality					
Q06a-d Add together percent of error in each category Q06a-d and the data timeliness numbers from Q06e. These will be used as tie-breakers should the remaining measures result in a tie. Move down each category and the lowest percentage rate in each scores <u>higher</u> than a project who has higher error rates. For Q06e the highest numbers in each breakdown of days result in the project being scored higher. The scoring goes in order from each category Q06a to Q06e, so once a tie is broken score the projects accordingly.					
Measure Ia	Q06a "Personally Identifiable Information" ("Overall Score" Percentage Rate, higher percentage scores lower than a project with a lower percentage.)	"Overall Score" Total:		0.00%	
Measure Ib	Q06b Data Quality - Universal Data Elements (Add together percentages for total in this category, higher percentage scores lower than a project with a lower percentage.)	Total of Percentages:		0.00%	
Measure Ic	Q06c Data Quality - Income & Housing Data Quality (Add together percentages for total in this category, higher percentage scores lower than a project with a lower percentage.)	Total of Percentages:		0.00%	
Measure Id	Q06d Data Quality - Chronic Homelessness (Add "% of Records Unable to Calculate" for total in this category, higher percentage scores lower than a project with a lower percentage.)	Total of Percentages:		0.00%	
Measure Ie	Q06e Data Quality - Timeliness - Add Total People Served from Q05a and then values from Q06e the APR to the corresponding day range. This will calculate a percentage based on the total number of people served.	Ie1 Here: 0 Days:		0	0.00%
		Ie2 Here: 1-3 Days:		0	0.00%
		Ie3 Here: 4-6 Days:		0	0.00%
		Ie4 Here: 7-10 Days:		0	0.00%
		Ie5 Here: 11+Days:		0	0.00%

Appendix - 2022 CO BoS CoC Renewal Project Ranking Tool

Calculation of Measure A (From the APR) "Percentage of Participants Who Either Stayed in the Program or Exited to Other Permanent Housing.":		Calculate Measure A Here!	
A1	Question 5a in the APR identifies the total # of persons served in the project. A1= The "Total Number of Persons Served" Field.	Enter A1 Here:	
A2	Question 5a in the APR will identify the number of people that stayed in the program. A2 =Total from the "Number of Stayers" Field	Enter A2 Here:	
A3	Question 23c in the APR identifies the # of people that exited to Permanent Destinations. C= The "Total" Column adjacent to the "Subtotal" Row under "Permanent Destinations"	Enter A3 Here:	
A4	Question 23c "Other Destinations", "Deceased" contains the number of people who have passed away while in the program. This will be added to the "Permanent Destinations"	Enter A4 Here:	
		A2, A3, A4 Total:	0
Math: Percentage who either stayed or exited to permanent destinations = (A2+A3+A4)/A1, Multiply by 100 to get the percentage.		Total Percentage:	0.00%
		Answers should autofill in the corresponding Project.	

Calculation of Measure B (From the APR) - Percentage of Adults who Increased or Maintained Income While in the Program.		Calculate Measure B Here!	
B1 Using the table below as taken from Q19a2 of the APR, the percentage of those that maintained or increased income = (B2 – B1)/B2 Multiply by 100 to get percentage.		Enter B1 Here:	
		Enter B2 Here:	
		Total Percentage:	0.00%

Q19a2: Client Cash Income Change - Income Source - by Start and Exit

	Had Income Category at Start and Did Not Have it at Exit	Retained Income Category but Had Less \$ at Exit than at Start	Retained Income Category and Same \$ at Exit as at Start	Retained Income Category and Increased \$ at Exit	Did Not have the Income Category at Start and Gained the Income Category at Exit	Did Not have the Income Category at Start or at Exit	Total Adults (Including Those with No Income)	Performance Measure: Adults Who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Exit; Average Gain	Performance measure: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure
Number of Adults with Earned Income (i.e., Employment Income)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-- %
Average Change in Earned Income	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Number of Adults with Other Income	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-- %
Average Change in Other Income	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Number of Adults with Any Income (i.e., Total Income)	Sum = B1		--	--	--	--	B2	--	-- %
Average Change in Overall Income	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Answers will autofill in the corresponding Project.

Calculation of Measure C (From the APR) - "Unit Utilization Rate"		Calculate Measure C Here!	
C1	Using APR Q02. Bed and Unit Inventory and Utilization, go to "Units" and record the "Total" number under "As proposed in the Application"	C1 Total Units:	
C2	Using APR Q02. Bed and Unit Inventory and Utilization, go to "Units" and record the "Total" number under "As proposed in the Application". Record the numbers under January, April, July, and October below "Occupied AND Available for Occupancy As Completed in the APR by the Recipient" in the corresponding cells.	C2 January Here:	0.00%
		C2 April Here:	0.00%
		C2 July Here:	0.00%
		C2 October Here:	0.00%
		Total Percentage:	0.00%
Math: Divide each of the Months (C2) by the Total Units (C1) and multiply by 100 to get the percentage of each Month. Divide each Month's Percentage by 4 and add the resulting percentages together to get the "Total Percentage".		Answers will autofill in the corresponding Project. If there is some sort of error and the percentage is wrong, you may enter the correct percentage directly in to this cell. (Warning, doing so will remove the formula.)	

Calculation of Measure D (From APR) - "Percentage of Adults Exiting with Non-Cash Benefit Sources."		Calculate Measure D Here!	
D1	From APR Q20(b) , record the number in "Total" adjacent to "Benefits at Exit for Leavers" to get D1.	Record D1 here:	
D2	From Q20(b) , record the number in "1+ Source(s)" adjacent from "Benefit at Exit for Leavers" to get D2.	Record D2 here:	
D3	From Q23(c), "Exit Destination", record "Other Destinations" "Deceased" adjacent to "Total" to get D3.	Record D3 here:	
		Total Percentage:	0.00%
Math: Percentage of Adults Exiting with Non-Cash Benefit Sources = D1+ D3/ D2, Multiple by 100 to get the percentage <i>*Note, any program that has no "Leavers" should be given 100% directly in the Project Tab in Measure D, even though this calculation may show 0% if completed as directed.</i>		Answers should autofill in the corresponding Project.	

Calculation for Measure E (From APR) - "Percentage of Adults Who Had Cash Income at Program Exit (Including Employment, Disability, etc.)"		Calculate Measure E Here!	
E1	From APR Q18, record the number of "Total Adults" adjacent to "Number of Adults at Exit (Leavers)"	Record E1 here:	0
E2	From APR Q18, record the number of "Adults with Only Earned Income (i.e. Employment Income)" adjacent to "Number of Adults at Exit (Leavers)"	Record E2 here:	0
E3	From APR Q18, record the number of "Adults with Only Other Income" adjacent to "Number of Adults at Exit (Leavers)"	Record E3 here:	0
E4	From APR Q18, record the number of "Adults with Both Earned and Other Income" adjacent to "Number of Adults at Exit (Leavers)"	Record E4 here:	0
	Math: Add together the sources of income (E2, E3, E4) to get the "subtotal". Divide the Subtotal by E1 and multiply by 100 to get the percentage of Adults Who Had Cash Income at Program Exit (Including Employment, Disability, etc.).	Subtotal:	0
		Total Percentage:	0.00%

Calculations for Measure F (From APR) - "Returns to Homelessness"		Calculate Measure D Here!	
F1	From Q23(c), "Temporary Destinations", record Total # of "Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher"	Record F1 here:	0
F2	From Q23(c), "Temporary Destinations", record Total # of "Place not meant for habitation (e.g., a vehicle, an abandoned building, bus/train/subway station/airport or anywhere outside)"	Record F2 here:	0
F3	From Q23(c), go to "Other Destinations", "Deceased", and record the number under "Total".	Record F3 here:	0
		Subtotal:	0
F4	From Q5(a), Record "Total Number of Persons Served".	Record F4 here:	0
		Total Percentage:	0.00%
	Math: Add together F1, F2, and subtract F3 to get the subtotal. Divide the subtotal by F4 and multiply by 100 to get the percentage.		

Automatic Scoring Sheet - 2022 CO BoS CoC Renewal Project Ranking Tool

Proj. Type	#	Project Name	Total Score	Tie Breaker H	Tie Breaker Ia	Tie Breaker Ib	Tie Breaker Ic	Tie Breaker Id	Tie Breaker Ie1	Tie Breaker Ie2	Tie Breaker Ie3	Tie Breaker Ie4	Tie Breaker Ie5
0	1	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Notes (This space is primarily to be used to note any discrepancies in scoring or just to help with tracking.)

2022 Colorado Balance of State Continuum of Care (CO BoS CoC) Renewal Project Ranking Tool - Measures List and Description

Main Measures:		These are the primary ranking measures for the Project Ranking Process.
Measure:	A.	Percentage of Participants Who Either Stayed in the Program or Exited to Other Permanent Housing.
Description:		This measure is carried over from the previous year and provides information on who either stayed in the program or exited to Other Permanent Housing. This is an objective measure that is part of our System Performance Measure and is a chosen measure because it indicates success in permanent housing placement
Measure:	B.	Percentage of Adults who Increased or Maintained Income While in the Program.
Description:		This measure is carried over from the previous years and provides information on adults who increased or maintained income while in the program. This is an objective measure that is part of our System Performance Measures and is utilized as it is an indicator of success once someone exits the program.
Measure:	C.	Unit Utilization Rate
Description:		This measure is carried over from the previous years and provides information on unit utilization for projects. This measure allows us to see how the project has utilized proposed units over the course of the grant year, broken in to quarters.
Measure:	D.	Percentage of Adults Exiting with Non-Cash Benefit Sources.
Description:		This measure is carried over from previous years and provides information on adults who exited the program with non-cash benefit sources. These types of benefits can include SNAP benefits, WIC benefits, certain TANF benefits, et al. Non-cash benefit sources provide security to households that are exiting the program.
Measure:	E.	Percentage of Adults Who Had Cash Income at Program Exit (Including Employment, Disability, etc.);
Description:		This is a new main measure which has functioned as a "tie-breaker" in years past. Similarly to measure B it focuses on income, though this measure looks at cash income at program exit. Cash income at program exit is another indicator of success once someone exits the program as it shows that the individual and family have a level of stability to maintain housing outside of program assistance.
Measure:	F.	Returns to Homelessness
Description:		This is a new main measure which has functioned as a tie-breaker previously. This is a System Performance Measure that tracks returns to homelessness after working with a program. This provides information to the community about who is struggling in maintaining housing after program assistance and can be utilized to provide additional support for participants.
Measure:	G.	100% of program referrals made through Coordinated Entry
Description:		We utilize this measure to gauge the program's participation in Coordinated Entry. All CoC and ESG funded programs must funnel all program referrals through their regional Coordinated Entry System. This measure has become more stringent in 2022 to make sure all projects are going through Coordinated Entry.
Tie-Breakers: In cases where programs rated through main measures tie, the following "tie-breakers" are used to rank one scored project above another.		
Measure:	H.	Expenditure of Grant Funds
Description:		This measure uses any funds returned to HUD as a measure to break the ties of agencies with similarly scored projects. The returned funds are outlined in an excel spreadsheet generated by the SNAPS office.
Measure:	I.	Data Quality
Description:		This measure utilizes the data quality section on a projects APR to break any ties that may occur through the main measures. If measure H. does not provide the necessary tie-breaking score needed to rank projects, move on to this measure.
Unscored Equity Measure:		These Unscored Equity Measure questions will be sent to projects separately from the scoring tools and will not be scored in the 2022 Project Ranking Process, then attached to the scoring tool in final ranking once answered by CoC projects. The intention of the Unscored Equity Measure questions are to continue the understanding and progress of where projects are in terms of equity, with a focus on racial equity and justice. While the measures are not scored, each agency is required to complete the questions in order to be ranked in BoS project ranking. The reasoning behind this is to recognize as a CoC that equity and advancing racial equity is an important part of our process in making homelessness rare, brief and one-time. This has weight in the work we do, and will have weight in future competitions. It also helps to remind us that equity with a focus on racial equity is something BoS CoC programs will continue to work towards.

2022 Colorado Balance of State Continuum of Care (CO BoS CoC) Renewal Project Ranking Tool

Directions:		
Steps:	1	In order to score the project, choose "RRH or TH Project #1" tab. The "Project Appendix..." tab next to it has the corresponding number to the RRH or TH Project Tab. Save this file with a unique name should you need to score additional RRH projects.
	2	Add the "Project Name" in cell 2C of the tab you're completing.
	3	Score the project as directed in the sheet, utilizing APR's, reports from Regional Coordinated Entry Non-CoC Funded Leads/Partners, and the corresponding spreadsheet sent by the Project Ranking Committee that shows percentage of funds expended.
	4	See the corresponding "Project #1 Appendix (A,B,C, D, E & F Measures)" appendix tab to the project you are scoring. Use the Appendix tab to complete calculations for the measures in the RRH or TH Project tabs. The calculations should automatically populate in the corresponding RRH or TH Project tab.
	5	Calculate the scores to the "Tie Breaker" questions (Located at the bottom of each "RRH or TH Project" Tab) so that the Project Ranking Committee has additional measures to use in case of a tie with another project.
Points of Clarification:	1	A separate workbook should be saved for each project being scored.
	2	The automatic formulas in the "Appendix" should transfer the calculation to the "Project" tabs. You must then choose the corresponding points for the projects from the drop-down menu of points.
	3	The "Total Scores" tab is an easy way to see the project score on one sheet. Mainly it will be used by the Project Ranking Committee.
Files Needed to Score Projects:	1	All Project APR's can be found here: https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1hNRQLKRKIsFduLGB-iUCIzlrZwp-ziR?usp=sharing
	2	The Expenditure of Grant Funds Spreadsheet can be found here: https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1xZ5SHghSfR7kEuEftwzG7XeFE_OUnH5ox_AcT7HnMs/edit?usp=sharing
	3	Will communicate with CoC and HMIS Leads for answers to tie-breakers.
	4	Unscored Equity Measure due EOB Friday 9/9/2022 - email shayes@coloradocoalition.org

Rapid Rehousing (RRH) or Transitional Housing (TH) Project

Project Name:

Measure: A. **Percentage of Participants Who Either Stayed in the Program or Exited to Other Permanent Housing.**

Directions: To calculate the percentage, see Appendix to this scoring tool and reference APR Measures "Q05a", "Q23a" and "Q23b".

Scoring System:	% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign		
	Less than 79.99% met the criteria	10		
	80% to 84.99% met the criteria	20		
	85% to 89.99% met the criteria	30		
	90% to 92.99% met the criteria	40		
	93% to 100% met the criteria	50		
	Total Points Assigned for Project (Choose points based on the % in):			

If it does not auto-populate from appendix, enter percent from Appendix Here: 0.00%

Measure: B. **Percentage of Adults who Increased or Maintained Income While in the Program.**

Directions: To calculate the percentage, see Appendix to this scoring tool and reference table "Q19a3" of your APR.

Scoring System:	% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign		
	Less than 19.99% met criteria	5		
	20% to 39.99% met criteria	10		
	40% to 59.99% met criteria	15		
	60% to 79.99% met criteria	20		
	80% to 100% met criteria	25		
	Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):			

If it does not auto-populate from appendix, enter percent from Appendix Here: 0.00%

Measure: C. **Unit Utilization Rate**

Directions: Reference APR Q02, "Utilization Rate - Unit". **Note:** Utilization rates are calculated by averaging the rates of the four quarters as shown in the Unit Utilization Rate table.

Scoring System:	% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign		
	Less than 64.99% unit utilization rate	5		
	65% to 74.99% unit utilization rate	10		
	75% to 84.99% unit utilization rate	15		
	85% to 92.99% unit utilization rate	20		
	93% to 100% unit utilization rate	25		
	Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):			

If it does not auto-populate from appendix, enter percent from Appendix Here: 0.00%

Measure: D. **Percentage of Adults Exiting with Non-Cash Benefit Sources.**

Directions: Items to review: APR Measure 20(b). See Appendix for Information on Percentage Calculation.

Scoring System:	% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign		
	59.99% and less with Non-Cash Benefit Sources	5		
	60% to 69.99% with Non-Cash Benefit Sources	10		
	70% to 79.99% with Non-Cash Benefit Sources	15		
	80% to 89.99% with Non-Cash Benefit Sources	20		
	90% to 100% with Non-Cash Benefit Sources	25		
	Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):			

If it does not auto-populate from appendix, enter percent from Appendix Here: 0.00%

Measure: E. **Percentage of Adults Who Had Cash Income at Program Exit (Including Employment, Disability, etc.);**

Directions: Items to review: **APR Q18**, "Total Adults", "Adults with only Earned Income", "Adults with Only Other Income" and "Adults with No Income". *Note, this measure is looking at those who have exited programs. Any program that has no exits should be given 100% in final scoring for this tie-breaking measure.

Scoring System:	% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign
	49.99% and less with Cash Income at Program Exit	5
	50% to 69.99% with Cash Income at Program Exit	10

	70% to 89.99% with Cash Income at Program Exit	15	If it does not auto-populate from appendix, enter percent from Appendix Here:	0.00%
	90% to 100% with Cash Income at Program Exit	20		
	Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):			

Measure:	F.	Returns to Homelessness				
Directions:	Items to review: APR Q23(a), "Exit Destination - More Than 90 Days", "Temporary Destinations" Emergency Shelter or Place not Meant for Habitation and Q5(a). "Total Number of Persons Served"					
Scoring System:	% Who met the criteria		Points to Assign		If it does not auto-populate from appendix, enter percent from Appendix Here:	
	80% to 100% Returns to Homelessness		5			
	60% to 79.99% Returns to Homelessness		10			
	40% to 59.99% Returns to Homelessness		15			
	20% to 39.99% Returns to Homelessness		20			
	0% to 19.99% Returns to Homelessness		25			
					0.00%	
	Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):					

Measure:	G.	100% of program referrals made through Coordinated Entry (Coordinated Entry System or CES)		
Directions:	How to Score: The local Coordinated Entry Coordinator and BoS CE Committee as it relates to the BoS Coordinated Entry Policies & Procedures Version 2.1 & the Regional Decision/Contact Guide which outlines each regions Policies & Procedures.			
Scoring System:	% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign	Provide score and narrative here:	
	0% to 89.99% of referrals made through CES	0		
	90% to 98.99% of referrals made through CES	10		
	99% to 100% of referrals made through CES	20		
	Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):			

Total:				0
---------------	--	--	--	---

Tie Breakers

The below Measures will ONLY be used as a tie breaker for any applicants who have the same scores. In these instances, the percentage will be used as the score and higher percentages/scores will be ranked higher. Measure E will be used to break ties first and if any ties remain after comparing the tied projects with Measure E, those ties will be broken with Measure F.

For example: Program A, B and C all receive the same score on the original screening tool. They are then compared using Measure E, in which Program A has 75% of adults who had cash income at program exit, and programs B and C have 60% each. Then, programs B and C are ranked using the Measure F. If project B has 95% of funds expended and program C has 70%, then Project B would rank higher than Project C. Therefore, even though they all originally tied, they will be ranked Program A, then Program B, then Program C.

H.	Expenditure of Grant Funds			
	Utilizing the HUD report documenting expended funds up to the end of 2021 sent to you via attachments, enter the percentage of funds expended for this project. (The percentage can be found adjacent to the project name under "Column K", "Total Balance (LOCCS)")			
Total Balance (LOCCS)				\$ -

<div> <div>Narrative</div> <div>Explanation:</div> </div>	In order to best help the Project Ranking Committee and the BoS CoC Governing Board understand why funds may have been returned to HUD, we want to offer projects the ability to provide an explanation from your point of view of what has caused the inability to spend down funds for future competitions.
---	---

I.	Data Quality				
	Q06a-d Add together percent of error in each category Q06a-d and the data timeliness numbers from Q06e. These will be used as tie-breakers should the remaining measures result in a tie. Move down each category and the lowest percentage rate in each scoreshigher than a project who has higher error rates. For Q06e the highest numbers in each breakdown of days result in the project being scored higher. The scoring goes in order from each category Q06a to Q06e, so once a tie is broken score the projects accordingly.				
Measure Ia	Q06a "Personally Identifiable Information" ("Overall Score" Percentage Rate, higher percentage scores lower than a project with a lower percentage.)	"Overall Score" Total:		0.00%	
Measure Ib	Q06b Data Quality - Universal Data Elements (Add together percentages for total in this category, higher percentage scores lower than a project with a lower percentage.)	Total of Percentages:		0.00%	
Measure Ic	Q06c Data Quality - Income & Housing Data Quality (Add together percentages for total in this category, higher percentage scores lower than a project with a lower percentage.)	Total of Percentages:		0.00%	
Measure Id	Q06d Data Quality - Chronic Homelessness (Add "% of Records Unable to Calculate" for total in this category, higher percentage scores lower than a project with a lower percentage.)	Total of Percentages:		0.00%	
Measure Ie	Q06e Data Quality - Timeliness - Add Total People Served from Q05a and then values from Q06e the APR to the corresponding day range. This will calculate a percentage based on the total number of people served.	Ie1 Here: 0 Days:	0	0.00%	
		Served:	0	Ie2 Here: 1-3 Days:	0.00%
				Ie3 Here: 4-6 Days:	0.00%
				Ie4 Here: 7-10 Days:	0.00%
				Ie5 Here: 11+Days:	0.00%

Appendix - 2022 CO BoS CoC Renewal Project Ranking Tool

Calculation of Measure A (From the APR) "Percentage of Participants Who Either Stayed in the Program or Exited to Other Permanent Housing.";		Calculate Measure A Here!
A1	Question 5a in the APR identifies the total # of persons served in the project.	Enter A1 Here:
A2	Question 5a in the APR will identify the number of people that stayed in the program.	Enter A2 Here:
A3	Question 23c in the APR identifies the # of people that exited to Permanent Destinations.	Enter A3 Here:
A4	Question 23c "Other Destinations", "Deceased" contains the number of people who have passed away	Enter A4 Here:
		A2, A3, A4 Total: 0
Math: Percentage who either stayed or exited to permanent destinations = (A2+A3+A4)/A1, Multiply by		Total Percentage: 0.00%
Answers should autofill in the corresponding Project.		

Calculation of Measure B (From the APR) - Percentage of Adults who Increased or Maintained Income While in the Program.		Calculate Measure B Here!
B1 Using the table below as taken from Q19a2 of the APR, the percentage of those that maintained or increased income = (B2 – B1)/B2		Enter B1 Here:
Multiply by 100 to get percentage.		Enter B2 Here:
		Total Percentage: 0.00%

Q19a2: Client Cash Income Change - Income Source - by Start and Exit

	Had Income Category at Start and Did Not Have it at Exit	Retained Income Category but Had Less \$ at Exit than at Start	Retained Income Category and Same \$ at Exit as at Start	Retained Income Category and Increased \$ at Exit	Did Not have the Income Category at Start and Gained the Income Category at Exit	Did Not have the Income Category at Start or at Exit	Total Adults (Including Those with No Income)	Performance Measure: Adults Who Started or Increased Income from Start to Exit, Average Gain	Performance measure: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure
Number of Adults with Earned Income (i.e., Employment Income)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	%
Average Change in Earned Income	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Number of Adults with Other Income	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	%
Average Change in Other Income	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Number of Adults with Any Income (i.e., Total Income)	Sum = B1		-	-	-	-	B2	-	%
Average Change in Overall Income	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Answers will autofill in the corresponding Project.

Calculation of Measure C (From the APR)- "Unit Utilization Rate"		Calculate Measure C Here!	
C1	"Total" number under "As proposed in the Application"	C1 Total Units:	
C2	Using APR Q02. Bed and Unit Inventory and Utilization, go to "Units" and record the "Total" number under "As proposed in the Application". Record the numbers under January, April, July, and October below "Occupied AND Available for Occupancy As Completed in the APR by the Recipient" in the corresponding cells.	C2 January Here:	0.00%
		C2 April Here:	0.00%
		C2 July Here:	0.00%
		C2 October Here:	0.00%
		Total Percentage:	0.00%
Math: Divide each of the Months (C2) by the Total Units (C1) and multiply by 100 to get the percentage of each Month. Divide each Month's Percentage by 4 and add the resulting percentages together to get the "Total Percentage".		Answers will autofill in the corresponding Project. If there is some sort of error and the percentage is wrong, you may enter the correct percentage directly in to this cell. (Warning, doing so will remove the formula.)	

Calculation of Measure D (From APR) - "Percentage of Adults Exiting with Non-Cash Benefit Sources."		Calculate Measure D Here!	
D1	From APR Q20(b), record the number in "Total" adjacent to "Benefits at Exit for Leavers" to get D1.	Record D1 here:	
D2	From Q20(b), record the number in "1+ Source(s)" adjacent from "Benefit at Exit for Leavers" to get	Record D2 here:	
D3	From Q23(c), "Exit Destination", record "Other Destinations" "Deceased" adjacent to "Total" to get	Record D3 here:	
		Total Percentage:	0.00%
Math: Percentage of Adults Exiting with Non-Cash Benefit Sources = D1+ D3/ D2, Multiple by 100 to get the percentage <i>*Note, any program that has no "Leavers" should be given 100% directly in the Project Tab in Measure D, even though this calculation may show 0% if completed as directed.</i>		Answers should autofill in the corresponding Project.	

Calculation for Measure E (From APR)- "Percentage of Adults Who Had Cash Income at Program Exit (Including Employment, Disability, etc.)"		Calculate Measure E Here!	
E1	From APR Q18, record the number of "Total Adults" adjacent to "Number of Adults at Exit (Leavers)"	Record E1 here:	0
E2	From APR Q18, record the number of "Adults with Only Earned Income (i.e. Employment Income)" adjacent to "Number of Adults at Exit (Leavers)"	Record E2 here:	0
E3	From APR Q18, record the number of "Adults with Only Other Income" adjacent to "Number of Adults at Exit (Leavers)"	Record E3 here:	0
E4	From APR Q18, record the number of "Adults with Both Earned and Other Income" adjacent to "Number of Adults at Exit (Leavers)"	Record E4 here:	0
Math: Add together the sources of income (E2, E3, E4) to get the "subtotal". Divide the Subtotal by E1 and multiply by 100 to get the percentage of Adults Who Had Cash Income at Program Exit (Including Employment, Disability, etc.).		Subtotal:	0
		Total Percentage:	0.00%

Calculations for Measure F (From APR) - "Returns to Homelessness"		Calculate Measure D Here!	
F1	From Q23(c), "Temporary Destinations", record Total # of "Emergency shelter, including hotel or	Record F1 here:	0
F2	From Q23(c), "Temporary Destinations", record Total # of "Place not meant for habitation (e.g., a	Record F2 here:	0
F3	From Q23(c), go to "Other Destinations", "Deceased", and record the number under "Total".	Record F3 here:	0
		Subtotal:	0
F4	From Q5(a), Record "Total Number of Persons Served".	Record F4 here:	0
		Total Percentage:	0.00%
Math: Add together F1, F2, and subtract F3 to get the subtotal. Divide the subtotal by F4 and multiply by 100 to get the percentage.			

Automatic Scoring Sheet - 2022 CO BoS CoC Renewal Project Ranking Tool

Proj. Type	#	Project Name	Total Score	Tie Breaker H	Tie Breaker Ia	Tie Breaker Ib	Tie Breaker Ic	Tie Breaker Id	Tie Breaker Ie1	Tie Breaker Ie2	Tie Breaker Ie3	Tie Breaker Ie4	Tie Breaker Ie5
RRH or TH Project #1	1	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Notes (This space is primarily to be used to note any discrepancies in scoring or just to help with tracking.)



Colorado Balance of State Continuum of Care

New Project Threshold/Eligibility Questions - These are threshold questions for new projects and each project must meet these to be considered. Mark each answer with an X.		Yes	No	Will Participate/NA
1	Applicant has active SAM registration with current information:			
2	Applicant has a valid Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) (formerly DUNS number) in application:			
3	Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts - It is HUD policy, consistent with the purposes and intent of 31 U.S.C. 3720B and 28 U.S.C. 3201(e), that applicants with outstanding delinquent federal debt will not be eligible to receive an award of funds, unless: -A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or -Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD.			
4	Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions - In accordance with 2 CFR 2424, no award of federal funds may be made to debarred or suspended applicants, or those proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal Government.			
5	Applicant has an Accounting System, HUD will not award or disburse funds to applicants that do not have a financial management system that meets federal standards as described at 2 CFR 200.302. HUD may arrange for a survey of financial management systems for applicants selected for award who have not previously received federal financial assistance or where HUD Program officials have reason to question whether a financial management system meets federal standards, or for applicants considered high risk based on past performance or financial management findings.			
6	False Statements. A false statement in an application is grounds for denial or termination of an award and may result in criminal, civil, and/or administrative sanctions, including fines, penalties, and imprisonment. It is critical that grantees be truthful in all communications with HUD and that a false statement in an application or any other communication with HUD is grounds for denial or termination of an award and may result in criminal, civil, and/or administrative sanctions, including fines, penalties, and imprisonment. The Uniform Guidance mandates to accompany fiscal reports, at 2 CFR 200.415, specifically lists the criminal false statements and civil and administrative false claims act statutes ("U.S. Code Title 18, Section 1001 and Title 31, Sections 3729-3730 and 3801-3812.")			
7	Mandatory Disclosure Requirement. Each recipient or applicant for a Federal award must disclose in writing credible evidence, to the Office of Inspector General (OIG) and to the awarding Program Office at HUD, whenever, in connection with the award, performance, or closeout of this award or any subaward agreement thereunder, the recipient or applicant has credible evidence that a principal, employee, agent, sub awardee, subrecipient, or subcontractor of the recipient or applicant has committed: (a) violation of Federal criminal law involving fraud, conflict of interest, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award, or (b) a violation of the civil False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. 3729-3733). This disclosure must be made at Re-clearance Version March 17 OGC 3.10 the time of application if credible evidence of such a violation exists at that time, or if the applicant or recipient becomes aware of the violation after submitting the application within ten days after learning of credible evidence of the violation. Recipients that have received a Federal award including the term and condition outlined in Appendix XII to 2 CFR part 200—Award Term and Condition for Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters are required to report certain civil, criminal, or administrative proceedings to SAM. Failure to make required disclosures as provided in 24 CFR 200.113 can result in any of the remedies described in § 200.339. Remedies for noncompliance, including suspension or debarment. (See also 2 CFR parts 180 and 2424, 31 U.S.C. 3321 note; and 41 U.S.C. 2313.) "			
8	Resolution of Civil Rights Matters. Outstanding civil rights matters must be resolved before the application submission deadline. Review NOFO if outstanding matters exist for further information.			
9	Prohibition Against Lobbying Activities. Applicants are subject to the provisions of Section 319 of Public Law 101-121, 31 U.S.C. 1352, (the Byrd Amendment), and 24 CFR part 87, which prohibit recipients of federal awards from using appropriated funds for lobbying the executive or legislative branches of the Federal government in connection with a Federal award. All applicants must submit with their application the signed certification regarding lobbying included in the Application download from Grants.gov. In addition, applicants must disclose, using Standard Form LLL (SF-LLL), "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," any funds, other than federally appropriated funds, that will be or have been used to influence federal employees, members of Congress, or congressional staff regarding specific awards. Federally recognized Indian tribes and tribally designated housing entities (TDHEs) established by federally recognized Indian tribes as a result of the exercise of the tribe's sovereign power are excluded from coverage of the Byrd Amendment, but state-recognized Indian tribes and TDHEs established only under state law shall comply with this requirement. Applicants must submit the SF-LLL if they have used or intend to use non-federal funds for lobbying activities.			
10	Demonstrate they are Eligible Project Applicants - Eligible project applicants for the CoC Program Competition are, under 24 CFR 578.15, nonprofit organizations, States, local governments, and instrumentalities of State and local governments. Public housing agencies, as such term is defined in 24 CFR 5.100, are eligible without limitation or exclusion. Neither for-profit entities nor Indian tribes are eligible to apply for grants or to be subrecipients of grant funds.			
11	Submitted the required certifications as specified in the NOFA.			
12	Demonstrated the project is cost-effective, including costs of construction, operations, and supportive services with such costs not deviating substantially from the norm in that locale for the type of structure or kind of activity.			
13	Demonstrated they participate in HMIS - Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, must agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient must not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers must use a comparable database that complies with the federal HMIS data and technical standards. While not prohibited from using HMIS, legal services providers may use a comparable database that complies with federal HMIS data and technical standards, if deemed necessary to protect attorney client privilege.			
14	Demonstrated Project Meets Minimum Project Standards - HUD will assess all new projects for the following minimum project eligibility, capacity, timeliness, and performance standards. Please note that these are minimum threshold criteria. CoCs and project applicants should carefully review each year's NOFA to ensure they understand and have accounted for all applicable standards. To be considered as meeting project quality threshold, all new projects must meet all of the following criteria: Project applicants and potential subrecipients must have satisfactory capacity, drawdowns, and performance for existing grant(s) that are funded under the SHP, S+C, or CoC Program, as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients, regular drawdowns, and timely resolution of any monitoring findings; service providers must use a comparable database that complies with the federal HMIS data and technical standards. While not prohibited from using HMIS, legal services providers may use a comparable database that complies with federal HMIS data and technical standards, if deemed necessary to protect attorney client privilege. For expansion projects, project applicants must clearly articulate the part of the project that is being expanded. Additionally, the project applicants must clearly demonstrate that they are not replacing other funding sources; and, Project applicants must demonstrate they will be able to meet all timeliness standards per 24 CFR 578.85. Project applicants with existing projects must demonstrate that they have met all project renewal threshold requirements of this NOFA. HUD reserves the right to deny the funding request for a new project, if the request is made by an existing recipient that HUD finds to have significant issues related to capacity, performance, unresolved audit or monitoring findings related to one or more existing grants, or does not routinely draw down funds from eLOCCS at least once per quarter. Additionally, HUD reserves the right to withdraw funds if no APR is submitted on the prior grant.			
15	Demonstrated Project is Consistent with Jurisdictional Consolidated Plan(s) - All projects must be consistent with the relevant jurisdictional Consolidated Plan(s). The CoC will be required to submit a Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan at the time of application submission to HUD.			
16	Coordinated Entry Participation (If Applicable) If Not Applicable, Explain:			

New Project Ranking Tool		What to Look for When Awarding Points		
Project Name: Organization Name: Project Type (PSH, RRH, Joint TH/PH-RRH, SSO-CE, if DV Bonus, etc.):		When awarding points, scorers are looking through project applications for clear answers that speak to the metrics described in Column B. Answers may be found throughout the project application. Scorers should be as objective as possible with their reasoning, explaining scores with examples and data from answers provided in the application and supplemental materials.		
1. EXPERIENCE		Minimum to Max Score	Enter Points Awarded Here	Reasoning for Points Awarded (If applicable)
A.	Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.	0-10		
B.	Describe experience with utilizing and reasons your agency values utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, actual or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.	0-10		
C.	Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.	0-10		
2. Design of Housing & Supportive Services (If SSO-Coordinated Entry - Skip this section)		Minimum to Max Score	Enter Points Awarded Here	Reasoning for Points Awarded (If applicable)
A. Extent to which the applicant		0-20		
1 Demonstrate understanding of the needs of the people to be served.				
2a. Demonstrate number and configuration of units, type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the people to be served. (This might include two or more bedrooms for families in programs like joint TH/PH-RRH.)				
2b. For Joint TH/PH-RRH Only: Ensure project provides enough rapid rehousing assistance to ensure that at any given time a program participant may move from transitional housing to permanent housing. This may be demonstrated by identifying a budget that has twice as many resources for the rapid rehousing portion of the project than the TH portion, by having twice as many PH-RRH units at a point in time as TH units, or by demonstrating that the budget and units are appropriate for the population being served by the project.				
3 Demonstrate type and scale of all supportive services, regardless of funding source, and how they meet the needs of the program participants. This includes ensuring successful retention or help to obtain permanent housing.				
4 Demonstrate how people receiving services will be individually assisted in obtaining and coordinating the provision of mainstream benefits. Some examples include, but are not limited to: health, social, and employment programs, for which they are eligible to apply, that meet the needs of program participants (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, Food Stamps, local Workforce office, early childhood education), etc.				
5 Establish performance measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable, and meet or exceed any established HUD, HEARTH or CoC benchmarks.				
B.	Shows collaboration, if any, with state and/or community partners (including people with lived experience) in type, scale, design, and implementation of program and people to be served in the program.	0-10		
C.	Describe how your project has and/or will advance racial equity in housing and services. This should include any assessments done on whether people of marginalized races and/or ethnicities are less likely to receive homeless assistance or positive outcomes, whether racial or ethnic disparities are present, and whether CoCs and homeless providers identified barriers that led to these disparities and have taken steps to eliminate these barriers to improve racial equity. Extra points will be awarded if the project can show that they have reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers that exacerbate disparities and outcomes and/or has leadership at the Board, Agency and/or project level is comprised by individuals who are typically under-represented. (BIPoC, LGBTQIAA+, etc.)	0-15		
D.	New Project describes a plan for reviewing program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age. This can include information about how programmatic changes will be made to make program participant outcomes more equitable. If already implementing a plan, describe findings from outcome review.	0-10		
E.	Describe the plan to assist program participants to rapidly obtain and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to fit their needs.	0-10		
F.	Describe how program participants will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.	0-10		
3. SSO-CE Projects		Minimum to Max Score	Enter Points Awarded Here	
A	Ensure that			

1	Program provides a description of the entire scope of the project including the project plan for addressing: DV-Bonus SSO-Coordinated Entry: coordinated entry needs, anticipated project outcome(s), coordination with other organizations (e.g., federal, state, nonprofit), and how the CoC Program funding will be used with a focus on survivors of domestic violence.	0-22.5		
2	SSO-Coordinated Entry: Follows state and regional Coordinated Entry Policies and Procedures. SSO-Street Outreach & SSO-Other: a. Project describes how it will participate in the CoC's Coordinated Entry (CE) process. If recipient organization is a victim service provider, as defined in 24 CFR 578.3 and uses an alternate CE process that meets HUD's minimum requirements, ensure it outline how it participates. b. Project describes how it will refer program participants to projects that specifically coordinate and integrate mainstream health, social services, and employment programs for which they may be eligible. c. Project describes how it will utilize and use data from an HMIS comparable database to support program as described in application.	0-22.5		
3	The CoC has CoC-wide planning committees, subcommittees, or workgroups (including individuals and survivors with lived experience and how individuals and survivors with lived experience will be supported in groups) to address homeless needs in the CoC's geographic area that recommends and sets policy priorities for the regional planning group of the CoC.	0-22.5		
4	The funds requested will improve the CoC's ability to evaluate the outcome of both CoC Program-funded and ESG-funded projects, specifically for DV-bonus projects how the regional planning group will evaluate and address inadequacies of your CoC's current Coordinated Entry that limits its ability to better meet the needs of survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking.	0-22.5		
3. TIMELINESS		Minimum to Max Score	Enter Points Awarded Here	Reasoning for Points Awarded (If applicable)
A.	Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.	0-15		
4. FINANCIAL		Minimum to Max Score	Enter Points Awarded Here	Reasoning for Points Awarded (If applicable)
A.	Project is cost-effective - comparing projected cost per person served to CoC average within project type.	0-5		
B.	Audit (Request Organizational Audits from New Applicants)			
1	Most recent audit found no exceptions to standard practices	0-5		
2	Most recent audit identified agency as 'low risk'	0-5		
3	Most recent audit indicates no findings	0-5		
C.	Documented match amount.	0-5		
D.	Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.	0-10		
5. PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS		Minimum to Max Score	Enter Points Awarded Here	Reasoning for Points Awarded (If applicable)
Coordinated Entry Participation- Commitment of 100% of entries to project from CE referrals		0 or 10		
Total Points Possible for Housing Project (Range):		0-190		
Total Points Possible for SSO-Coordinated Entry Project (Range)		0-190		
Total Score for Ranking (out of 190):			0	

2022 Colorado Balance of State Continuum of Care (CO BoS CoC) Renewal Project Ranking Tool - Measures List and Description

Main Measures:	These are the primary ranking measures for the Project Ranking Process.	
Measure:	A.	Percentage of Participants Who Either Stayed in the Program or Exited to Other Permanent Housing.
Description:	This measure is carried over from the previous year and provides information on who either stayed in the program or exited to Other Permanent Housing. This is an objective measure that is part of our System Performance Measure and is a chosen measure because it indicates success in permanent housing placement.	
Measure:	B.	Percentage of Adults who Increased or Maintained Income While in the Program.
Description:	This measure is carried over from the previous years and provides information on adults who increased or maintained income while in the program. This is an objective measure that is part of our System Performance Measures and is utilized as it is an indicator of success once someone exits the program.	
Measure:	C.	Unit Utilization Rate
Description:	This measure is carried over from the previous years and provides information on unit utilization for projects. This measure allows us to see how the project has utilized proposed units over the course of the grant year, broken in to quarters.	
Measure:	D.	Percentage of Adults Exiting with Non-Cash Benefit Sources.
Description:	This measure is carried over from previous years and provides information on adults who exited the program with non-cash benefit sources. These types of benefits can include SNAP benefits, WIC benefits, certain TANF benefits, et al. Non-cash benefit sources provide security to households that are exiting the program.	
Measure:	E.	Percentage of Adults Who Had Cash Income at Program Exit (Including Employment, Disability, etc.);
Description:	This is a new main measure which has functioned as a "tie-breaker" in years past. Similarly to measure B it focuses on income, though this measure looks at cash income at program exit. Cash income at program exit is another indicator of success once someone exits the program as it shows that the individual and family have a level of stability to maintain housing outside of program assistance.	
Measure:	F.	Returns to Homelessness
Description:	This is a new main measure which has functioned as a tie-breaker previously. This is a System Performance Measure that tracks returns to homelessness after working with a program. This provides information to the community about who is struggling in maintaining housing after program assistance and can be utilized to provide additional support for participants.	
Measure:	G.	100% of program referrals made through Coordinated Entry
Description:	We utilize this measure to gauge the program's participation in Coordinated Entry. All CoC and ESG funded programs must funnel all program referrals through their regional Coordinated Entry System. This measure has become more stringent in 2022 to make sure all projects are going through Coordinated Entry.	
Tie-Breakers:	In cases where programs rated through main measures tie, the following "tie-breakers" are used to rank one scored project above another.	
Measure:	H.	Expenditure of Grant Funds
Description:	This measure uses any funds returned to HUD as a measure to break the ties of agencies with similarly scored projects. The returned funds are outlined in an excel spreadsheet generated by the SNAPS office.	
Measure:	I.	Data Quality
Description:	This measure utilizes the data quality section on a projects APR to break any ties that may occur through the main measures. If measure H. does not provide the necessary tie-breaking score needed to rank projects, move on to this measure.	
Unscored Equity Measure:	These Unscored Equity Measure questions will be sent to projects separately from the scoring tools and will not be scored in the 2022 Project Ranking Process, then attached to the scoring tool in final ranking once answered by CoC projects. The intention of the Unscored Equity Measure questions are to continue the understanding and progress of where projects are in terms of equity, with a focus on racial equity and justice. While the measures are not scored, each agency is required to complete the questions in order to be ranked in BoS project ranking. The reasoning behind this is to recognize as a CoC that equity and advancing racial equity is an important part of our process in making homelessness rare, brief and one-time. This has weight in the work we do, and will have weight in future competitions. It also helps to remind us that equity with a focus on racial equity is something BoS CoC programs will continue to work towards.	

2022 Colorado Balance of State Continuum of Care (CO BoS CoC) Renewal Project Ranking Tool

Directions:		
Steps:	1	In order to score the project, choose "RRH or TH Project #1" tab. The "Project #1 Appendix..." tab next to it has the corresponding number to the RRH or TH Project Tab. Save this file with a unique name should you need to score additional RRH projects.
	2	Add the "Project Name" in cell 2C of the tab you're completing.
	3	Score the project as directed in the sheet, utilizing APR's, reports from Regional Coordinated Entry Non-CoC Funded Leads/Partners, and the corresponding spreadsheet sent by the Project Ranking Committee that shows percentage of funds expended.
	4	See the corresponding "Project #1 Appendix (A,B,C, D, E & F Measures)" appendix tab to the project you are scoring. Use the Appendix tab to complete calculations for the measures in the RRH or TH Project tabs. The calculations should automatically populate in the corresponding RRH or TH Project tab.
	5	Calculate the scores to the "Tie Breaker" questions (Located at the bottom of each "RRH or TH Project" Tab) so that the Project Ranking Committee has additional measures to use in case of a tie with another project.
Points of Clarification:	1	A separate workbook should be saved for each project being scored.
	2	The automatic formulas in the "Appendix" should transfer the calculation to the "Project" tabs. You must then choose the corresponding points for the projects from the drop-down menu of points.
	3	The "Total Scores" tab is an easy way to see the project score on one sheet. Mainly it will be used by the Project Ranking Committee.
Files Needed to Score Projects:	1	All Project APR's can be found here: https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1hNRQLKRKIsFduLGB-iUCizlrZwp-zIR?usp=sharing
	2	The Expenditure of Grant Funds Spreadsheet can be found here: https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1xZ5SHghSfR7kEuEftwzG7XeFE_OUnH5ox_AcT7HnMs/edit?usp=sharing
	3	Will communicate with CoC and HMIS Leads for answers to tie-breakers.
	4	Unscored Equity Measure due EOB Friday 9/9/2022 - email shayes@coloradocoalition.org

PSH Project				
Project Name:	Pueblo Permanent Supportive Housing			
Measure:	A. Percentage of Participants Who Either Stayed in the Program or Exited to Other Permanent Housing.			
Directions:	To calculate the percentage, see Appendix to this scoring tool and reference APR Measures "Q05a", "Q23a" and "Q23b".			
Scoring System:	% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign		
	Less than 79.99% met the criteria	10		
	80% to 84.99% met the criteria	20		
	85% to 89.99% met the criteria	30		
	90% to 94.99% met the criteria	40		
	95% to 100% met the criteria	50		
			If it does not auto-populate from appendix, enter percent from Appendix Here:	100.00%
	Total Points Assigned for Project (Choose points based on the % in):		50	
Measure:	B. Percentage of Adults who Increased or Maintained Income While in the Program.			
Directions:	To calculate the percentage, see Appendix to this scoring tool and reference table "Q19a3" of your APR.			
Scoring System:	% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign		
	Less than 19.99% met criteria	5		
	20% to 39.99% met criteria	10		
	40% to 59.99% met criteria	15		
	60% to 79.99% met criteria	20		
	80% to 100% met criteria	25		
			If it does not auto-populate from appendix, enter percent from Appendix Here:	100.00%
	Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):		25	
Measure:	C. Unit Utilization Rate			
Directions:	Reference APR Q02, "Utilization Rate - Unit". Note: Utilization rates are calculated by averaging the rates of the four quarters as shown in the Unit Utilization Rate table.			
Scoring System:	% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign		
	Less than 64.99% unit utilization rate	5		
	65% to 74.99% unit utilization rate	10		
	75% to 84.99% unit utilization rate	15		
	85% to 94.99% unit utilization rate	20		
	95% to 100% unit utilization rate	25		
			If it does not auto-populate from appendix, enter percent from Appendix Here:	100.00%
	Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):		25	
Measure:	D. Percentage of Adults Exiting with Non-Cash Benefit Sources.			
Directions:	Items to review: APR Measure 20(b). See Appendix for Information on Percentage Calculation.			
Scoring System:	% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign		
	59.99% and less with Non-Cash Benefit Sources	5		
	60% to 69.99% with Non-Cash Benefit Sources	10		
	70% to 79.99% with Non-Cash Benefit Sources	15		
	80% to 89.99% with Non-Cash Benefit Sources	20		
	90% to 100% with Non-Cash Benefit Sources	25		
			If it does not auto-populate from appendix, enter percent from Appendix Here:	200.00%
	Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):		25	
Measure:	E. Percentage of Adults Who Had Cash Income at Program Exit (Including Employment, Disability, etc.);			
Directions:	Items to review: APR Q18, "Total Adults", "Adults with only Earned Income", "Adults with Only Other Income" and "Adults with No Income". *Note, this measure is looking at those who have exited programs. Any program that has no exits should be given 100% in final scoring for this tie-breaking measure.			
Scoring System:	% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign		
	49.99% and less with Cash Income at Program Exit	5		
	50% to 69.99% with Cash Income at Program Exit	10		
	70% to 89.99% with Cash Income at Program Exit	15		
	90% to 100% with Cash Income at Program Exit	20		
			If it does not auto-populate from appendix, enter percent from Appendix Here:	100.00%
	Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):		20	
Measure:	F. Returns to Homelessness			
Directions:	Items to review: APR Q23(a), "Exit Destination - More Than 90 Days", "Temporary Destinations" Emergency Shelter or Place not Meant for Habitation and Q5(a). "Total Number of Persons Served"			
Scoring System:	% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign		
	80% to 100% Returns to Homelessness	5		
	60% to 79.99% Returns to Homelessness	10		
	40% to 59.99% Returns to Homelessness	15		
	20% to 39.99% Returns to Homelessness	20		
	0% to 19.99% Returns to Homelessness	25		
			If it does not auto-populate from appendix, enter percent from Appendix Here:	0.00%
	Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):		25	

Measure:	G. 100% of program referrals made through Coordinated Entry (Coordinated Entry System or CES)		
Directions:	How to Score: The local Coordinated Entry Coordinator and BoS CE Committee as it relates to the BoS Coordinated Entry Policies & Procedures Version 2.1 & the Regional Decision/Contact Guide which outlines each regions Policies & Procedures.		
Scoring System:	% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign	Provide score and narrative here: Confirmed by 3rd party agency that sits in Pueblo Case Conferencing that does not have financial stake with either Posada or CCH that both Pueblo RRH and Pueblo PSH program referrals are 100% made through the Coordinated Entry process via email with Shawn Hayes on Friday 9/9/2022.
	0% to 89.99% of referrals made through CES	0	
	90% to 98.99% of referrals made through CES	10	
	99% to 100% of referrals made through CES	20	
Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):			20

Total:	190
--------	-----

Tie Breakers

The below Measures will ONLY be used as a tie breaker for any applicants who have the same scores. In these instances, the percentage will be used as the score and higher percentages/scores will be ranked higher. Measure E will be used to break ties first and if any ties remain after comparing the tied projects with Measure E, those ties will be broken with Measure F.

For example: Program A, B and C all receive the same score on the original screening tool. They are then compared using Measure E, in which Program A has 75% of adults who had cash income at program exit, and programs B and C have 60% each. Then, programs B and C are ranked using the Measure F. If project B has 95% of funds expended and program C has 70%, then Project B would rank higher than Project C. Therefore, even though they all originally tied, they will be ranked Program A, then Program B, then Program C.

H.	Expenditure of Grant Funds
	Utilizing the HUD report documenting expended funds up to the end of 2021 sent to you via attachments , enter the percentage of funds expended for this project. (The percentage can be found adjacent to the project name under "Column K", "Total Balance (LOCCS)")
Total Balance (LOCCS) \$ 17,171.00	
Narrative Explanation:	In order to best help the Project Ranking Committee and the BoS CoC Governing Board understand why funds may have been returned to HUD, we want to offer projects the ability to provide an explanation from your point of view of what has caused the inability to spend down funds for future competitions.

I. Data Quality				
Q06a-d Add together percent of error in each category Q06a-d and the data timeliness numbers from Q06e. These will be used as tie-breakers should the remaining measures result in a tie. Move down each category and the lowest percentage rate in each scores <u>higher</u> than a project who has higher error rates. For Q06e the highest numbers in each breakdown of days result in the project being scored higher. The scoring goes in order from each category Q06a to Q06e, so once a tie is broken score the projects accordingly.				
Measure Ia	Q06a "Personally Identifiable Information" ("Overall Score" Percentage Rate, higher percentage scores lower than a project with a lower percentage.)	"Overall Score" Total:		0.00%
Measure Ib	Q06b Data Quality - Universal Data Elements (Add together percentages for total in this category, higher percentage scores lower than a project with a lower percentage.)	Total of Percentages:		0.00%
Measure Ic	Q06c Data Quality - Income & Housing Data Quality (Add together percentages for total in this category, higher percentage scores lower than a project with a lower percentage.)	Total of Percentages:		0.00%
Measure Id	Q06d Data Quality - Chronic Homelessness (Add "% of Records Unable to Calculate" for total in this category, higher percentage scores lower than a project with a lower percentage.)	Total of Percentages:		0.00%
Measure Ie	Q06e Data Quality - Timeliness - Add Total People Served from Q05a and then values from Q06e the APR to the corresponding day range. This will calculate a percentage based on the total number of people served.	Ie1 Here: 0 Days:	0	0.00%
		Served:	15	
		Ie2 Here: 1-3 Days:	0	0.00%
		Ie3 Here: 4-6 Days:	1	6.67%
		Ie4 Here: 7-10 Days:	0	0.00%
		Ie5 Here: 11+Days:	0	0.00%

Appendix - 2022 CO BoS CoC Renewal Project Ranking Tool

Calculation of Measure A (From the APR) "Percentage of Participants Who Either Stayed in the Program or Exited to Other Permanent Housing.":		Calculate Measure A Here!	
A1	Question 5a in the APR identifies the total # of persons served in the project. A1= The "Total Number of Persons Served" Field.	Enter A1 Here:	15
A2	Question 5a in the APR will identify the number of people that stayed in the program. A2 =Total from the "Number of Stayers" Field	Enter A2 Here:	14
A3	Question 23c in the APR identifies the # of people that exited to Permanent Destinations. C= The "Total" Column adjacent to the "Subtotal" Row under "Permanent Destinations"	Enter A3 Here:	1
A4	Question 23c "Other Destinations", "Deceased" contains the number of people who have passed away while in the program. This will be added to the "Permanent Destinations"	Enter A4 Here:	0
		A2, A3, A4 Total:	15
	Math: Percentage who either stayed or exited to permanent destinations = (A2+A3+A4)/A1, Multiply by 100 to get the percentage.	Total Percentage:	100.00%
		Answers should autofill in the corresponding Project.	

Calculation of Measure B (From the APR) - Percentage of Adults who Increased or Maintained Income While in the Program.										Calculate Measure B Here!		
B1 Using the table below as taken from Q19a2 of the APR, the percentage of those that maintained or increased income = (B2 – B1)/B2 Multiply by 100 to get percentage.										Enter B1 Here:		0
										Enter B2 Here:		1
										Total Percentage:		100.00%
Q19a2: Client Cash Income Change - Income Source - by Start and Exit												
	Had Income Category at Start and Did Not Have It at Exit	Retained Income Category but Had Less \$ at Exit than at Start	Retained Income Category and Same \$ at Exit as at Start	Retained Income Category and Increased \$ at Exit	Did Not have the Income Category at Start and Gained the Income Category at Exit	Did Not have the Income Category at Start or at Exit	Total Adults (Including Those with No Income)	Performance Measure: Adults Who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Exit; Average Gain	Performance measure: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure			
Number of Adults with Earned Income (i.e., Employment Income)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-- %			
Average Change in Earned Income	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Number of Adults with Other Income	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-- %			
Average Change in Other Income	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Number of Adults with Any Income (i.e., Total Income)	Sum = B1		--	--	--	--	B2	--	-- %			
Average Change in Overall Income	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--			
										Answers will autofill in the corresponding Project.		

Calculation of Measure C (From the APR)- "Unit Utilization Rate"		Calculate Measure C Here!		
C1	Using APR Q02. Bed and Unit Inventory and Utilization, go to "Units" and record the "Total" number under "As proposed in the Application"	C1 Total Units:	6	
C2	Using APR Q02. Bed and Unit Inventory and Utilization, go to "Units" and record the "Total" number under "As proposed in the Application". Record the numbers under January, April, July, and October below "Occupied AND Available for Occupancy As Completed in the APR by the Recipient" in the corresponding cells.	C2 January Here:	6	100.00%
		C2 April Here:	6	100.00%
		C2 July Here:	6	100.00%
		C2 October Here:	6	100.00%
		Total Percentage:		100.00%
Math: Divide each of the Months (C2) by the Total Units (C1) and multiply by 100 to get the percentage of each Month. Divide each Month's Percentage by 4 and add the resulting percentages together to get the "Total Percentage".		Answers will autofill in the corresponding Project. If there is some sort of error and the percentage is wrong, you may enter the correct percentage directly in to this cell. (Warning, doing so will remove the formula.)		

Calculation of Measure D (From APR) - "Percentage of Adults Exiting with Non-Cash Benefit Sources."		Calculate Measure D Here!	
D1	From APR Q20(b), record the number in "Total" adjacent to "Benefits at Exit for Leavers" to get D1.	Record D1 here:	1
D2	From Q20(b), record the number in "1+ Source(s)" adjacent from "Benefit at Exit for Leavers" to get D2.	Record D2 here:	1
D3	From Q23(c), "Exit Destination", record "Other Destinations" "Deceased" adjacent to "Total" to get D3.	Record D3 here:	1
		Total Percentage:	200.00%
Math: Percentage of Adults Exiting with Non-Cash Benefit Sources = D1+ D3/ D2, Multiple by 100 to get the percentage <i>*Note, any program that has no "Leavers" should be given 100% directly in the Project Tab in Measure D, even though this calculation may show 0% if completed as directed.</i>		Answers should autofill in the corresponding Project.	

Calculation for Measure E (From APR) - "Percentage of Adults Who Had Cash Income at Program Exit (Including Employment, Disability, etc.)"		Calculate Measure E Here!	
E1	From APR Q18, record the number of "Total Adults" adjacent to "Number of Adults at Exit (Leavers)"	Record E1 here:	1
E2	From APR Q18, record the number of "Adults with Only Earned Income (i.e. Employment Income)" adjacent to "Number of Adults at Exit (Leavers)"	Record E2 here:	0
E3	From APR Q18, record the number of "Adults with Only Other Income" adjacent to "Number of Adults at Exit (Leavers)"	Record E3 here:	1
E4	From APR Q18, record the number of "Adults with Both Earned and Other Income" adjacent to "Number of Adults at Exit (Leavers)"	Record E4 here:	0
	Math: Add together the sources of income (E2, E3, E4) to get the "subtotal". Divide the Subtotal by E1 and multiply by 100 to get the percentage of Adults Who Had Cash Income at Program Exit (Including Employment, Disability, etc.).	Subtotal:	1
		Total Percentage:	100.00%

Calculations for Measure F (From APR) - "Returns to Homelessness"		Calculate Measure D Here!	
F1	From Q23(c), "Temporary Destinations", record Total # of "Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher"	Record F1 here:	0
F2	From Q23(c), "Temporary Destinations", record Total # of "Place not meant for habitation (e.g., a vehicle, an abandoned building, bus/train/subway station/airport or anywhere outside)"	Record F2 here:	0
F3	From Q23(c), go to "Other Destinations", "Deceased", and record the number under "Total".	Record F3 here:	1
		Subtotal:	-1
F4	From Q5(a), Record "Total Number of Persons Served".	Record F4 here:	0
		Total Percentage:	0.00%
Math: Add together F1, F2, and subtract F3 to get the subtotal. Divide the subtotal by F4 and multiply by 100 to get the percentage.			

Automatic Scoring Sheet - 2022 CO BoS CoC Renewal Project Ranking Tool

Proj. Type	#	Project Name	Total Score	Tie Breaker H	Tie Breaker Ia	Tie Breaker Ib	Tie Breaker Ic	Tie Breaker Id	Tie Breaker Ie1	Tie Breaker Ie2	Tie Breaker Ie3	Tie Breaker Ie4	Tie Breaker Ie5
Supportive Housing	1	Pueblo Permanent Supportive Housing	190	\$17,171.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	6.67%	0.00%	0.00%

Notes (This space is primarily to be used to note any discrepancies in scoring or just to help with tracking.)

Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced

CO-500, the Colorado Balance of State, did not reject or reduce any project during the local competition.

From: Shawn Hayes <shayes@coloradocoalition.org>

Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 3:53 PM

To: Jahlia Daly <jahlia.daly@state.co.us>; Kristin Toombs - DOLA <kristin.toombs@state.co.us>; Sr. Karen Bland <kabland@catholicoutreach.org>; Beverly Lampley <beverly@catholicoutreach.org>; Mark Miller <mmiller@coloradocoalition.org>; Deanne L. Witzke <dwitzke@coloradocoalition.org>; Denny Wetmore <dwetmore@coloradocoalition.org>

Cc: abbieb@http.org <abbieb@http.org>

Subject: Final Approved Priority Listing for the CO BoS CoC Renewal Project Applications

Hello Continuum of Care Grantee,

The Balance of State Governing Board met earlier today, September 14, 2022, to review and vote on the recommendations of the Balance of State Project Ranking Committee.

All renewal applications were accepted and recommended to be funded at the full amount requested. Please find attached "CO BoS CoC - 2022 Project Ranking - Final Priority Listing.xlsx", the Balance of State Continuum of Care final Project ranking and priority listing. This spreadsheet includes a score, ranking, and amount for each project. We have indicated which awards fall within Tier One and Tier Two.

Thank you for your continued partnership, and please reach out if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Shawn Hayes

he, him | [Why Pronouns Matter](#)

Balance of State Continuum of Care Coordinator (BoS CoC Coordinator)

Colorado Coalition for the Homeless (CCH)

2111 Champa Street

Denver, CO 80205

Main: 303-293-2217

Direct: 303-312-9651

Fax: 303-298-1021

BoS CoC Website Hosted By CCH:

www.coloradocoalition.org/COBoSCoC

Email: shayes@coloradocoalition.org



Colorado Balance of State Continuum of Care CoC Project Ranking - Final Priority Listing			
Project Score	Project Ranking #	Current Grant Inventory Worksheet (GIW) Amount (Subject to change with HUD Fair Market Rent or FMR increase)	Project Name (Planning Grant for CoC is not calculated in ARD and not Ranked)
	Tier 1		
	1	\$143,129	Balance of State HMIS Expansion Project
190	2	\$117,121	St. Martin Permanent Housing (consolidated) (Grand Junction) (Grand Valley Catholic Outreach)
190	3	\$123,234	Pueblo Permanent Supportive Housing Program (Pueblo) (CCH/Posada)
180	4	\$274,689	St. Benedict Permanent Housing (consolidated) (Grand Junction) (Grand Valley Catholic Outreach)
176.25	5	\$701,046	Balance of State Rapid Rehousing Program (Fremont, Garfield, Pueblo, La Plata)
160	6	\$110,658	Catholic Outreach Rapid Rehousing (Grand Junction) (Grand Valley Catholic Outreach)
160	7	\$47,989	Trinidad Transitional Housing Program (Trinidad) (CCH/Advocates Against Domestic Assault)
160	8	\$177,177	Morgan/Logan Rapid Rehousing Program (Fort Morgan and Sterling) (CCH/SHARE/Help for Abused Partners)
145	9	\$165,588	Eagle Rapid Rehousing Program (Avon) (CCH/Bright Future Foundation for Eagle County)
125	10a	\$388,797	DOH - Balance of State PSH Program (Total project eligibility from GIW: \$507,188)
	Tier 2		
125	10b	\$118,391	DOH - Balance of State PSH Program (Total project eligibility from GIW: \$507,188)
	Total:	\$2,367,819	
		Total Annual Renewal Demand (ARD) CO BoS	\$2,367,819
		CoC is Eligible for:	
		95% of Total Funds (Tier 1):	\$2,249,428
		5% of Remaining Total (Tier 2):	\$118,391

Accepted- Rejected- Reduced	Colorado Balance of State Continuum of Care CoC Project Ranking - Final Priority Listing			
	Project Score	Project Ranking #	Current Grant Inventory Worksheet (GIW) Amount (Subject to change with HUD Fair Market Rent or FMR increase)	Project Name (Planning Grant for CoC is not calculated in ARD and not Ranked)
		Tier 1		
Accepted	not scored	1	\$143,129	Balance of State HMIS Expansion Project
Accepted	190	2	\$117,121	St. Martin Permanent Housing (consolidated) (Grand Junction) (Grand Valley Catholic Outreach)
Accepted	190	3	\$123,234	Pueblo Permanent Supportive Housing Program (Pueblo) (CCH/Posada)
Accepted	180	4	\$274,689	St. Benedict Permanent Housing (consolidated) (Grand Junction) (Grand Valley Catholic Outreach)
Accepted	176.25	5	\$701,046	Balance of State Rapid Rehousing Program (Fremont, Garfield, Pueblo, La Plata)
Accepted	160	6	\$110,658	Catholic Outreach Rapid Rehousing (Grand Junction) (Grand Valley Catholic Outreach)
Accepted	160	7	\$47,989	Trinidad Transitional Housing Program (Trinidad) (CCH/Advocates Against Domestic Assault)
Accepted	160	8	\$177,177	Morgan/Logan Rapid Rehousing Program (Fort Morgan and Sterling) (CCH/SHARE/Help for Abused Partners)
Accepted	145	9	\$165,588	Eagle Rapid Rehousing Program (Avon) (CCH/Bright Future Foundation for Eagle County)
Accepted	125	10a	\$388,797	DOH - Balance of State PSH Program (Total project eligibility from GIW: \$507,188)
Accepted		Tier 2		
Accepted	125	10b	\$118,391	DOH - Balance of State PSH Program (Total project eligibility from GIW: \$507,188)
		Total:	\$2,367,819	
			Total Annual Renewal Demand (ARD) CO BoS CoC is Eligible for:	\$2,367,819
			95% of Total Funds (Tier 1):	\$2,249,428
			5% of Remaining Total (Tier 2):	\$118,391