



**Colorado Balance of State
Continuum of Care**

Table of Contents for Colorado Balance of State CoC Project Ranking Materials

- 2021 Renewal Project Ranking Tool..... Pages 1 through 14
- 2021 New Project Ranking Tool..... Pages 15 through 18
- BoS CoC Project Listing..... Pages 19 through 20
- 2021 Project Ranking - Scoring Sheets and Final Listing..... Pages 21 through 23

2021 Colorado Balance of State Continuum of Care (CO BoS CoC) Renewal Project Ranking Tool

Directions:		
Steps:	1	In order to score the project, choose either the "PSH Project" or "RRH or TH Project" tab to the right of this tab, depending on the project type you're scoring. The information for Domestic Violence Providers is pulled from an HMIS comparable database. Save this file with another name should you need to score another project of the same type.
	2	Add the "Project Name" in cell 2C of the tab you're completing.
	3	Score the project as directed in the sheet, utilizing APR's, reports from Regional Coordinated Entry Non-CoC Funded Leads/Partners, and the corresponding spreadsheet sent by the Project Ranking Committee that shows percentage of funds expended.
	4	See the PSH Appendix (A,B,C, D, E & F Measures) and/or the RRH/TH Appendix (A,B,C, D, E & F Measures) depending on the project type you're scoring. Use the Appendix tabs to complete calculations for the measures in the PSH and RRH/TH Project tabs. The calculations should automatically populate in the PSH or RRH/TH Project tab for the corresponding project type (PSH or RRH/TH)
	5	Calculate the scores to the "Tie Breaker" questions (Located at the bottom of each PSH or RRH/TH "Project" Tab) so that the Project Ranking Committee has additional measures to use in case of a tie with another project.
Points of Clarification:	1	A separate workbook should be saved for each project type being scored. If scoring both a PSH and RRH/TH project, then one workbook can be used.
	2	The automatic formulas in the "Appendix" should transfer the calculation to the "Project" tabs. You must then choose the corresponding points for the projects from the drop-down menu of points.
	3	The "Total Scores" tab is an easy way to see scores for multiple projects on one sheet. Mainly it will be used by the Project Ranking Committee.
Files Needed to Score Projects:	1	All Project APR's can be found here: https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1KczISuCBEB7rZLwF23L7uj-Ca6U0aGK-?usp=sharing
	2	The Expenditure of Grant Funds Spreadsheet can be found here: https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1KczISuCBEB7rZLwF23L7uj-Ca6U0aGK-?usp=sharing
	3	Will communicate with CoC and HMIS Leads for answers to tie-breakers.

2021 Colorado Balance of State Continuum of Care (CO BoS CoC) Renewal Project Ranking Tool - Measures List and Description

Main Measures:		These are the primary ranking measures for the Project Ranking Process.
Measure:	A.	Percentage of Participants Who Either Stayed in the Program or Exited to Other Permanent Housing.
Description:		This measure is carried over from the previous year and provides information on who either stayed in the program or exited to Other Permanent Housing. This is an objective measure that is part of our System Performance Measure and is a chosen measure because it indicates success in permanent housing placement.
Measure:	B.	Percentage of Adults who Increased or Maintained Income While in the Program.
Description:		This measure is carried over from the previous years and provides information on adults who increased or maintained income while in the program. This is an objective measure that is part of our System Performance Measures and is utilized as it is an indicator of success once someone exits the program.
Measure:	C.	Unit Utilization Rate
Description:		This measure is carried over from the previous years and provides information on unit utilization for projects. This measure allows us to see how the project has utilized proposed units over the course of the grant year, broken in to quarters.
Measure:	D.	Percentage of Adults Exiting with Non-Cash Benefit Sources.
Description:		This measure is carried over from previous years and provides information on adults who exited the program with non-cash benefit sources. These types of benefits can include SNAP benefits, WIC benefits, certain TANF benefits, et al. Non-cash benefit sources provide security to households that are exiting the program.
Measure:	E.	Percentage of Adults Who Had Cash Income at Program Exit (Including Employment, Disability, etc.);
Description:		This is a new main measure which has functioned as a "tie-breaker" in years past. Similarly to measure B it focuses on income, though this measure looks at cash income at program exit. Cash income at program exit is another indicator of success once someone exits the program as it shows that the individual and family have a level of stability to maintain housing outside of program assistance.

Measure:	F.	Returns to Homelessness
Description:	This is a new main measure which has functioned as a tie-breaker previously. This is a System Performance Measure that tracks returns to homelessness after working with a program. This provides information to the community about who is struggling in maintaining housing after program assistance and can be utilized to provide additional support for participants.	

Measure	G.	100% of program referrals made through Coordinated Entry
Description:	We utilize this measure to gauge the program's participation in Coordinated Entry. All CoC and ESG funded programs must funnel all program referrals through their regional Coordinated Entry System.	

Tie-Breakers: In cases where programs rated through main measures tie, the following "tie-breakers" are used to rank one scored project above another.

Measure:	H.	Expenditure of Grant Funds
Description:	This measure uses any funds returned to HUD as a measure to break the ties of agencies with similarly scored projects. The returned funds are outlined in an excel spreadsheet generated by the SNAPS office.	

Measure:	I.	Data Quality
Description:	This measure utilizes the data quality section on a projects APR to break any ties that may occur through the main measures. If measure H. does not provide the necessary tie-breaking score needed to rank projects, move on to this measure.	

Unscored Potential Measures:

These measures will not be scored in the 2021 Project Ranking Process. We are adding the language in order to provide notice that measures related to the below information will be added in future Project Ranking tools and processes. This will likely look differently from what is written below, but please answer where the agency is regarding each topic as of now. Again, projects are not being scored for the below information in the 2021 Project Ranking Process. These questions were asked via a Google Poll and the answers will be returned to the Project Ranking and Governance Committees of the BoS CoC.

Measure:	J.	<p>From the CoC NOFO: Promoting Racial Equity in Homelessness.</p> <p>The CoC has assessed racial disparities in the provision or outcome of homeless assistance and taken the necessary steps to address such disparities. CoCs must describe how: the CoC assessed within the past 3 years whether people of different races or ethnicities are less likely to receive homeless assistance or to receive a positive outcome from homeless assistance (1 point of 7); if racial or ethnic disparities are present, the CoC has identified the barriers faced by these populations that resulted in being less likely to receive assistance or receive a positive outcome (e.g., lack of outreach) and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers faced by these populations (3 points of 7); and the CoC and homeless providers have identified and taken steps to improve racial equity in the provision and outcomes of assistance beyond just those areas identified in their assessment (3 points of 7). Any actions taken must be consistent with federal nondiscrimination requirements.</p>
		<p>In order to have common language the CoC can use, the terms equity, inclusion & diversity are used to describe the intersecting ways ideologies (belief systems), institutions (agencies, communities, government), and individuals recognize systemic injustice and seek to diversify, include, and create equitable systems that do not advantage systemically dominant groups over systematically targeted (marginalized) groups. In the USA these targeted groups are generally encompassed in the language our laws against discrimination use (race, color, religion (creed), gender, gender expression, age, national origin (ethnicity), disability, marital status, and sexual orientation are some). This can also include categories outside of discrimination laws such as economic status, appearance, geographic location, language, et al.) For the purposes of this tool we will refer to these terms under the umbrella of the term “equity”.</p>

Measure:	K.	HMIS Coordinated Entry Participation
Description		<p>The Balance of State Continuum of Care is working to configure the Clarity Human Services Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) database to include an automated process for Coordinated Entry and Case Conferencing. This process is compiling information from BoS CoC communities as well as the Coordinated Entry Policies and Procedures V2.1. This includes a masked process for DV providers who are required not to enter personally identifiable information (PII) in to HMIS. This question helps us better understand if you would like access to this process and gather information from your program on ways of adding to or improving it.</p>

Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)				
Project Name:				
Measure: Directions: Scoring System:	A.	Percentage of Participants Who Either Stayed in the Program or Exited to Other Permanent Housing.		
		To calculate the percentage, see Appendix to this scoring tool and reference APR Measures "Q05a", "Q23c".		
		% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign	
		Less than 79.99% met the criteria	10	
		80% to 84.99% met the criteria	20	
		85% to 89.99% met the criteria	30	
		90% to 94.99% met the criteria	40	
		95% to 100% met the criteria	50	If it does not auto-populate from appendix, enter percent from Appendix Here:
		Total Points Assigned for Project (Choose points based on the % in):		0.00%
Measure: Directions: Scoring System:	B.	Percentage of Adults who Increased or Maintained Income While in the Program.		
		To calculate the percentage, see Appendix to this scoring tool and reference table "Q19a3" of your APR.		
		% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign	
		Less than 19.99% met criteria	5	
		20% to 39.99% met criteria	10	
		40% to 59.99% met criteria	15	
		60% to 79.99% met criteria	20	
		80% to 100% met criteria	25	If it does not auto-populate from appendix, enter percent from Appendix Here:
		Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):		0.00%
Measure: Directions: Scoring System:	C.	Unit Utilization Rate		
		Reference APR Q02, "Utilization Rate - Unit". Note: Utilization rates are calculated by averaging the rates of the four quarters as shown in the Unit Utilization Rate table.		
		% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign	
		Less than 64.99% unit utilization rate	5	
		65% to 74.99% unit utilization rate	10	
		75% to 84.99% unit utilization rate	15	
		85% to 94.99% unit utilization rate	20	
		95% to 100% unit utilization rate	25	If it does not auto-populate from appendix, enter percent from Appendix Here:
		Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):		0.00%
Measure: Directions: Scoring System:	D.	Percentage of Adults Exiting with Non-Cash Benefit Sources.		
		Items to review: APR Measure 20(b). See Appendix for Information on Percentage Calculation.		
		% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign	
		59.99% and less with Non-Cash Benefit Sources	5	
		60% to 69.99% with Non-Cash Benefit Sources	10	
		70% to 79.99% with Non-Cash Benefit Sources	15	
		80% to 89.99% with Non-Cash Benefit Sources	20	
		90% to 100% with Non-Cash Benefit Sources	25	If it does not auto-populate from appendix, enter percent from Appendix Here:
		Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):		0.00%
Measure: Directions: Scoring System:	E.	Percentage of Adults Who Had Cash Income at Program Exit (Including Employment, Disability, etc.);		
		Items to review: APR Q18 , "Total Adults", "Adults with only Earned Income" and "Adults with Only Other Income". *Note, this measure is looking at those who have exited programs. Any program that has no exits should be given 100% in final scoring for this tie-breaking measure.		
		% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign	
		49.99% and less with Cash Income at Program Exit	5	
		50% to 69.99% with Cash Income at Program Exit	10	
		70% to 89.99% with Cash Income at Program Exit	15	
		90% to 100% with Cash Income at Program Exit	20	
				If it does not auto-populate from appendix, enter percent from Appendix Here:
	Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):		0.00%	
Measure: Directions: Scoring System:	F.	Returns to Homelessness		
		Items to review: APR Q23(c) , "Temporary Destinations" Emergency Shelter or Place not Meant for Habitation and Q5(a). "Total Number of Persons Served"		
		% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign	
		80% to 100% Returns to Homelessness	5	
		60% to 79.99% Returns to Homelessness	10	
		40% to 59.99% Returns to Homelessness	15	
		20% to 39.99% Returns to Homelessness	20	
		0% to 19.99% Returns to Homelessness	25	
			If it does not auto-populate from appendix, enter percent from Appendix Here:	
	Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):		0.00%	

Measure:	G.	100% of program referrals made through Coordinated Entry (Coordinated Entry System or CES)		
Directions:		How to Score: The local Coordinated Entry Coordinator and BoS CE Committee as it relates to the BoS Coordinated Entry Policies & Procedures Version 2.1 & the Regional Decision/Contact Guide which outlines each regions Policies & Procedures.		
Scoring System:		% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign	Provide score and narrative here:
		0% to 84.99% of referrals made through CES	0	
		85% to 94.99% of referrals made through CES	10	
		95% to 100% of referrals made through CES	20	
		Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):		

Total:	0
--------	---

Tie Breakers

The below Measures will ONLY be used as a tie breaker for any applicants who have the same scores. In these instances, the percentage will be used as the score and higher percentages/scores will be ranked higher. Measure E will be used to break ties first and if any ties remain after comparing the tied projects with Measure E, those ties will be broken with Measure F.

For example: Program A, B and C all receive the same score on the original screening tool. They are then compared using Measure E, in which Program A has 75% of adults who had cash income at program exit, and programs B and C have 60% each. Then, programs B and C are ranked using the Measure F. If project B has 95% of funds expended and program C has 70%, then Project B would rank higher than Project C. Therefore, even though they all originally tied, they will be ranked Program A, then Program B, then Program C.

H.	Expenditure of Grant Funds		
	Utilizing the HUD report documenting expended funds up to the end of 2020 sent to you via attachments, enter the percentage of funds expended for this project. (The percentage can be found adjacent to the project name under "Column K", "Total Balance (LOCCS)")		
	Total Balance (LOCCS)		\$0.00
Narrative Explanation:	In order to best help the Project Ranking Committee and the BoS CoC Governing Board understand why funds may have been returned to HUD, we want to offer proj		

I.	Data Quality			
	Q06a-d Add together percent of error in each category Q06a-d and the data timeliness numbers from Q06e. These will be used as tie-breakers should the remaining measures result in a tie. Move down each category and the lowest percentage rate in each scores higher than a project who has higher error rates. For Q06e the highest numbers in each breakdown of days result in the project being scored higher. The scoring goes in order from each category Q06a to Q06e, so once a tie is broken score the projects accordingly.			
Measure Ia	Q06a "Personally Identifiable Information" ("Overall Score" Percentage Rate, higher percentage scores lower than a project with a lower percentage.)	"Overall Score" Total:		0.00%
Measure Ib	Q06b Data Quality - Universal Data Elements (Add together percentages for total in this category, higher percentage scores lower than a project with a lower percentage.)	Total of Percentages:		0.00%
Measure Ic	Q06c Data Quality - Income & Housing Data Quality (Add together percentages for total in this category, higher percentage scores lower than a project with a lower percentage.)	Total of Percentages:		0.00%
Measure Id	Q06d Data Quality - Chronic Homelessness (Add "% of Records Unable to Calculate" for total in this category, higher percentage scores lower than a project with a lower percentage.)	Total of Percentages:		0.00%
Measure Ie	Q06e Data Quality - Timeliness - Add Total People Served from Q05a and then values from Q06e the APR to the corresponding day range. This will calculate a percentage based on the total number of people served.	Ie1 Here: 0 Days:	0	0.00%
		Q05a - Total People Served:	0	Ie2 Here: 1-3 Days: 0 0.00%
				Ie3 Here: 4-6 Days: 0 0.00%
				Ie4 Here: 7-10 Days: 0 0.00%
				Ie5 Here: 11+Days: 0 0.00%

Appendix - 2021 CO BoS CoC Renewal Project Ranking Tool

Calculation of Measure A (From the APR) "Percentage of Participants Who Either Stayed in the Program or Exited to Other Permanent Housing.":	Calculate Measure A Here!	
A1 Question 5a in the APR identifies the total # of persons served in the project. A1= The "Total Number of Persons Served" Field A2 Question 5a in the APR will identify the number of people that stayed in the program. A2 =Total from the "Number of Stayers" Field A3 Question 23c in the APR identifies the # of people that exited to Permanent Destinations. C= The "Total" Column adjacent to the "Subtotal" Row under "Permanent Destinations" Question 23c "Other Destinations", "Deceased" contains the number of people who have passed away while in the program. This will be added to the "Permanent Destinations" Math: Percentage who either stayed or exited to permanent destinations = (A2+A3+A4)/A1, Multiply by 100 to get the percentage.	Enter A1 Here:	
	Enter A2 Here:	
	Enter A3 Here:	
	Enter A4 Here:	
	A2, A3, A4 Total:	0
	Total Percentage:	0.00%
Answers should autofill in the corresponding Project.		

Calculation of Measure B (From the APR) - Percentage of Adults who Increased or Maintained Income While in the Program.	Calculate Measure B Here!																																																																							
B1 Using the table below as taken from Q19a2 of the APR, the percentage of those that maintained or increased income = (B2 – B1)/B2 Multiply by 100 to get percentage.	Enter B1 Here:																																																																							
	Enter B2 Here:																																																																							
	Total Percentage:	0.00%																																																																						
Q19a2: Client Cash Income Change - Income Source - by Start and Exit																																																																								
<table><thead><tr><th></th><th>Had Income Category at Start and Did Not Have it at Exit</th><th>Retained Income Category but Had Less \$ at Exit than at Start</th><th>Retained Income Category and Same \$ at Exit as at Start</th><th>Retained Income Category and Increased \$ at Exit</th><th>Did Not have the Income Category at Start and Gained the Income Category at Exit</th><th>Did Not have the Income Category at Start or at Exit</th><th>Total Adults (Including Those with No Income)</th><th>Performance Measure: Adults Who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Exit; Average Gain</th><th>Performance measure: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>Number of Adults with Earned Income (i.e., Employment Income)</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>-- %</td></tr><tr><td>Average Change in Earned Income</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td></tr><tr><td>Number of Adults with Other Income</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>-- %</td></tr><tr><td>Average Change in Other Income</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td></tr><tr><td>Number of Adults with Any Income (i.e., Total Income)</td><td colspan="2">Sum = B1</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>B2</td><td>--</td><td>-- %</td></tr><tr><td>Average Change in Overall Income</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td><td>--</td></tr></tbody></table>				Had Income Category at Start and Did Not Have it at Exit	Retained Income Category but Had Less \$ at Exit than at Start	Retained Income Category and Same \$ at Exit as at Start	Retained Income Category and Increased \$ at Exit	Did Not have the Income Category at Start and Gained the Income Category at Exit	Did Not have the Income Category at Start or at Exit	Total Adults (Including Those with No Income)	Performance Measure: Adults Who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Exit; Average Gain	Performance measure: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure	Number of Adults with Earned Income (i.e., Employment Income)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-- %	Average Change in Earned Income	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	Number of Adults with Other Income	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-- %	Average Change in Other Income	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	Number of Adults with Any Income (i.e., Total Income)	Sum = B1		--	--	--	--	B2	--	-- %	Average Change in Overall Income	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	Had Income Category at Start and Did Not Have it at Exit	Retained Income Category but Had Less \$ at Exit than at Start	Retained Income Category and Same \$ at Exit as at Start	Retained Income Category and Increased \$ at Exit	Did Not have the Income Category at Start and Gained the Income Category at Exit	Did Not have the Income Category at Start or at Exit	Total Adults (Including Those with No Income)	Performance Measure: Adults Who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Exit; Average Gain	Performance measure: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure																																																															
Number of Adults with Earned Income (i.e., Employment Income)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-- %																																																															
Average Change in Earned Income	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--																																																															
Number of Adults with Other Income	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-- %																																																															
Average Change in Other Income	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--																																																															
Number of Adults with Any Income (i.e., Total Income)	Sum = B1		--	--	--	--	B2	--	-- %																																																															
Average Change in Overall Income	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--																																																															
Answers should autofill in the corresponding Project.																																																																								

Calculation of Measure C (From the APR) - "Unit Utilization Rate"	Calculate Measure C Here!		
C1 Using APR Q02. Bed and Unit Inventory and Utilization, go to "Units" and record the "Total" number under "As proposed in the Application" C2 Using APR Q02. Bed and Unit Inventory and Utilization, go to "Units" and record the numbers under January, April, July, and October below "Occupied AND Available for Occupancy As Completed in the APR by the Recipient" in the corresponding cells.	C1 Total Units:		
	C2 January Here:		0.00%
	C2 April Here:		0.00%
	C2 July Here:		0.00%
	C2 October Here:		0.00%
	Total Percentage:		0.00%

Math: Divide each of the Months (C2) by the Total Units (C1) and multiply by 100 to get the percentage of each Month. Divide each Month's Percentage by 4 and add the resulting percentages together to get the "Total Percentage".	Answers should autofill in the corresponding Project. If there is some sort of error and the percentage is wrong, you may enter the correct percentage directly in to this cell. (Warning, doing so will remove the formula.)
--	--

Calculation of Measure D (From APR) - "Percentage of Adults Exiting with Non-Cash Benefit Sources."		Calculate Measure D Here!	
D1	From APR Q20(b), record the number in "Total" adjacent to "Benefits at Exit for Leavers" to get D1.	Record D1 here:	
		Record D2 here:	
D2	From Q20(b), record the number in "1+ Source(s)" adjacent from "Benefit at Exit for Leavers" to get D2.	Record D3 here:	
		Total Percentage:	0.00%
D3	From Q23(c), "Exit Destination", record "Other Destinations" "Deceased" adjacent to "Total" to get D3.	Answers should autofill in the corresponding Project.	
	Math: Percentage of Adults Exiting with Non-Cash Benefit Sources = D1+ D3 / D2, Multiple by 100 to get the percentage		
	<i>*Note, any program that has no "Leavers" should be given 100% directly in the Project Tab in Measure D, even though this calculation may show 0% if completed as directed.</i>		

Calculation for Measure E (From APR) - "Percentage of Adults Who Had Cash Income at Program Exit (Including Employment, Disability, etc.)"		Calculate Measure E Here!	
E1	From APR Q18, record the number of "Total Adults" adjacent to "Number of Adults at Exit (Leavers)"	Record E1 here:	0
E2	From APR Q18, record the number of "Adults with Only Earned Income (i.e. Employment Income)" adjacent to "Number of Adults at Exit (Leavers)"	Record E2 here:	0
E3	From APR Q18, record the number of "Adults with Only Other Income" adjacent to "Number of Adults at Exit (Leavers)"	Record E3 here:	0
E4	From APR Q18, record the number of "Adults with Both Earned and Other Income" adjacent to "Number of Adults at Exit (Leavers)"	Record E4 here:	0
	Math: Add together the sources of income (E2, E3, E4) to get the "subtotal". Divide the Subtotal by E1 and multiply by 100 to get the percentage of Adults Who Had Cash Income at Program Exit (Including Employment, Disability, etc.).	Subtotal:	0
		Total Percentage:	0.00%

Calculations for Measure F (From APR) - "Returns to Homelessness"		Calculate Measure D Here!	
F1	From Q23(c), "Temporary Destinations", record Total # of "Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher"	Record F1 here:	0
		Record F2 here:	0
F2	From Q23(c), "Temporary Destinations", record Total # of "Place not meant for habitation (e.g., a vehicle, an abandoned building, bus/train/subway station/airport or anywhere outside)"	Record F3 here:	0
		Subtotal:	0
F3	From Q23(c), go to "Other Destinations", "Deceased", and record the number under "Total".	Record F4 here:	0
		Total Percentage:	0.00%
F4	C. Q5(a), Record "Total Number of Persons Served".		
	Math: Add together F1, F2, and subtract F3 to get the subtotal. Divide the subtotal by F4 and multiply by 100 to get the percentage.		

Rapid Rehousing (RRH) or Transitional Housing (TH)				
Project Name:				
Measure: Directions: Scoring System:	A.	Percentage of Participants Who Either Stayed in the Program or Exited to Other Permanent Housing.		
		To calculate the percentage, see Appendix to this scoring tool and reference APR Measures "Q05a", "Q23c".		
		% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign	
		Less than 79.99% met the criteria	10	
		80% to 84.99% met the criteria	20	
		85% to 89.99% met the criteria	30	
		90% to 92.99% met the criteria	40	
		93% to 100% met the criteria	50	
		Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):		
Measure: Directions: Scoring System:	B.	Percentage of Adults who Increased or Maintained Income While in the Program.		
		To calculate the percentage, see Appendix to this scoring tool and reference table "Q19a3" of your APR.		
		% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign	
		Less than 19.99% met criteria	5	
		20% to 39.99% met criteria	10	
		40% to 59.99% met criteria	15	
		60% to 79.99% met criteria	20	
		80% to 100% met criteria	25	
		Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):		
Measure: Directions: Scoring System:	C.	Unit Utilization Rate		
		Reference APR Q02, "Utilization Rate - Unit". Note: Utilization rates are calculated by averaging the rates of the four quarters as shown in the Unit Utilization Rate table.		
		% of Unit Utilization Rate	Points to Assign	
		Less than 64.99% unit utilization rate	5	
		65% to 74.99% unit utilization rate	10	
		75% to 84.99% unit utilization rate	15	
		85% to 92.99% unit utilization rate	20	
		93% to 100% unit utilization rate	25	
		Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):		
Measure: Directions: Scoring System:	D.	Percentage of Adults Exiting with Non-Cash Benefit Sources.		
		Items to review: APR Measure 20(b). See Appendix for Information on Percentage Calculation.		
		% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign	
		59.99% and less with Non-Cash Benefit Sources	5	
		60% to 69.99% with Non-Cash Benefit Sources	10	
		70% to 79.99% with Non-Cash Benefit Sources	15	
		80% to 89.99% with Non-Cash Benefit Sources	20	
		90% to 100% with Non-Cash Benefit Sources	25	
		Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):		
Measure: Directions: Scoring System:	E.	Percentage of Adults Who Had Cash Income at Program Exit (Including Employment, Disability, etc.);		
		Items to review: APR Q18 , "Total Adults", "Adults with only Earned Income", and "Adults with Only Other Income". * Note , this measure is looking at those who have exited programs. Any program that has no exits should be given 100% in final scoring and awarded the corresponding points.		
		% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign	
		49.99% and less with Cash Income at Program Exit	5	
		50% to 69.99% with Cash Income at Program Exit	10	
		70% to 89.99% with Cash Income at Program Exit	15	
		90% to 100% with Cash Income at Program Exit	20	
		Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):		
Measure: Directions: Scoring System:	F.	Returns to Homelessness		
		Items to review: APR Q23(c) , "Temporary Destinations" Emergency Shelter or Place not Meant for Habitation and Q5(a). "Total Number of Persons Served"		
		% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign	
		80% to 100% Returns to Homelessness	5	
		60% to 79.99% Returns to Homelessness	10	
		40% to 59.99% Returns to Homelessness	15	
		20% to 39.99% Returns to Homelessness	20	
		0% to 19.99% Returns to Homelessness	25	

	Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):	
--	--	--

Measure: Directions: Scoring System:	G.	100% of program referrals made through Coordinated Entry (Coordinated Entry System or CES)		
		How to Score: The local Coordinated Entry Coordinator and BoS CE Committee as it relates to the BoS Coordinated Entry Policies & Procedures Version 2.1 & the Regional Decision/Contact Guide which outlines each regions Policies & Procedures.		
		% Who met the criteria	Points to Assign	Provide score and narrative here:
		0% to 84.99% of referrals made through CES	0	
		85% to 94.99% of referrals made through CES	10	
		95% to 100% of referrals made through CES	20	
		Total Points Assigned for Project (Click on the cell for a drop-down option):		

Total:	0
---------------	---

Tie Breakers

The below Measures will ONLY be used as a tie breaker for any applicants who have the same scores. In these instances, the percentage will be used as the score and higher percentages/scores will be ranked higher. Measure E will be used to break ties first and if any ties remain after comparing the tied projects with Measure E, those ties will be broken with Measure F.

For example: Program A, B and C all receive the same score on the original screening tool. They are then compared using Measure E, in which Program A has 75% of adults who had cash income at program exit, and programs B and C have 60% each. Then, programs B and C are ranked using the Measure F. If project B has 95% of funds expended and program C has 70%, then Project B would rank higher than Project C. Therefore, even though they all originally tied, they will be ranked Program A, then Program B, then Program C.

H.	Expenditure of Grant Funds		
	Utilizing the HUD report documenting expended funds up to the end of 2020 sent to you via attachments , enter the percentage of funds expended for this project. (The percentage can be found adjacent to the project name under " Column K ", " Total Balance (LOCCS) ")		
	Total Balance (LOCCS)		\$0.00

I.	Data Quality			
	Q06a-d Add together percent of error in each category Q06a-d and the data timeliness numbers from Q06e. These will be used as tie-breakers should the remaining measures result in a tie. Move down each category and the lowest percentage rate in each scores <u>higher</u> than a project who has higher error rates. For Q06e the highest numbers in each breakdown of days result in the project being scored higher. The scoring goes in order from each category Q06a to Q06e, so once a tie is broken score the projects accordingly.			
Measure Ia	Q06a "Personally Identifiable Information" ("Overall Score" Percentage Rate, higher percentage scores lower than a project with a lower percentage.)	"Overall Score" Total:		0.00%
Measure Ib	Q06b Data Quality - Universal Data Elements (Add together percentages for total in this category, higher percentage scores lower than a project with a lower percentage.)	Total of Percentages:		0.00%
Measure Ic	Q06c Data Quality - Income & Housing Data Quality (Add together percentages for total in this category, higher percentage scores lower than a project with a lower percentage.)	Total of Percentages:		0.00%
Measure Id	Q06d Data Quality - Chronic Homelessness (Add "% of Records Unable to Calculate" for total in this category, higher percentage scores lower than a project with a lower percentage.)	Total of Percentages:		0.00%
Measure Ie	Q06e Data Quality - Timeliness - Add Total People Served from Q05a and then values from Q06e the APR to the corresponding day range. This will calculate a percentage based on the total number of people served.	Ie1 Here: 0 Days:	0	0.00%
		Q05a - Total People Served:	0	Ie2 Here: 1-3 Days: 0 0.00%
				Ie3 Here: 4-6 Days: 0 0.00%
				Ie4 Here: 7-10 Days: 0 0.00%
				Ie5 Here: 11+Days: 0 0.00%

Appendix - 2021 CO BoS CoC Renewal Project Ranking Tool

Calculation of Measure A "Percentage of Participants Who Either Stayed in the Program or Exited to Other Permanent Housing." (From the APR):	Calculate Measure A Here!	
<p>A1 Question 5a in the APR identifies the total # of persons served in the project. A1= The "Total Number of Persons Served" Field</p> <p>A2 Question 5a in the APR will identify the number of people that stayed in the program. A2 =Total from the "Number of Stayers" Field</p> <p>A3 Question 23c in the APR identifies the # of people that exited to Permanent Destinations. C= The "Total" Column adjacent to the "Subtotal" Row under "Permanent Destinations" Question 23c "Other Destinations", "Deceased" contains the number of people who have passed away while in the program. This will be added to the "Permanent Destinations"</p> <p>A4 while in the program. This will be added to the "Permanent Destinations"</p> <p>Math: Percentage who either stayed or exited to permanent destinations = (A2+A3+A4)/A1, Multiply by 100 to get the percentage.</p>	Enter A1 Here:	
	Enter A2 Here:	
	Enter A3 Here:	
	Enter A4 Here:	
	A2, A3, A4 Total:	0
	Total Percentage:	0.00%
<p>Answers should autofill in the corresponding Project.</p>		

Calculation of Measure B (From the APR) - Percentage of Adults who Increased or Maintained Income While in the Program.	Calculate Measure B Here!																																																																							
<p>B1 Using the table below as taken from Q19a2 of the APR, the percentage of those that maintained or increased income = (B2 – B1)/B2 Multiply by 100 to get percentage.</p> <p>Q19a2: Client Cash Income Change - Income Source - by Start and Exit</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Had Income Category at Start and Did Not Have it at Exit</th> <th>Retained Income Category but Had Less \$ at Exit than at Start</th> <th>Retained Income Category and Same \$ at Exit as at Start</th> <th>Retained Income Category and Increased \$ at Exit</th> <th>Did Not have the Income Category at Start and Gained the Income Category at Exit</th> <th>Did Not have the Income Category at Start or at Exit</th> <th>Total Adults (Including Those with No Income)</th> <th>Performance Measure: Adults Who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Exit; Average Gain</th> <th>Performance measure: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Number of Adults with Earned Income (i.e., Employment Income)</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>-- %</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average Change in Earned Income</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Number of Adults with Other Income</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>-- %</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average Change in Other Income</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Number of Adults with Any Income (i.e., Total Income)</td> <td colspan="2">Sum = B1</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>B2</td> <td>--</td> <td>-- %</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average Change in Overall Income</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> <td>--</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Had Income Category at Start and Did Not Have it at Exit	Retained Income Category but Had Less \$ at Exit than at Start	Retained Income Category and Same \$ at Exit as at Start	Retained Income Category and Increased \$ at Exit	Did Not have the Income Category at Start and Gained the Income Category at Exit	Did Not have the Income Category at Start or at Exit	Total Adults (Including Those with No Income)	Performance Measure: Adults Who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Exit; Average Gain	Performance measure: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure	Number of Adults with Earned Income (i.e., Employment Income)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-- %	Average Change in Earned Income	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	Number of Adults with Other Income	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-- %	Average Change in Other Income	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	Number of Adults with Any Income (i.e., Total Income)	Sum = B1		--	--	--	--	B2	--	-- %	Average Change in Overall Income	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	Enter B1 Here:	
		Had Income Category at Start and Did Not Have it at Exit	Retained Income Category but Had Less \$ at Exit than at Start	Retained Income Category and Same \$ at Exit as at Start	Retained Income Category and Increased \$ at Exit	Did Not have the Income Category at Start and Gained the Income Category at Exit	Did Not have the Income Category at Start or at Exit	Total Adults (Including Those with No Income)	Performance Measure: Adults Who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Exit; Average Gain	Performance measure: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure																																																														
	Number of Adults with Earned Income (i.e., Employment Income)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-- %																																																														
Average Change in Earned Income	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--																																																															
Number of Adults with Other Income	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-- %																																																															
Average Change in Other Income	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--																																																															
Number of Adults with Any Income (i.e., Total Income)	Sum = B1		--	--	--	--	B2	--	-- %																																																															
Average Change in Overall Income	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--																																																															
Enter B2 Here:																																																																								
Total Percentage:		0.00%																																																																						
<p>Answers should autofill in the corresponding Project.</p>																																																																								

Calculation of Measure C (From the APR) - "Unit Utilization Rate"	Calculate Measure C Here!	
<p>C1 Using APR Q02. Bed and Unit Inventory and Utilization, go to "Units" and record the "Total" number under "As proposed in the Application"</p> <p>C2 Using APR Q02. Bed and Unit Inventory and Utilization, go to "Units" and record the numbers under January, April, July, and October below "Occupied AND Available for Occupancy As Completed in the APR by the Recipient" in the corresponding cells.</p>	C1 Total Units:	
	C2 January Here:	0.00%
	C2 April Here:	0.00%
	C2 July Here:	0.00%
	C2 October Here:	0.00%
	Total Percentage:	0.00%

Math: Divide each of the Months (C2) by the Total Units (C1) and multiply by 100 to get the percentage of each Month. Divide each Month's Percentage by 4 and add the resulting percentages together to get the "Total Percentage".	Answers should autofill in the corresponding Project. If there is some sort of error and the percentage is wrong, you may enter the correct percentage directly in to this cell. (Warning, doing so will remove the formula.)
--	--

Calculation of Measure D (From APR) - "Percentage of Adults Exiting with Non-Cash Benefit Sources."		Calculate Measure D Here!	
D1	From APR Q20(b), record the number in "Total" adjacent to "Benefits at Exit for Leavers" to get D1.	Record D1 here:	
		Record D2 here:	
D2	From Q20(b), record the number in "1+ Source(s)" adjacent from "Benefit at Exit for Leavers" to get D2.	Record D3 here:	
		Total Percentage:	0.00%
D3	From Q23(c), "Exit Destination", record "Other Destinations" "Deceased" adjacent to "Total" to get D3.	Answers should autofill in the corresponding Project.	
	Math: Percentage of Adults Exiting with Non-Cash Benefit Sources = D1+ D3 / D2, Multiple by 100 to get the percentage		
	<i>*Note, any program that has no "Leavers" should be given 100% directly in the Project Tab in Measure D, even though this calculation may show 0% if completed as directed.</i>		

Calculation for Measure E (From APR) - "Percentage of Adults Who Had Cash Income at Program Exit (Including Employment, Disability, etc.)"		Calculate Measure E Here!	
E1	From APR Q18, record the number of "Total Adults" adjacent to "Number of Adults at Exit (Leavers)"	Record E1 here:	0
E2	From APR Q18, record the number of "Adults with Only Earned Income (i.e. Employment Income)" adjacent to "Number of Adults at Exit (Leavers)"	Record E2 here:	0
E3	From APR Q18, record the number of "Adults with Only Other Income" adjacent to "Number of Adults at Exit (Leavers)"	Record E3 here:	0
E4	From APR Q18, record the number of "Adults with Both Earned and Other Income" adjacent to "Number of Adults at Exit (Leavers)"	Record E4 here:	0
	Math: Add together the sources of income (E2, E3, E4) to get the "subtotal". Divide the Subtotal by E1 and multiply by 100 to get the percentage of Adults Who Had Cash Income at Program Exit (Including Employment, Disability, etc.).	Subtotal:	0
		Total Percentage:	0.00%

Calculations for Measure F (From APR) - "Returns to Homelessness"		Calculate Measure D Here!	
F1	From Q23(c), "Temporary Destinations", record Total # of "Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher"	Record F1 here:	0
		Record F2 here:	0
F2	From Q23(c), "Temporary Destinations", record Total # of "Place not meant for habitation (e.g., a vehicle, an abandoned building, bus/train/subway station/airport or anywhere outside)"	Record F3 here:	0
		Subtotal:	0
F3	From Q23(c), go to "Other Destinations", "Deceased", and record the number under "Total".	Record F4 here:	0
		Total Percentage:	0.00%
F4	C. Q5(a), Record "Total Number of Persons Served".		
	Math: Add together F1, F2, and subtract F3 to get the subtotal. Divide the subtotal by F4 and multiply by 100 to get the percentage.		

Automatic Scoring Sheet - 2021 CO BoS CoC Renewal Project Ranking Tool

Proj. Type	#	Project Name	Total Score	Tie Breaker H	Tie Breaker Ia	Tie Breaker Ib	Tie Breaker Ic	Tie Breaker Id	Tie Breaker Ie1	Tie Breaker Ie2	Tie Breaker Ie3	Tie Breaker Ie4	Tie Breaker Ie5
PSH	1	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
RRH or TH	1	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Notes (This space is primarily to be used to note any discrepancies in scoring or just to help with tracking.)

New Project Threshold Questions - These are threshold questions for new projects and each project must meet these to be considered. Mark each answer with an X.		Yes	No	Will Participate/NA
1	Applicant has active SAM registration with current information:			
2	Applicant has a valid DUNS number in application:			
3	Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts - It is HUD policy, consistent with the purposes and intent of 31 U.S.C. 3720B and 28 U.S.C. 3201(e), that applicants with outstanding delinquent federal debt will not be eligible to receive an award of funds, unless: A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD.			
4	Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions - In accordance with 2 CFR 2424, no award of federal funds may be made to debarred or suspended applicants, or those proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal Government.			
5	Applicant has an Accounting System , HUD will not award or disburse funds to applicants that do not have a financial management system that meets federal standards as described at 2 CFR 200.302. HUD may arrange for a survey of financial management systems for applicants selected for award who have not previously received federal financial assistance or where HUD Program officials have reason to question whether a financial management system meets federal standards, or for applicants considered high risk based on past performance or financial management findings.			
6	Disclosure of any violations of Federal criminal law - Applicants must disclose in a timely manner, in writing to HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in 2 CFR §200.338, Remedies for noncompliance, including suspension or debarment. This mandatory disclosure requirement also applies to subrecipients of HUD funds who must disclose to the pass-through entity from which it receives HUD funds.			
7	Demonstrate they are Eligible Project Applicants - Eligible project applicants for the CoC Program Competition are, under 24 CFR 578.15, nonprofit organizations, States, local governments, and instrumentalities of State and local governments. Public housing agencies, as such term is defined in 24 CFR 5.100, are eligible without limitation or exclusion. Neither for-profit entities nor Indian tribes are eligible to apply for grants or to be subrecipients of grant funds.			
8	Submitted the required certifications as specified in the NOFA.			
9	Demonstrated the project is cost-effective , including costs of construction, operations, and supportive services with such costs not deviating substantially from the norm in that locale for the type of structure or kind of activity.			
10	Demonstrated they participate in HMIS - Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, must agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient must not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers must use a comparable database that complies with the federal HMIS data and technical standards. While not prohibited from using HMIS, legal services providers may use a comparable database that complies with federal HMIS data and technical standards, if deemed necessary to protect attorney client privilege.			

11	<p>Demonstrated Project Meets Minimum Project Standards - HUD will assess all new projects for the following minimum project eligibility, capacity, timeliness, and performance standards. Please note that these are minimum threshold criteria. CoCs and project applicants should carefully review each year's NOFA to ensure they understand and have accounted for all applicable standards. To be considered as meeting project quality threshold, all new projects must meet all of the following criteria:</p> <p>Project applicants and potential subrecipients must have satisfactory capacity, drawdowns, and performance for existing grant(s) that are funded under the SHP, S+C, or CoC Program, as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients, regular drawdowns, and timely resolution of any monitoring findings; service providers must use a comparable database that complies with the federal HMIS data and technical standards. While not prohibited from using HMIS, legal services providers may use a comparable database that complies with federal HMIS data and technical standards, if deemed necessary to protect attorney client privilege.</p> <p>For expansion projects, project applicants must clearly articulate the part of the project that is being expanded. Additionally, the project applicants must clearly demonstrate that they are not replacing other funding sources; and,</p> <p>Project applicants must demonstrate they will be able to meet all timeliness standards per 24 CFR 578.85. Project applicants with existing projects must demonstrate that they have met all project renewal threshold requirements of this NOFA. HUD reserves the right to deny the funding request for a new project, if the request is made by an existing recipient that HUD finds to have significant issues related to capacity, performance, unresolved audit or monitoring findings related to one or more existing grants, or does not routinely draw down funds from eLOCCS at least once per quarter. Additionally, HUD reserves the right to withdraw funds if no APR is submitted on the prior grant.</p>			
12	<p>Demonstrated Project is Consistent with Jurisdictional Consolidated Plan(s) - All projects must be consistent with the relevant jurisdictional Consolidated Plan(s). The CoC will be required to submit a Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan at the time of application submission to HUD.</p>			
13	<p>Coordinated Entry Participation (If Applicable)</p> <p>If Not Applicable, Explain:</p>			

New Project Ranking Tool				
Project Name: Organization Name: Project Type (PSH, RRH, TH/RRH, SSO, etc.): Type of Funding (CoC Bonus or DV Bonus):				
1. EXPERIENCE		Minimum to Max Score	Enter Points Awarded Here	Reasoning for Points Awarded (If applicable)
A.	Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.	0-20		
B.	Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, actual or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.	0-15		
C.	Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.	0-10		
2. Design of Housing & Supportive Services		Minimum to Max Score	Enter Points Awarded Here	Reasoning for Points Awarded (If applicable)
A.	Extent to which the applicant	0-20		
1	Demonstrate understanding of the needs of the clients to be served.			
2	Demonstrate type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served.			
3	Demonstrate type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meet the needs of the clients to be served.			
4	Demonstrate how clients will be assisted in obtaining and coordinating the provision of mainstream benefits			
5	Establish performance measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable, and meet or exceed any established HUD, HEARTH or CoC benchmarks.			
B.	Describe how your project has and/or will advance racial equity in housing and services. This should include any assessments done on whether people of marganalized races and/or ethnicities are less likely to receive homeless assistance or positive outcomes, whether racial or ethnic disparities are present, and whether CoCs and homeless providers identified barriers that led to these disparities and have taken steps to eliminate these barriers to improve racial equity. Extra points will be awarded if the project can show that they have reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers that exacerbate disparities and outcomes and/or has leadership at the Board, Agency and/or project level is comprised by individuals who are typically under-represented. (BIPoC, LGBTQIAA+, etc.)	0-15		
C.	New Project describes a plan for reviewing program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including disaggression of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age. This can include infomation about how programattic changes will be made to make program participant outcomes more equitable. If already implementing a plan, describe findings from outcome review.	0-15		
C.	Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.	0-20		
D.	Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.	0-10		
3. TIMELINESS		Minimum to Max Score	Enter Points Awarded Here	Reasoning for Points Awarded (If applicable)
A.	Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.	0-20		
4. FINANCIAL		Minimum to Max Score	Enter Points Awarded Here	Reasoning for Points Awarded (If applicable)
A.	Project is cost-effective - comparing projected cost per person served to CoC average within project type.	0-5		
1	Most recent audit found no exceptions to standard practices	0-5		
2	Most recent audit identified agency as 'low risk'	0-5		
3	Most recent audit indicates no findings	0-5		

C.	Documented match amount.	0-5		
D.	Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.	0-10		
5. PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS		Minimum to Max Score	Enter Points Awarded Here	Reasoning for Points Awarded (If applicable)
Coordinated Entry Participation- 100% of entries to project from CE referrals		0-10		
Total Points Possible (Range):		0-190		
Total Score for Ranking (out of 190):			0	

2COLORADO BALANCE OF STATE CONTINUUM OF CARE

Projects Participating in 2021 Continuum of Care Grant Competition

Projects Up For Renewal This Year (grants expiring in 2022):

Northeast Plains

1. Morgan/Logan Rapid Rehousing (Fort Morgan and Sterling)
(CCH/SHARE/Help for Abused Partners)

Pueblo County

2. Pueblo Permanent Supportive Housing (Pueblo)
(CCH/Posada)

Las Animas/Huerfano Counties

3. Trinidad Transitional Housing (Trinidad)
(CCH/Advocates Against Domestic Assault)

Grand Valley

4. Catholic Outreach Rapid Rehousing (Grand Junction)
(Grand Valley Catholic Outreach)
5. St. Benedict Permanent Supportive Housing (consolidated) (Grand Junction)
(Grand Valley Catholic Outreach)
6. St. Martin Permanent Supportive Housing (Grand Junction)
(Grand Valley Catholic Outreach)

Roaring Fork/Eagle Valleys

7. Eagle Rapid Rehousing (Avon)
(CCH/Bright Future Foundation for Eagle County)

Multiple Regions

8. Balance of State Rapid Rehousing
(Canon City, Durango, Pueblo and Glenwood Springs)
(CCH/Loaves & Fishes/Housing Solutions/Posada/Catholic Charities)

Continuum-Wide

9. Balance of State Permanent Supportive Housing (formerly S+C)
(Alamosa and Grand Junction)
(Colorado Division of Housing and San Luis Valley Mental Health /Grand Jct.
Housing Authority)
10. Balance of State HMIS Expansion Project
(CCH for Balance of State CoC)

Other

11. New Bonus Projects?

Committee Member Scoring	Proj. Type (PSH or RRH/TH)	#	Project Name	Total Score	Tie Breaker H	Tie Breaker Ia	Tie Breaker Ib	Tie Breaker Ic	Tie Breaker Id	Tie Breaker Ie1	Tie Breaker Ie2	Tie Breaker Ie3	Tie Breaker Ie4	Tie Breaker Ie5
Abbie Brewer	RRH	1	Morgan/Logan Rapid Rehousing (Fort Morgan and Sterling) (CCH/SHARE/Help for Abused Partners)	155	\$829.00	87.10%	143.23%	10.00%	18.18%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Denise McHugh	RRH	1	Morgan/Logan Rapid Rehousing (Fort Morgan and Sterling) (CCH/SHARE/Help for Abused Partners)	155	\$829.00	87.10%	143.23%	10.00%	18.18%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Judy McNeilsmith	PSH	2	Pueblo Permanent Supportive Housing (Pueblo) (CCH/Posada)	185	\$13,036.00	0	0	22.22%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	35.00%	0.00%	5.00%
Kirstin Schelling	PSH	2	Pueblo Permanent Supportive Housing (Pueblo) (CCH/Posada)	185	\$13,036.00	0	0	22.22%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	35.00%	0.00%	5.00%
Denise McHugh	TH	3	Trinidad Transitional Housing (Trinidad) (CCH/Advocates Against Domestic Assault)	175	\$0.00	47.62%	85.71%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	47.62%
Kirstin Schelling	TH	3	Trinidad Transitional Housing (Trinidad) (CCH/Advocates Against Domestic Assault)	175	\$0.00	47.62%	85.71%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	47.62%
Jessica Winters	RRH	4	Catholic Outreach Rapid Rehousing (Grand Junction) (Grand Valley Catholic Outreach)	165	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	13.46%	5.77%	17.31%	13.46%	50.00%
Kelly Forstbauer	RRH	4	Catholic Outreach Rapid Rehousing (Grand Junction) (Grand Valley Catholic Outreach)	165	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	13.46%	5.77%	17.31%	13.46%	50.00%
Molly Fisher	PSH	5	St. Benedict Permanent Supportive Housing (consolidated) (Grand Junction) (Grand Valley Catholic Outreach)	160	\$ -	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	10.00%	0.00%	5.00%
Shawn Hayes	PSH	5	St. Benedict Permanent Supportive Housing (consolidated) (Grand Junction) (Grand Valley Catholic Outreach)	160	\$ -	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	10.00%	0.00%	5.00%
Kelly Forstbauer	PSH	6	St. Martin Permanent Supportive Housing (consolidated) (Grand Junction) (Grand Valley Catholic Outreach)	170	\$ -	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	12.50%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Molly Fisher	PSH	6	St. Martin Permanent Supportive Housing (consolidated) (Grand Junction) (Grand Valley Catholic Outreach)	170	\$ -	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	12.50%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Abbie Brewer	RRH	7	Eagle Rapid Rehousing (Avon) (CCH/Bright Future Foundation for Eagle County)	160	\$88.00	100.00%	93.85%	9.09%	8.33%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	38.24%
Judy McNeilsmith	RRH	7	Eagle Rapid Rehousing (Avon) (CCH/Bright Future Foundation for Eagle County)	160	\$88.00	100.00%	93.85%	9.09%	8.33%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	38.24%
Denise McHugh	RRH	8a	Fremont/Custer/Chaffee RRH - Now Balance of State Rapid Rehousing (CCH/Loaves & Fishes/Housing Solutions/Posada/Catholic Charities)	170	\$763.00	1.41%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	21.13%	18.31%	0.00%	4.23%
Kirstin Schelling	RRH	8b	Garfield RRH - Now Balance of State Rapid Rehousing (CCH/Loaves & Fishes/Housing Solutions/Posada/Catholic Charities)	170	\$36,805.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	10.53%	0.00%	26.32%
Lora Zeitler	RRH	8c	Pueblo RRH - Now Balance of State Rapid Rehousing (CCH/Loaves & Fishes/Housing Solutions/Posada/Catholic Charities)	175	\$11,803.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	55.56%	11.11%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Judy McNeilsmith	RRH	8d	Southwest RRH - Now Balance of State Rapid Rehousing (CCH/Loaves & Fishes/Housing Solutions/Posada/Catholic Charities)	190	\$5,914.00	10.81%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	43.24%
	RRH Combined	8	Balance of State RRH (Fremont, Garfield, Pueblo, La Plata)	176.25	\$13,821.25	3.06%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	13.89%	8.06%	7.21%	0.00%	18.45%
Beverly Lampley	PSH	12a	Balance of State Permanent Supportive Housing (formerly S+C) (Alamosa and Grand Junction) (Colorado Division of Housing and San Luis Valley Mental Health /Grand Jct. Housing Authority)	160	\$2,479.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	26.79%
Shawn Hayes	PSH	12a2	Balance of State Permanent Supportive Housing (formerly S+C) (Alamosa and Grand Junction) (Colorado Division of Housing and San Luis Valley Mental Health /Grand Jct. Housing Authority) NoCO Counties	160	\$2,479.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	26.79%
	PSH	12b	Balance of State Permanent Supportive Housing (formerly S+C) (Alamosa and Grand Junction) (Colorado Division of Housing and San Luis Valley Mental Health /Grand Jct. Housing Authority) BoS Counties	160	\$2,479.00	0.00%	0.00%	61.54%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	5.45%
		12	BoS PSH BoS & NoCO Avg	160	\$2,479.00	0.00%	0.00%	30.77%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	16.12%
Denise McHugh	CoC Bonus - SSO	13a	CCH SSO CES New Project	171										
Beverly Lampley	CoC Bonus - SSO	13b	CCH SSO CES New Project	160										
		13	CCH SSO CES New Project - Average	165.5										
Project Ranking Committee Members														
Unfunded	Kirstin Schelling	Centennial Mental Health in Sterling, CO			CoC-Funded	Beverly Lampley	Grand Valley Catholic Outreach in Grand Junction, CO							
Unfunded	Judy McNeilsmith	La Puente Home in Alamosa, CO			CoC-Funded	Shawn Hayes	Colorado Coalition for the Homeless in Denver, CO							
Unfunded	Denise McHugh	Spark Community Foundation & Rural Collab for Homeless Youth, multiple counties in BoS CoC			CoC-Funded	Kelly Forstbauer	Division of Housing in Denver, CO							
Unfunded	Abbie Brewer	Housing Resources of Western Colorado in Montrose, CO			CoC-Funded	Jessica Winters	Loaves & Fishes Ministries in Canon City, CO							
					CoC-Funded	Molly Fisher	Housing Solutions for the Southwest in Durango, CO							
					CoC-Funded	Lora Zeitler	Housing Solutions for the Southwest in Durango, CO							

[illegible]

Colorado Balance of State Continuum of Care CoC Project Ranking

Ranking #	Project Name
1	Balance of State HMIS Expansion Project (CCH for Balance of State CoC)
2	Pueblo Permanent Supportive Housing (Pueblo) (CCH/Posada)
3	Balance of State RRH (Fremont, Garfield, Pueblo, La Plata)
4	Trinidad Transitional Housing (Trinidad) (CCH/Advocates Against Domestic Assault)
5	St. Martin Permanent Supportive Housing (Grand Junction) (Grand Valley Catholic Outreach)
6	Catholic Outreach Rapid Rehousing (Grand Junction) (Grand Valley Catholic Outreach)
7	St. Benedict Permanent Supportive Housing (consolidated) (Grand Junction) (Grand Valley Catholic Outreach)
8	Eagle Rapid Rehousing (Avon) (CCH/Bright Future Foundation for Eagle County)
9	Luis Valley Mental Health /Grand Jct. Housing Authority)
10	Morgan/Logan Rapid Rehousing (Fort Morgan and Sterling) (CCH/SHARE/Help for Abused Partners)
11	CCH SSO CES New Project