



**COLORADO**

**Department of Local Affairs**



# **YOUTH HOMELESSNESS IN COLORADO**

**Advisory Council for Homeless Youth  
& Office of Homeless Youth Services**

**2019 Point in Time  
Youth Supplemental Report**

# Acknowledgements

The 2019 Point in Time Youth (PIT) Supplemental Survey and the accompanying data continues to be the result of multiple partners across Colorado coming together with a willingness to take on additional work and effort. Ultimately, this report is a demonstration of communities working together to raise awareness regarding youth experiencing homelessness.

This year, as with every year, the Office of Homeless Youth Services (OHYS) would like to acknowledge the three Colorado Continuum of Care (CoC) regions for supporting this effort. By training volunteers on an additional survey, incorporating the supplemental questions into the broader Point in Time Count survey, and providing other capacity-based activities, the CoC partners made this report possible.

OHYS also like to acknowledge the partners and volunteers who engaged in the process of surveying people experiencing homelessness. Some volunteers know intimately the value of this survey and having the voice of all people experiencing homelessness heard, while others have only interacted with people without homes on this one day. Either way, volunteers came together with other community members to ensure everyone was heard and had a chance to stand up and say “I’m here!”

This year the CoCs and OHYS concentrated on engaging Colorado School Districts in the PIT process. The PIT is additional work for everyone involved, including schools. Schools also have federal privacy laws to consider. In addition to the complexity of the laws, they also have to keep in mind differences in homelessness definitions and family type. Several schools were active partners in problem-solving ways to protect privacy and ensure families and youth without housing were counted.

Lastly and most importantly, OHYS extends thanks to the youth of Colorado. Youth are valuable in this process for two roles: being reviewers on the questions and being the respondents. The entire system of youth homelessness will improve because of the feedback and responses given to these questions.

Thank you.

# 2019 POINT IN TIME/YOUTH SUPPLEMENTAL SURVEY

According to the 2018 Annual Homeless Assessment Report to Congress, Point in Time Counts (PIT) are “unduplicated one-night estimates of both sheltered and unsheltered homeless populations. The one-night counts are conducted by CoC’s nationwide and occur during the last week in January of each year.”<sup>(1,2)</sup>

The Youth Supplemental Survey (YSS) is an additional survey that occurs in conjunction with the PIT in Colorado. The YSS is not a PIT count, YSS does not use the same definitions, and does not get reported to Congress through the same reporting measures as the PIT data. Instead, the YSS uses the foundational basis of the PIT to obtain additional life experience information, specifically on youth under 25, who are experiencing homelessness. This information is collected specifically in Colorado and is used to raise awareness of the need throughout Colorado communities. The data guides recommendations to advance communities’ efforts to resolve homelessness for youth, including prevention strategies, new resources, and data collection and analysis.

## Purpose

The effort in adding an additional survey to the PIT to specifically capture information about the life experiences of youth experiencing homelessness was born in the Colorado Advisory Council for Homeless Youth (ACHY) in 2017. The providers who attend ACHY mentioned the value of the data, but the desire to know more than the survey was currently capturing. This conversation aligned well to the national goal around ending youth homelessness as proposed by the United States Interagency Council on Homelessness’s in Opening Doors: The Federal Strategic Plan to Prevent and End Homelessness. The goal set by Opening Doors was to end youth homelessness by 2020. In 2018, Opening Doors was updated with Home, Together: The Federal Strategic Plan to Prevent and End Homelessness. Home, Together’s key elements are ensuring that homelessness is rare, brief, and one-time. For both of these reports, PIT is used as one of the methods to measure success on their listed goals.

To that end, YSS was proposed to learn more about youth who are experiencing homelessness in Colorado and to use the data collected to guide communities to improve the supports and solutions for youth without housing through the development of resources or new practices.

## Methodology

PIT counts are planned and conducted by CoCs. HUD nationally identifies communities through regional planning and coordinating bodies, known as CoCs. Currently, Colorado is divided into three: Balance of State (56 counties), El Paso/Pikes Peak Region (one county), and the Metropolitan Denver Homeless Initiative (MDHI) (seven counties). The basic methodology for the YSS is operated through CoC coordination.

(1) CoC - Continuum of Care

(2) <https://files.hudexchange.info/resources/documents/2018-AHAR-Part-1.pdf>

A map of Colorado showing its 64 counties. The counties are labeled with their names. The counties immediately surrounding Denver and its surrounding areas are highlighted in blue. These include Boulder, Broomfield, Adams, Arapahoe, Jefferson, and Douglas. The county of El Paso is highlighted in light gray. The rest of the state's counties are shown in a light green color.

The initial list of questions were formed by reviewing previous youth specific questions, such as those included in the Voices of Youth Count, The United States Department for Housing and Urban Development (HUD) proposed youth questions, and questions related to the United States Interagency Council on Homelessness (USICH) framework to end youth homeelssness (i.e. questions around education, employment, health care access, and permanent connections, etc).

**Training on the Surveys** Each CoC trained and encouraged their volunteers to use the YSS. Collected data was provided to OHYS to begin analysis and the development of this report.

# Conducting the Survey

## Data Types

**Point In Time** The PIT data collected includes demographic data: gender, age, race/ethnicity, disability (and type), as well as any current experience of domestic violence and the number of people in the family. In some cases, the PIT data was the only data collected. For a variety of logistical reasons, there were 202 respondents who completed only the PIT survey questions. The data collected provides a valuable level of introductory data. This is the most prevalent data type received as this information is required and sent directly to HUD for their AHAR to Congress.

**Youth Supplemental Survey** The YSS data collected includes life experience data: public systems involvement (foster care and justice involvement); age at first homelessness episode; if the respondent is responsible for minors; education and highest educational level; barriers to services; and any income earnings. The YSS is not required, and in some cases was not completed. There were 37 respondents who completed only this survey.

**Both Surveys: 595 Respondents Total** In some cases, both surveys were completed. This accounted for 356 respondents, who did both.

## Data Collection

It is also important to note how data was collected. Each CoC conducted the survey in different ways. Balance of State used paper survey format. A significant portion of rural areas in Colorado struggle with reliable access to the internet, especially free wireless. This created a challenge to implement an electronic survey widely and consistently.

MDHI encouraged a paperless process by putting the PIT, YSS, and elective Veterans Survey into an app format to be used by volunteers on personal mobile devices.

Pikes Peak had both electronic survey formats and paper surveys available to volunteers.

## Even vs. Odd Years

An important nuance to highlight is the HUD requirement to complete a PIT count every year. While the count is required to occur, the type of count varies by 'Even Years' and 'Odd Years.' This report covers the 2019 PIT Count, which is an 'Odd Year' report. The distinctions between the two years are covered below:

**Even Years** are only required to be a count of every person experiencing homelessness staying in a shelter or other eligible housing program, this is often referred to as a Sheltered Count.

**Odd Years** are counts often referred to as an Unsheltered Count and include all the people that would be counted during an Even Year plus persons experiencing literal (or street/unsheltered) homelessness.

These nuances become impactful as data is compared from year to year, as the type of count is often capturing different distinctions of homelessness. To add complexity, two CoCs in Colorado conduct an Unsheltered Count every year, those CoCs are MDHI and Pikes Peak. Conducting an unsheltered count takes extensive resources, planning, and volunteer base, and therefore is often not feasible for many communities to complete every year. As a result, this may have an impact on data variation between reports for Balance of State and for purposes of this report.

## Definition Types

As previously mentioned, the YSS is a complement to the PIT and occurs in conjunction with the PIT, but has the capacity to collect data using different definitions. The YSS captures data on an extended definition of homelessness compared to the HUD definition used for the PIT. The two definitions are described below:

**PIT Definition** The definition used to gain data that gets reported to HUD “is an Individual or family who lacks a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence, (i) has a primary nighttime residence that is a public or private place not meant for human habitation; (ii) is living in a publicly or privately operated shelter.

A note about people in housing programs: A person is eligible to be counted as sheltered but still homeless if they are living in an emergency shelter, Safe Haven, or a transitional living program.

**YSS Definition** The definition for this report includes youth headed (13-24) households eligible to be reported to HUD as described above and youth who are sharing the housing of other persons due to loss of housing, economic hardship, or a similar reason (often referred to as couch surfing). The description of a youth headed household is intended to describe a situation where the youth is not accompanied by any parent/guardian/other family member regardless of legality of the placement. E.g., if a youth is stable with an aunt, even if the aunt hasn’t been recognized as a guardian, the youth would not be counted for the purpose of this report. The YSS definition is intended to align with and promote participation with school districts, however the legality of guardianship is not aligned with the McKinney-Vento definition. This divergence comes from the difference between reporting about family vs. youth homelessness.

Although the definitions differ, the guidance was to give both the PIT and YSS to anyone under 25, even if they are not eligible to be reported to HUD with the AHAR. The information is still valuable and prevents us from asking the same questions twice to those who are eligible.

## (a) vs. (b) Charts

This year, OHYS is presenting the data through two distinct populations: (a) those that self reported not being enrolled in school and (b) those that self reported being enrolled in school. This deep analysis is one way to identify barriers and life experiences that may differ depending on school enrollment status. This data is important for one main reason, students in a school setting have educational rights allowed to them under the federal McKinney-Vento Act. This act requires every school district to have an identified person who can connect with the student and ensure they have access to education. The school districts, through McKinney-Vento, often report higher numbers than other data sources on the number of youth experiencing homelessness. This means there is a large gap in data collected through PIT/YSS and data collected through schools. This report is meant to begin bridging that gap.



School Districts face an additional barrier to participating in PIT through privacy laws. Privacy laws serve a purpose and help keep information on vulnerable populations safe. These laws, pose a challenge in collecting and sharing data collected in schools about students. In most cases, schools have great relationships with students experiencing homelessness and schools often know where the youth is to make surveying easier. Schools are covered under two primary laws: Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) which states individualized data cannot be reported on students and the Protection of Pupil Rights Amendment (PPRA) which protects students from being surveyed that will reveal certain types of personal information without the permission of a parent or legal guardian. These laws often result in schools feeling that they are unable to participate in the count activities and continue to result in their youth (and families) not being counted. As a result of not being counted, resources for these populations continue to not be developed. Work is being done in this arena to ensure youth data is protected and to ensure youth resources are being developed.

## Challenges with YSS Data

PIT and YSS are not intended to be the only source of data used to raise awareness and solve homelessness. While PIT can help identify trends, PIT data should be combined with several other data sources to help create a comprehensive measurement of the need and response system's impact.

## Get Involved

If you have not participated as a volunteer for your community's PIT Count effort, please consider volunteering. Every community in the state puts forth effort to identify every youth experiencing homelessness by conducting the annual HUD PIT Count and Youth Supplemental Survey. Each survey gives a youth a voice and helps improve the community for other youth struggling to secure housing. This is especially important for service providers outside of housing specifically, because youth often disclose housing instability to a trusted adult rather than seek services.


To participate in future counts, please contact the Office of Homeless Youth Services within the Colorado Division of Housing or your Regional CoC.

<https://www.colorado.gov/dola/office-homeless-youth-services-ohys>


## Trends

The number of youth counted in the 2017 inaugural PIT/YSS Count was the highest to date. This could be due to an increased effort to maximize on the initial year of the count. In addition, 2018, being an Even Year (sheltered only count) was anticipated to be the lowest count of youth.

Trend: Number of Youth Counted




Trend: Number of Counties




The number of counties that participate in the PIT/YSS has increased 28.6% from the first year to the current year. This growth highlights the efforts of the CoC to work with and train the communities to participate in this effort.

There have been 13 counties who have participated in the PIT/YSS for all three years of this effort. This level of commitment to participate helps track changes within those participating communities, as demonstrated in the Community Table Section.

Number of Counties per Years of Participation



Trend: Older at Time of Survey Than First Experience



The results of the data is analyzed to see if respondents are currently older than they reported their first homelessness experience. This significant data points to the fact that the majority of the youth (89% for 2019) in the experience of homelessness are either not first time homeless or have been in a prolonged period of homelessness. As this chart shows, this percentage has increased overtime by 11.3%. This also points to a need to look closer at the age of experience to determine interventions to prevent unaccompanied youth homelessness to address inflow.




## Location

In comparison of PIT/YSS data and McKinney Vento Data, the CoC spread of youth counted in both cases seems to be aligned closely. This indicates that although both data sources are anticipated to be undercounts, the sample may be a good indicator of other trends.(3)


### CoC Responses

595 responses



### McKinney Vento Data by CoC


2,397 Unaccompanied Homeless Identified Youth



The 2019 PIT/YSS had the highest number of counties participate in all three years of this effort.

### Number of Youth Per County


592 responses



**Mobility** The majority (72.7%) of youth experiencing homelessness in Colorado had their last stable address in Colorado. This is especially true for youth who report attending school (83.2%) suggesting that being enrolled in school has a correlation with the likelihood of staying in your community even if housing becomes unstable. And that overall, youth may be less mobile than previously assumed.


### Mobility (a)

296 respondents



### Mobility (b)

101 respondents



(3) [https://www.cde.state.co.us/dropoutprevention/homeless\\_data](https://www.cde.state.co.us/dropoutprevention/homeless_data)

For more information on the difference of (a) vs. (b) charts, see page 6

Office of Homeless Youth Services


## Definition

**HUD Definition** As mentioned in the Methodology section, the YSS used an extended definition of homelessness when compared with the PIT survey, these charts demonstrate how many of the youth counted did meet the HUD definition and were reported to HUD and subsequently to Congress. The chart shows, youth not enrolled in school were more likely to meet the HUD definition when compared with their peers who are enrolled in school (81.6% v 51.6%).

HUD Definition (a)

468 respondents


Unknown  
5.3%



HUD Definition (b)

122 respondents


Unknown  
0.8%



**Location Slept** The two most common places for someone not enrolled in school to be sleeping are in Shelter and Outside whereas the two most common places for someone who is enrolled in school to be sleeping is With Family (other than parents/guardians) or in Shelter. This exemplifies the continued need for schools and shelters to collaborate on providing transportation services and support for education for their shared populations.


Location Slept (a)

451 respondents



Location Slept (b)

122 respondents



For more information on the difference of (a) vs. (b) charts, see page 6

# Demographics


**Age** The majority of youth under 18 (68.4%) are enrolled in school.

20.3% of youth under 18 were in Jefferson County.

45.6% of youth under 18 met the HUD definition of homelessness


Age (a)

365 respondents



Age (b)


121 respondents



**Gender** Youth enrolled in school were slightly more likely (3.3% v 1.9%) than those not in school to report being a minority gender identity (Gender Fluid, Gender Non-Conforming, Non-Binary, and Two-Spirit). However, for both populations, Male is the predominant gender identity.


Gender Identity (a)

463 respondents



Gender Identity (b)

122 respondents




For more information on the difference of (a) vs. (b) charts, see page 6

Office of Homeless Youth Services

**Sexual Orientation** Nationwide, homeless service providers report approximately 40% of youth identify as LGBTQ+, the PIT/YSS found that of youth who were not enrolled in school, 22.4% reported being LGBTQ+ and that of youth who were enrolled in school 10% reported being LGBTQ+. While this appears lower than the national average, coordination with service providers to collect data on those served should be analyzed to determine the accuracy of the data collected during PIT/YSS. The data may vary by national estimates because of the data collection methodology in self report and short term interview style.


Sexual Orientation (a)

259 respondents



Sexual Orientation (b)

120 respondents




**Race & Ethnicity** While white youth continue to represent the largest number of youth experiencing homelessness, youth of color are overrepresented when compared to the general population of Colorado. This overrepresentation is true for both youth enrolled and youth not enrolled in school. These charts exemplify the overrepresentation especially for American Indian, Black, Multiple Races, and Native Hawaiian youth. In addition, the same overrepresentation is seen for Hispanic youth.

Communities across the state are beginning to look at the data associated with service provision and how it relates to equitable access for all, especially as it concerns race.


Race (a)

450 respondents




Ethnicity (a)

442 respondents




Race (b)

103 respondents



Ethnicity (b)

103 respondents




For more information on the difference of (a) vs. (b) charts, see page 6

**Disability** Having a disabling condition can be a barrier to accessing services or maintaining stable housing (at least 26 youth reported the presence of a disability as a barrier to accessing and maintaining services). For youth enrolled in school, the presence of a disabling condition is slightly less than youth not currently enrolled in school. However, for both groups, of youth who responded yes to having a disabling condition, the majority responded it was related to mental health followed by substance use. Because youth could respond to having multiple disabling conditions, this chart also demonstrates the presence of multiple conditions, approximately 112 youth have multiple types of conditions.


Disability (a)

442 respondents




Disability (b)

104 respondents




Disability Types (a)

262 respondents




Disability Types (b)

46 respondents




Number of Disabling Conditions (a)

262 respondents



Number of Disabling Conditions (b)

46 respondents



For more information on the difference of (a) vs. (b) charts, see page 6


Office of Homeless Youth Services

## Life Experiences

**Domestic Violence** Prevalence of Domestic Violence seems to be decreasing from 20.7% in 2017 to 10.3% in 2019, this overall decrease-trend may be accurate but it is likely the number is a undercount.


Domestic Violence Experience (a)

428 respondents



Domestic Violence Experience (b)

103 respondents




**Foster Care** The experience of Foster Care for youth experiencing homelessness has maintained around 40% (42-40%) in the three years of this additional survey.

For youth enrolled in school, this number appears lower and it is important to note that outreach for this effort was geared toward McKinney-Vento providers in schools. Youth who are in school and in Foster Care connect with a different staff person in the schools called a Child Welfare Educational Liaison.

Of youth not enrolled in school, Foster Care exits were a close mix between Positive Exits (Adopted/ Reunified) with Negative Exits (Aged Out/Ranaway). For youth enrolled in school, Positive Exits are much more common, 78% exits were positive compared to 46.9%.


Foster Care Experience (a)

267 respondents




Of Those Responded with Yes, How Left (a)

109 respondents




Foster Care Experience (b)

121 respondents



Of Those Responded with Yes, How Left (b)

42 respondents




For more information on the difference of (a) vs. (b) charts, see page 6



**Corrections** Youth enrolled in school are less likely than their peers not enrolled in school to have experienced a corrections experience (44.2% v. 55.6%). For both groups, if the youth did experience corrections experience, it most likely occurred with the Division of Youth Services and may be eligible to be expunged from their records. *Ensuring there is a connection to legal support for this process may help communities combat the negative implications for the youth with this experience.*


Corrections Experience (a)

259 respondents




If Yes, Type of Experience (a)

144 respondents




Corrections Experience (b)

120 respondents



If Yes, Type of Experience (b)

53 respondents




**Overall Systems** The majority of youth have had some form of systems involvement (68.8% not enrolled in school and 55.7% youth enrolled in school). If youth did have system's involvement, they were least likely to experience Foster Care only.

Because of the intersection with systems involvement and the experience of homelessness, it is important to look at the impact of multiple systems involvement as well. As this chart demonstrates, a large proportion of both populations have experience with multiple systems. In addition, data suggests that Colorado's rates of multiple systems involvement is higher than the national average (21% Colorado vs. 19% for National) for Foster Care involved youth at age 19.<sup>(4)</sup>


Overall Systems Involvement (a)

247 respondents



Overall Systems Involvement (b)

115 respondents



(4) [https://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/cb/percent\\_incarceration.pdf](https://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/cb/percent_incarceration.pdf)

For more information on the difference of (a) vs. (b) charts, see page 6


**Permanent Connections** Both groups felt there was an existing connection between them and an adult in majority of the cases. When asked about the connection between the youth and the adult, family was the top answer for both groups.

To highlight the Case Manager role, youth not enrolled in school reported having a higher connection to a Case Manager (20.3%) when compared to their enrolled in school peers (17.1%). This is a key factor because in most cases, there is a support person built into the school system and are connecting with students who struggle with housing instability. When looking at connection to Case Managers, youth that reported having systems involvement (Foster Care (28%) or Corrections (24.4%)) reported the highest rates of connection.

In total, of the youth who had responded they felt connected to an adult, only about 9% selected more than one category of person. (Category of person includes: CM, Family, Friend)


Connection to Adult (a)

256 respondents




Type of Adult (a)

256 respondents




Connection to Adult (b)

120 respondents



Type of Adult

105 respondents




For more information on the difference of (a) vs. (b) charts, see page 6

**First Experience** The youngest person to self report homelessness without a parent or guardian was 12 years old.

Youth not enrolled in school experienced homelessness without a parent in more cases when compared with their enrolled in school peers (54.2% v. 37.2%) In addition, youth not enrolled in school tended to follow the expected trajectory of generally being accompanied by a parent if the experience was younger then around 18 it was a 50/50 shot, at which point it was more common to be unaccompanied by a parent. This is not a trend that is seen with the youth enrolled in school, for this, there is no notable trend tied to the first experience of homelessness being accompanied or


Experienced Homelessness With a Parent (a)

260 respondents




First Experience with Homelessness (a)

212 respondents




Experienced Homelessness with a Parent (b)

121 respondents



First Experience with Homelessness (b)

85 respondents



**Longitudinal Findings** In the 2019 PIT/ YSS, there were 38 youth identified as having been counted in 2019 and 2018. The following breakout indicates what CoC they were counted in: BOS: 9 (23.7%) MDHI: 21 (55.3%) PP: 8 (21%).

77.1% of youth counted in multiple years indicated the presence of a disabling condition, indicating they may meet the definition of Chronically Homeless.

60% had foster care experience, 58% of those who had foster care experience reported leaving foster care through either Aging Out or Running Away. Of the 22 youth that responded to the question about being a parent, 6 stated they were parenting youth (27.3%).

Location Slept for Longitudinal Youth

36 respondents



The most common barrier faced was Transportation, then Affordable Housing, and finishing with a three way tie between Lack of Income, Lack of Vital Documents, and Currently Being on a Waiting List.

For more information on the difference of (a) vs. (b) charts, see page 6

Office of Homeless Youth Services


**Parenting Youth** Youth not enrolled in school are more likely to have their own child when compared with youth that are enrolled in school. However, youth enrolled in school were more likely to be caring for a sibling (25%) when compared to youth not enrolled in school (4.4%). This may be because a youth's own child may be younger and not able to be enrolled in school themselves which may put barriers on the youth to attend school, whereas siblings may be older and in school as well.

Conversely, if the youth is parenting (either their own child, a sibling, or a significant other's child), being enrolled in school may mean the youth is more likely to be sleeping outside (30%) compared to their peers not enrolled in school (17.6%).

\*for purposes of the comparison, sleeping outside or in car are grouped together as sleeping outside.


**Youth with Children (a)**

289 respondents




**Youth with Children (b)**

120 respondents




**Relationship to Child (a)**

68 respondents




**Relationship to Child (b)**

20 respondents




**Location Slept for Parenting Youth (a)**

74 respondents



**Location Slept for Parenting Youth (b)**

20 respondents




For more information on the difference of (a) vs. (b) charts, see page 6

**Education** For those students not in school or youth represented in the (a) charts, the majority (56.2%) have earned a basic education (High School Graduation or GED). Still, the rate for those who do not have a basic education (43.8%) is high. For those youth who are currently receiving income, it is more likely that youth has achieved a basic level of education (62.2%). It is more likely to earn money if you have a basic level of education.

*Communities would benefit from learning more about the barriers to attaining high school level education and work on implementing alternative programs like GED Prep Courses or offering Credit Recovery programs.*


Graduation Rate for those Not in School (a)

242 respondents



Earning Money v. Education Level (a)


247 respondents



**Employment/Income** Lack of Income is a major issue for youth (as demonstrated in the Barriers Faced section), however, the majority of youth have income, with the majority of the income being from an earned source. *This comparison exemplifies the need for jobs with livable wages which often are not obtained by youth. Youth are generally entering the workforce with minimum wage jobs which youth are self reporting as still resulting in the need for more income.*


Youth with Income (a)

266 respondents




Income Source (a)

151 respondents




Youth with Income (b)

120 respondents



Income Source (b)

65 respondents



For more information on the difference of (a) vs. (b) charts, see page 6

Office of Homeless Youth Services


Youth not enrolled in school had an average of 4 barriers per youth, whereas youth enrolled in school had an average of 3 barriers per youth. Both groups had the same top three barriers:

- Lack of Income
- Affordable Housing
- Lack of Transportation

When it comes to Number of Barriers Faced, youth enrolled in school have a mostly downward trend with One Barrier faced as the highest and the lowest at 7 or more Barriers Faced. Conversely, for youth not enrolled in school, the Number of Barriers Faced, seem to be mostly populated in key numbers such as one, four, and seven or more.


Number of Barriers Faced (a)

266 respondents




Barriers Faced when Accessing Housing/Services (a)

240 respondents




Number of Barriers Faced (b)

117 respondents



Number of Barriers Faced (b)

105 respondents



For more information on the difference of (a) vs. (b) charts, see page 6



## Continuum of Care Data

### Balance of State

HUD Definition	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS #	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	63.75%	11.11%	89	35.46%	20.00%
Unknown	N/A	N/A	18	7.17%	5.25%
Yes	36.25%	88.89%	144	57.37%	74.75%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	251	100.00%	100.00%
Gender	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS #	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Doesn't Identify	0.31%	1.64%	0	0.00%	0.34%
Female	45.14%	37.70%	97	39.92%	35.17%
Male	53.92%	59.02%	139	57.20%	60.17%
Transgender	0.63%	1.64%	3	1.23%	2.07%
Minority Gender ID	N/A	N/A	4	1.65%	2.24%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	243	98.35%	100.00%
Sexual Orientation	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS #	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Bisexual	N/A	7.14%	9	5.84%	8.27%
Gay/Lesbian	N/A	3.57%	3	1.95%	1.60%
Straight	N/A	85.71%	129	83.77%	81.60%
Minority Orientation	N/A	3.57%	13	8.44%	8.53%
Total	N/A	100.00%	154	100.00%	100.00%
Age by Number	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS #	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
11-17	25.57%	12.50%	43	29.86%	14.65%
18	21.80%	29.17%	23	15.97%	10.19%
19	18.80%	16.67%	27	18.75%	13.38%
20	8.27%	4.17%	11	7.64%	13.38%
21	6.02%	0.00%	8	5.56%	8.70%
22	6.77%	4.17%	10	6.94%	12.95%
23	6.02%	25.00%	10	6.94%	13.59%
24	6.77%	8.33%	12	8.33%	13.16%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	144	100.00%	100.00%

# Balance of State

Race	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS #	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	5.94%	6.45%	14	6.01%	6.03%
Asian	0.31%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.37%
Black	5.94%	4.84%	13	5.58%	12.07%
Multiple Races	3.75%	16.13%	26	11.16%	14.81%
Native Hawaiian	1.88%	0.00%	2	0.86%	1.10%
White	82.19%	72.58%	178	76.39%	65.63%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	233	100.00%	100.00%
Ethnicity	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS #	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Hispanic	35.98%	21.67%	77	34.07%	32.04%
Non Hispanic	64.02%	78.33%	149	65.93%	67.96%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	226	100.00%	100.00%
Disability	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS #	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	75.23%	62.50%	109	46.58%	43.62%
Yes	24.77%	37.50%	125	53.42%	56.38%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	234	100.00%	100.00%
Disability Type	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS #	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Mental Health	77.33%	75.00%	65	52.00%	52.46%
Physical Health	22.67%	10.00%	8	6.40%	6.56%
Both	N/A	15.00%	12	9.60%	15.74%
Substance Use	N/A	N/A	59	47.20%	52.13%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	144	115.20%	126.89%
Domestic Violence	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS #	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	80.63%	83.61%	208	89.27%	89.54%
Yes	19.37%	16.39%	25	10.73%	10.46%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	233	100.00%	100.00%

# Balance of State

Foster Care	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS #	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	62.11%	62.07%	110	69.62%	61.15%
Yes	37.89%	37.93%	48	30.38%	38.85%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	158	100.00%	100.00%
Corrections	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS #	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	62.11%	61.29%	86	56.58%	46.41%
Yes	37.89%	38.71%	66	43.42%	53.59%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	152	100.00%	100.00%
With Children	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS #	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	83.95%	80.00%	135	80.36%	77.53%
Yes	16.05%	20.00%	33	19.64%	22.47%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	168	100.00%	100.00%
In School	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS #	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	58.13%	72.97%	92	57.86%	68.23%
Yes	41.88%	27.03%	67	42.14%	31.77%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	159	100.00%	100.00%
High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS #	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
HS Grad/GED	64.04%	63.16%	51	62.96%	57.32%
HS Drop Out	35.96%	36.84%	30	37.04%	42.68%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	81	100.00%	100.00%
Currently Earning Money	2017 BoS %	2018 BoS %	2019 BoS #	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	60.65%	51.35%	77	49.36%	41.70%
Yes	39.35%	48.65%	79	50.64%	58.30%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	156	100.00%	100.00%

## Balance Of State County Data

### Fremont

HUD Def	2019 Fremont #	2019 Fremont %	2019 BoS %	2020 Fremont #
No	2	16.67%	35.46%	3
Unknown	0	0.00%	7.17%	1
Yes	10	83.33%	57.37%	11
Total	12	100.00%	100.00%	15
Gender	2019 Fremont #	2019 Fremont %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Doesn't Identify	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.34%
Female	1	9.09%	39.92%	35.17%
Male	10	90.91%	57.20%	60.17%
Transgender	0	0.00%	1.23%	2.07%
Minority Gender ID	0	0.00%	1.65%	2.24%
Total	11	100.00%	98.35%	100.00%
Sexual Orientation	2019 Fremont #	2019 Fremont %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Bisexual	0	0.00%	5.84%	8.27%
Gay/Lesbian	0	0.00%	1.95%	1.60%
Straight	5	100.00%	83.77%	81.60%
Minority Orientation	0	0.00%	8.44%	8.53%
Total	5	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Age by #	2019 Fremont #	2019 Fremont %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
11-17	0	0.00%	29.86%	14.65%
18	0	0.00%	15.97%	10.19%
19	1	33.33%	18.75%	13.38%
20	0	0.00%	7.64%	13.38%
21	0	0.00%	5.56%	8.70%
22	1	33.33%	6.94%	12.95%
23	0	0.00%	6.94%	13.59%
24	1	33.33%	8.33%	13.16%
Total	3	100.00%	1	1

# Fremont

Race	2019 Fremont #	2019 Fremont %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0.00%	6.01%	6.03%
Asian	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.37%
Black	1	14.29%	5.58%	12.07%
Multiple Races	0	0.00%	11.16%	14.81%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.00%	0.86%	1.10%
White	6	85.71%	76.39%	65.63%
Total	7	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Ethnicity	2019 Fremont #	2019 Fremont %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Hispanic	1	20.00%	34.07%	32.04%
Non Hispanic	4	80.00%	65.93%	67.96%
Total	5	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Disability	2019 Fremont #	2019 Fremont %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	5	71.43%	46.58%	43.62%
Yes	2	28.57%	53.42%	56.38%
Total	7	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Disability Type	2019 Fremont #	2019 Fremont %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Mental Health	0	0.00%	52.00%	52.46%
Physical Health	2	100.00%	6.40%	6.56%
Both	0	0.00%	9.60%	15.74%
Substance Use	0	0.00%	47.20%	52.13%
Total	2	100.00%	115.20%	126.89%
Domestic Violence	2019 Fremont #	2019 Fremont %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	7	100.00%	89.27%	89.54%
Yes	0	0.00%	10.73%	10.46%
Total	7	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

# Fremont

Foster Care	2019 Fremont #	2019 Fremont %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	2	40.00%	69.62%	61.15%
Yes	3	60.00%	30.38%	38.85%
Total	5	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Corrections	2019 Fremont #	2019 Fremont %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	3	75.00%	56.58%	46.41%
Yes	1	25.00%	43.42%	53.59%
Total	4	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
With Children	2019 Fremont #	2019 Fremont %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	4	57.14%	80.36%	77.53%
Yes	3	42.86%	19.64%	22.47%
Total	7	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
In School	2019 Fremont #	2019 Fremont %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	5	100.00%	57.86%	68.23%
Yes	0	0.00%	42.14%	31.77%
Total	5	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2019 Fremont #	2019 Fremont %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
HS Grad/GED	2	40.00%	62.96%	57.32%
HS Drop Out	3	60.00%	37.04%	42.68%
Total	5	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Currently Earning Money	2019 Fremont #	2019 Fremont %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	3	60.00%	49.36%	41.70%
Yes	2	40.00%	50.64%	58.30%
Total	5	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%



# La Plata

HUD Def	2019 La Plata #	2019 La Plata %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	2	20.00%	35.46%	20.00%
Unknown	1	10.00%	7.17%	5.25%
Yes	7	70.00%	57.37%	74.75%
Total	10	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Gender	2019 La Plata #	2019 La Plata %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Doesn't Identify	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.34%
Female	6	66.67%	39.92%	35.17%
Male	3	33.33%	57.20%	60.17%
Transgender	0	0.00%	1.23%	2.07%
Minority Gender ID	0	0.00%	1.65%	2.24%
Total	9	100.00%	98.35%	100.00%

Sexual Orientation	2019 La Plata #	2019 La Plata %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Bisexual	1	12.50%	5.84%	8.27%
Gay/Lesbian	0	0.00%	1.95%	1.60%
Straight	5	62.50%	83.77%	81.60%
Minority Orientation	2	25.00%	8.44%	8.53%
Total	8	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Age by #	2019 La Plata #	2019 La Plata %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
11-17	0	0.00%	29.86%	14.65%
18	0	0.00%	15.97%	10.19%
19	1	20.00%	18.75%	13.38%
20	0	0.00%	7.64%	13.38%
21	0	0.00%	5.56%	8.70%
22	2	40.00%	6.94%	12.95%
23	0	0.00%	6.94%	13.59%
24	2	40.00%	8.33%	13.16%
Total	5	100.00%	1	1

# La Plata

Race	2019 La Plata #	2019 La Plata %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	3	27.27%	6.01%	6.03%
Asian	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.37%
Black	0	0.00%	5.58%	12.07%
Multiple Races	2	18.18%	11.16%	14.81%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.00%	0.86%	1.10%
White	6	54.55%	76.39%	65.63%
Total	11	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Ethnicity	2019 La Plata #	2019 La Plata %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Hispanic	3	27.27%	34.07%	32.04%
Non Hispanic	8	72.73%	65.93%	67.96%
Total	11	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Disability	2019 La Plata #	2019 La Plata %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	3	27.27%	46.58%	43.62%
Yes	8	72.73%	53.42%	56.38%
Total	11	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Disability Type	2019 La Plata #	2019 La Plata %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Mental Health	5	55.56%	52.00%	52.46%
Physical Health	2	22.22%	6.40%	6.56%
Both	1	11.11%	9.60%	15.74%
Substance Use	1	11.11%	47.20%	52.13%
Total	9	100.00%	115.20%	126.89%
Domestic Violence	2019 La Plata #	2019 La Plata %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	6	54.55%	89.27%	89.54%
Yes	5	45.45%	10.73%	10.46%
Total	11	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

# La Plata

Foster Care	2019 La Plata #	2019 La Plata %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	6	75.00%	69.62%	61.15%
Yes	2	25.00%	30.38%	38.85%
Total	8	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Corrections	2019 La Plata #	2019 La Plata %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	6	75.00%	56.58%	46.41%
Yes	2	25.00%	43.42%	53.59%
Total	8	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
With Children	2019 La Plata #	2019 La Plata %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	8	88.89%	80.36%	77.53%
Yes	1	11.11%	19.64%	22.47%
Total	9	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
In School	2019 La Plata #	2019 La Plata %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	8	100.00%	57.86%	68.23%
Yes	0	0.00%	42.14%	31.77%
Total	8	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2019 La Plata #	2019 La Plata %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
HS Grad/GED	4	57.14%	62.96%	57.32%
HS Drop Out	3	42.86%	37.04%	42.68%
Total	7	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Currently Earning Money	2019 La Plata #	2019 La Plata %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	1	12.50%	49.36%	41.70%
Yes	7	87.50%	50.64%	58.30%
Total	8	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

# Larimer

HUD Def	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer #	2019 Larimer %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	N/A	23.08%	16	35.56%	35.46%	20.00%
Unknown	N/A	N/A	0	0.00%	7.17%	5.25%
Yes	N/A	76.92%	29	64.44%	57.37%	74.75%
Total	N/A	100.00%	45	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Gender	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer #	2019 Larimer %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Doesn't Identify	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.34%
Female	40.00%	38.46%	13	28.89%	39.92%	35.17%
Male	60.00%	61.54%	32	71.11%	57.20%	60.17%
Transgender	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	1.23%	2.07%
Minority Gender ID	N/A	0.00%	0	0.00%	1.65%	2.24%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	45	100.00%	98.35%	100.00%
Sexual Orientation	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer #	2019 Larimer %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Bisexual	N/A	14.29%	0	0.00%	5.84%	8.27%
Gay/Lesbian	N/A	0.00%	0	0.00%	1.95%	1.60%
Straight	N/A	71.43%	23	95.83%	83.77%	81.60%
Minority Orientation	N/A	14.29%	1	4.17%	8.44%	8.53%
Total	N/A	100.00%	24	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Age by #	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer #	2019 Larimer %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
11-17	40.74%	0.00%	3	16.67%	29.86%	14.65%
18	33.33%	66.67%	3	16.67%	15.97%	10.19%
19	18.52%	33.33%	4	22.22%	18.75%	13.38%
20	7.41%	0.00%	2	11.11%	7.64%	13.38%
21	0.00%	0.00%	2	11.11%	5.56%	8.70%
22	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	6.94%	12.95%
23	0.00%	0.00%	4	22.22%	6.94%	13.59%
24	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	8.33%	13.16%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	18	100.00%	1	1

# Larimer

Race	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer #	2019 Larimer %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.00%	0.00%	1	2.78%	6.01%	6.03%
Asian	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.37%
Black	7.89%	0.00%	1	2.78%	5.58%	12.07%
Multiple Races	13.16%	23.08%	8	22.22%	11.16%	14.81%
Native Hawaiian	2.63%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.86%	1.10%
White	76.32%	76.92%	26	72.22%	76.39%	65.63%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	36	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Ethnicity	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer #	2019 Larimer %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Hispanic	33.33%	16.67%	13	37.14%	34.07%	32.04%
Non Hispanic	66.67%	83.33%	22	62.86%	65.93%	67.96%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	35	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Disability	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer #	2019 Larimer %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	65.91%	53.85%	15	39.47%	46.58%	43.62%
Yes	34.09%	46.15%	23	60.53%	53.42%	56.38%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	38	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Disability Type	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer #	2019 Larimer %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Mental Health	87.50%	83.33%	18	78.26%	52.00%	52.46%
Physical Health	12.50%	0.00%	1	4.35%	6.40%	6.56%
Both	N/A	16.67%	2	8.70%	9.60%	15.74%
Substance Use	N/A	N/A	6	26.09%	47.20%	52.13%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	27	117.39%	115.20%	126.89%
Domestic Violence	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer #	2019 Larimer %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	83.72%	100.00%	34	89.47%	89.27%	89.54%
Yes	16.28%	0.00%	4	10.53%	10.73%	10.46%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	38	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

# Larimer

Foster Care	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer #	2019 Larimer %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	61.22%	62.50%	12	50.00%	69.62%	61.15%
Yes	38.78%	37.50%	12	50.00%	30.38%	38.85%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	24	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Corrections	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer #	2019 Larimer %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	67.35%	77.78%	7	29.17%	56.58%	46.41%
Yes	32.65%	22.22%	17	70.83%	43.42%	53.59%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	24	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
With Children	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer #	2019 Larimer %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	86.00%	100.00%	17	68.00%	80.36%	77.53%
Yes	14.00%	0.00%	8	32.00%	19.64%	22.47%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	25	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
In School	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer #	2019 Larimer %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	38.10%	77.78%	20	83.33%	57.86%	68.23%
Yes	61.90%	22.22%	4	16.67%	42.14%	31.77%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	24	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer #	2019 Larimer %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
HS Grad/GED	42.11%	57.14%	9	40.91%	62.96%	57.32%
HS Drop Out	57.89%	42.86%	13	59.09%	37.04%	42.68%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	22	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Currently Earning Money	2017 Larimer %	2018 Larimer %	2019 Larimer #	2019 Larimer %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	51.06%	66.67%	13	54.17%	49.36%	41.70%
Yes	48.94%	33.33%	11	45.83%	50.64%	58.30%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	24	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%



# Mesa

HUD Def	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa #	2019 Mesa %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	N/A	100.00%	28	47.46%	35.46%	20.00%
Unknown	N/A	0.00%	3	5.08%	7.17%	5.25%
Yes	N/A	0.00%	28	47.46%	57.37%	74.75%
Total	N/A	100.00%	59	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Gender	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa #	2019 Mesa %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Doesn't Identify	1.35%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.34%
Female	45.95%	38.89%	23	41.07%	39.92%	35.17%
Male	51.35%	61.11%	28	50.00%	57.20%	60.17%
Transgender	1.35%	0.00%	2	3.57%	1.23%	2.07%
Minority Gender ID	N/A	0.00%	3	5.36%	1.65%	2.24%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	56	100.00%	98.35%	100.00%
Sexual Orientation	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa #	2019 Mesa %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Bisexual	N/A	12.50%	6	13.04%	5.84%	8.27%
Gay/Lesbian	N/A	12.50%	1	2.17%	1.95%	1.60%
Straight	N/A	75.00%	30	65.22%	83.77%	81.60%
Minority Orientation	N/A	0.00%	9	19.57%	8.44%	8.53%
Total	N/A	100.00%	46	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Age by #	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa #	2019 Mesa %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
11-17	21.61%	23.08%	3	7.32%	29.86%	14.65%
18	20.27%	30.77%	5	12.20%	15.97%	10.19%
19	21.62%	7.69%	11	26.83%	18.75%	13.38%
20	6.76%	7.69%	7	17.07%	7.64%	13.38%
21	8.11%	0.00%	5	12.20%	5.56%	8.70%
22	8.11%	0.00%	3	7.32%	6.94%	12.95%
23	8.11%	23.08%	3	7.32%	6.94%	13.59%
24	5.41%	7.69%	4	9.76%	8.33%	13.16%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	41	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

# Mesa

Race	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa #	2019 Mesa %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	6.85%	0.00%	1	1.82%	6.01%	6.03%
Asian	1.37%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.37%
Black	4.11%	0.00%	1	1.82%	5.58%	12.07%
Multiple Races	4.11%	15.79%	4	7.27%	11.16%	14.81%
Native Hawaiian	1.37%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.86%	1.10%
White	82.19%	84.21%	49	89.09%	76.39%	65.63%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	55	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Ethnicity	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa #	2019 Mesa %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Hispanic	14.86%	16.67%	4	7.55%	34.07%	32.04%
Non Hispanic	85.14%	83.33%	49	92.45%	65.93%	67.96%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	53	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Disability	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa #	2019 Mesa %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	62.16%	71.43%	6	11.11%	46.58%	43.62%
Yes	37.84%	28.57%	48	88.89%	53.42%	56.38%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	54	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Disability Type	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa #	2019 Mesa %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Mental Health	70.59%	75.00%	14	29.17%	52.00%	52.46%
Physical Health	29.41%	25.00%	1	2.08%	6.40%	6.56%
Both	N/A	0.00%	3	6.25%	9.60%	15.74%
Substance Use	N/A	N/A	35	72.92%	47.20%	52.13%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	53	110.42%	115.20%	126.89%
Domestic Violence	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa #	2019 Mesa %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	60.81%	94.74%	49	92.45%	89.27%	89.54%
Yes	39.19%	5.26%	4	7.55%	10.73%	10.46%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	53	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

# Mesa

Foster Care	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa #	2019 Mesa %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	62.34%	55.56%	27	58.70%	69.62%	61.15%
Yes	37.66%	44.44%	19	41.30%	30.38%	38.85%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	46	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Corrections	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa #	2019 Mesa %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	55.84%	75.00%	13	30.23%	56.58%	46.41%
Yes	44.16%	25.00%	30	69.77%	43.42%	53.59%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	43	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
With Children	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa #	2019 Mesa %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	84.42%	94.44%	39	84.78%	80.36%	77.53%
Yes	15.58%	5.56%	7	15.22%	19.64%	22.47%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	46	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
In School	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa #	2019 Mesa %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	62.34%	71.43%	33	71.74%	57.86%	68.23%
Yes	37.66%	28.57%	13	28.26%	42.14%	31.77%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	46	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa #	2019 Mesa %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
HS Grad/GED	68.09%	40.00%	20	71.43%	62.96%	57.32%
HS Drop Out	31.91%	60.00%	8	28.57%	37.04%	42.68%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	28	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Currently Earning Money	2017 Mesa %	2018 Mesa %	2019 Mesa #	2019 Mesa %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	67.53%	64.29%	29	63.04%	49.36%	41.70%
Yes	32.47%	35.71%	17	36.96%	50.64%	58.30%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	46	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

# Morgan

HUD Def	2019 Morgan #	2019 Morgan %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	8	50.00%	35.46%	20.00%
Unknown	2	12.50%	7.17%	5.25%
Yes	6	37.50%	57.37%	74.75%
Total	16	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Gender	2019 Morgan #	2019 Morgan %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Doesn't Identify	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.34%
Female	4	28.57%	39.92%	35.17%
Male	10	71.43%	57.20%	60.17%
Transgender	0	0.00%	1.23%	2.07%
Minority Gender ID	0	0.00%	1.65%	2.24%
Total	14	100.00%	98.35%	100.00%

Sexual Orientation	2019 Morgan #	2019 Morgan %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Bisexual	0	0.00%	5.84%	8.27%
Gay/Lesbian	1	8.33%	1.95%	1.60%
Straight	10	83.33%	83.77%	81.60%
Minority Orientation	1	8.33%	8.44%	8.53%
Total	12	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Age by #	2019 Morgan #	2019 Morgan %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
11-17	4	28.57%	29.86%	14.65%
18	3	21.43%	15.97%	10.19%
19	1	7.14%	18.75%	13.38%
20	1	7.14%	7.64%	13.38%
21	0	0.00%	5.56%	8.70%
22	1	7.14%	6.94%	12.95%
23	2	14.29%	6.94%	13.59%
24	2	14.29%	8.33%	13.16%
Total	14	100.00%	1	1

# Morgan

Race	2019 Morgan #	2019 Morgan %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1	6.25%	6.01%	6.03%
Asian	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.37%
Black	8	50.00%	5.58%	12.07%
Multiple Races	0	0.00%	11.16%	14.81%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.00%	0.86%	1.10%
White	7	43.75%	76.39%	65.63%
Total	16	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Ethnicity	2019 Morgan #	2019 Morgan %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Hispanic	3	18.75%	34.07%	32.04%
Non Hispanic	13	81.25%	65.93%	67.96%
Total	16	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Disability	2019 Morgan #	2019 Morgan %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	14	87.50%	46.58%	43.62%
Yes	2	12.50%	53.42%	56.38%
Total	16	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Disability Type	2019 Morgan #	2019 Morgan %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Mental Health	2	100.00%	52.00%	52.46%
Physical Health	0	0.00%	6.40%	6.56%
Both	0	0.00%	9.60%	15.74%
Substance Use	0	0.00%	47.20%	52.13%
Total	2	100.00%	115.20%	126.89%
Domestic Violence	2019 Morgan #	2019 Morgan %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	15	93.75%	89.27%	89.54%
Yes	1	6.25%	10.73%	10.46%
Total	16	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

# Morgan

Foster Care	2019 Morgan #	2019 Morgan %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	10	76.92%	69.62%	61.15%
Yes	3	23.08%	30.38%	38.85%
Total	13	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Corrections	2019 Morgan #	2019 Morgan %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	10	83.33%	56.58%	46.41%
Yes	2	16.67%	43.42%	53.59%
Total	12	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
With Children	2019 Morgan #	2019 Morgan %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	11	84.62%	80.36%	77.53%
Yes	2	15.38%	19.64%	22.47%
Total	13	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
In School	2019 Morgan #	2019 Morgan %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	10	71.43%	57.86%	68.23%
Yes	4	28.57%	42.14%	31.77%
Total	14	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2019 Morgan #	2019 Morgan %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
HS Grad/GED	7	87.50%	62.96%	57.32%
HS Drop Out	1	12.50%	37.04%	42.68%
Total	8	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Currently Earning Money	2019 Morgan #	2019 Morgan %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	4	30.77%	49.36%	41.70%
Yes	9	69.23%	50.64%	58.30%
Total	13	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%



# Pueblo

HUD Def	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo #	2019 Pueblo %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	N/A	4	13.33%	35.46%	20.00%
Unknown	N/A	0	0.00%	7.17%	5.25%
Yes	N/A	26	86.67%	57.37%	74.75%
Total	N/A	30	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Gender	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo #	2019 Pueblo %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Doesn't Identify	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.34%
Female	43.75%	12	40.00%	39.92%	35.17%
Male	55.63%	18	60.00%	57.20%	60.17%
Transgender	0.63%	0	0.00%	1.23%	2.07%
Minority Gender ID	0.00%	0	0.00%	1.65%	2.24%
Total	100.01%	30	100.00%	98.35%	100.00%
Sexual Orientation	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo #	2019 Pueblo %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Bisexual	N/A	0	0.00%	5.84%	8.27%
Gay/Lesbian	N/A	0	0.00%	1.95%	1.60%
Straight	N/A	3	100.00%	83.77%	81.60%
Minority Orientation	N/A	0	0.00%	8.44%	8.53%
Total	N/A	3	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Age by #	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo #	2019 Pueblo %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
11-17	N/A	0	0.00%	29.86%	14.65%
18	N/A	0	0.00%	15.97%	10.19%
19	N/A	1	33.33%	18.75%	13.38%
20	N/A	0	0.00%	7.64%	13.38%
21	N/A	1	33.33%	5.56%	8.70%
22	N/A	1	33.33%	6.94%	12.95%
23	N/A	0	0.00%	6.94%	13.59%
24	N/A	0	0.00%	8.33%	13.16%
Total	N/A	3	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%



# Pueblo

Race	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo #	2019 Pueblo %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	6.40%	5	17.24%	6.01%	6.03%
Asian	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.37%
Black	6.40%	2	6.90%	5.58%	12.07%
Multiple Races	1.16%	1	3.45%	11.16%	14.81%
Native Hawaiian	2.33%	0	0.00%	0.86%	1.10%
White	83.72%	21	72.41%	76.39%	65.63%
Total	100.01%	29	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Ethnicity	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo #	2019 Pueblo %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Hispanic	41.76%	13	46.43%	34.07%	32.04%
Non Hispanic	58.24%	15	53.57%	65.93%	67.96%
Total	100.00%	28	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Disability	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo #	2019 Pueblo %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	88.24%	13	44.83%	46.58%	43.62%
Yes	11.76%	16	55.17%	53.42%	56.38%
Total	100.00%	29	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Disability Type	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo #	2019 Pueblo %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Mental Health	N/A	12	75.00%	52.00%	52.46%
Physical Health	N/A	1	6.25%	6.40%	6.56%
Both	N/A	2	12.50%	9.60%	15.74%
Substance Use	N/A	7	43.75%	47.20%	52.13%
Total	N/A	22	137.50%	115.20%	126.89%
Domestic Violence	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo #	2019 Pueblo %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	N/A	49	92.45%	89.27%	89.54%
Yes	N/A	4	7.55%	10.73%	10.46%
Total	N/A	53	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

# Pueblo

Foster Care	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo #	2019 Pueblo %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	N/A	27	58.70%	69.62%	61.15%
Yes	N/A	19	41.30%	30.38%	38.85%
Total	N/A	46	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Corrections	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo #	2019 Pueblo %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	N/A	13	30.23%	56.58%	46.41%
Yes	N/A	30	69.77%	43.42%	53.59%
Total	N/A	43	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
With Children	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo #	2019 Pueblo %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	N/A	39	84.78%	80.36%	77.53%
Yes	N/A	7	15.22%	19.64%	22.47%
Total	N/A	46	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
In School	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo #	2019 Pueblo %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	N/A	33	71.74%	57.86%	68.23%
Yes	N/A	13	28.26%	42.14%	31.77%
Total	N/A	46	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo #	2019 Pueblo %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
HS Grad/GED	N/A	20	71.43%	62.96%	57.32%
HS Drop Out	N/A	8	28.57%	37.04%	42.68%
Total	N/A	28	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Currently Earning Money	2017 Pueblo %	2019 Pueblo #	2019 Pueblo %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	N/A	29	63.04%	49.36%	41.70%
Yes	N/A	17	36.96%	50.64%	58.30%
Total	N/A	46	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

# Weld

HUD Def	2017 Weld %	20109 Weld #	2019 Weld %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	N/A	23	65.71%	35.46%	20.00%
Unknown	N/A	3	8.57%	7.17%	5.25%
Yes	N/A	9	25.71%	57.37%	74.75%
Total	N/A	35	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Gender	2017 Weld %	20109 Weld #	2019 Weld %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Doesn't Identify	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.34%
Female	40.00%	14	40.00%	39.92%	35.17%
Male	60.00%	20	57.14%	57.20%	60.17%
Transgender	0.00%	0	0.00%	1.23%	2.07%
Minority Gender ID	0.00%	1	2.86%	1.65%	2.24%
Total	100.00%	35	100.00%	98.35%	100.00%
Sexual Orientation	2017 Weld %	20109 Weld #	2019 Weld %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Bisexual	N/A	1	3.23%	5.84%	8.27%
Gay/Lesibian	N/A	1	3.23%	1.95%	1.60%
Straight	N/A	29	93.55%	83.77%	81.60%
Minority Orientation	N/A	0	0.00%	8.44%	8.53%
Total	N/A	31	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Age by #	2017 Weld %	20109 Weld #	2019 Weld %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
11-17	40.74%	14	43.75%	29.86%	14.65%
18	33.33%	7	21.88%	15.97%	10.19%
19	18.52%	5	15.63%	18.75%	13.38%
20	7.41%	1	3.13%	7.64%	13.38%
21	0.00%	0	0.00%	5.56%	8.70%
22	0.00%	1	3.13%	6.94%	12.95%
23	0.00%	1	3.13%	6.94%	13.59%
24	0.00%	3	9.38%	8.33%	13.16%
Total	100.00%	32	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

# Weld

Race	2017 Weld %	20109 Weld #	2019 Weld %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.00%	1	2.86%	6.01%	6.03%
Asian	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.37%
Black	7.89%	0	0.00%	5.58%	12.07%
Multiple Races	13.16%	4	11.43%	11.16%	14.81%
Native Hawaiian	2.63%	1	2.86%	0.86%	1.10%
White	76.32%	29	82.86%	76.39%	65.63%
Total	100.00%	35	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Ethnicity	2017 Weld %	20109 Weld #	2019 Weld %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Hispanic	33.33%	20	57.14%	34.07%	32.04%
Non Hispanic	66.67%	15	42.86%	65.93%	67.96%
Total	100.00%	35	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Disability	2017 Weld %	20109 Weld #	2019 Weld %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	65.91%	21	60.00%	46.58%	43.62%
Yes	34.09%	14	40.00%	53.42%	56.38%
Total	100.00%	35	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Disability Type	2017 Weld %	20109 Weld #	2019 Weld %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
Mental Health	87.50%	12	85.71%	52.00%	52.46%
Physical Health	12.50%	0	0.00%	6.40%	6.56%
Both	N/A	2	14.29%	9.60%	15.74%
Substance Use	N/A	4	28.57%	47.20%	52.13%
Total	100.00%	18	128.57%	115.20%	126.89%
Domestic Violence	2017 Weld %	20109 Weld #	2019 Weld %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	83.72%	28	80.00%	89.27%	89.54%
Yes	16.28%	7	20.00%	10.73%	10.46%
Total	100.00%	35	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

# Weld

Foster Care	2017 Weld %	20109 Weld #	2019 Weld %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	80.00%	25	78.13%	69.62%	61.15%
Yes	20.00%	7	21.88%	30.38%	38.85%
Total	100.00%	32	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Corrections	2017 Weld %	20109 Weld #	2019 Weld %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	60.00%	8	25.81%	56.58%	46.41%
Yes	40.00%	23	74.19%	43.42%	53.59%
Total	100.00%	31	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
With Children	2017 Weld %	20109 Weld #	2019 Weld %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	66.67%	28	87.50%	80.36%	77.53%
Yes	33.33%	4	12.50%	19.64%	22.47%
Total	100.00%	32	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
In School	2017 Weld %	20109 Weld #	2019 Weld %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	66.67%	9	28.13%	57.86%	68.23%
Yes	33.33%	23	71.88%	42.14%	31.77%
Total	100.00%	32	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2017 Weld %	20109 Weld #	2019 Weld %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
HS Grad/GED	80.00%	5	62.50%	62.96%	57.32%
HS Drop Out	20.00%	3	37.50%	37.04%	42.68%
Total	100.00%	8	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Currently Earning Money	2017 Weld %	20109 Weld #	2019 Weld %	2019 BoS %	2019 State %
No	45.45%	12	38.71%	49.36%	41.70%
Yes	54.55%	19	61.29%	50.64%	58.30%
Total	100.00%	31	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%



# El Paso

HUD Definition	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso #	2019 EI Paso %	2019 State %
No	13.51%	13.08%	13	18.84%	20.00%
Unknown	N/A	0.00%	0	0.00%	5.25%
Yes	86.49%	86.92%	56	81.16%	74.75%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	69	100.00%	100.00%
Gender	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso #	2019 EI Paso %	2019 State %
Doesn't Identify	0.00%	3.08%	0	0.00%	0.34%
Female	34.00%	41.54%	20	28.99%	35.17%
Male	64.00%	53.08%	47	68.12%	60.17%
Transgender	2.00%	1.54%	1	1.45%	2.07%
Minority Gender Id	N/A	0.77%	1	1.45%	2.24%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	69	100.00%	100.00%
Sexual Orientation	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso #	2019 EI Paso %	2019 State %
Bisexual	N/A	9.43%	8	15.38%	8.27%
Gay/Lesbian	N/A	0.00%	0	0.00%	1.60%
Straight	N/A	77.36%	41	78.85%	81.60%
Minority Orientation	N/A	13.21%	3	5.77%	8.53%
Total	N/A	100.00%	52	100.00%	100.00%
Age by Number	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso #	2019 EI Paso %	2019 State %
11-17	6.45%	1.56%	2	2.90%	14.65%
18	17.74%	8.59%	9	13.04%	10.19%
19	22.58%	9.38%	9	13.04%	13.38%
20	20.97%	12.50%	10	14.49%	13.38%
21	14.52%	17.19%	11	15.94%	8.70%
22	8.06%	17.97%	9	13.04%	12.95%
23	6.45%	14.06%	9	13.04%	13.59%
24	3.23%	18.75%	10	14.49%	13.16%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	69	100.00%	100.00%

# El Paso

Race	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso #	2019 EI Paso %	2019 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	2.00%	3.36%	2	2.90%	6.03%
Asian	2.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.37%
Black	24.00%	18.49%	12	17.39%	12.07%
Multiple Races	8.00%	3.36%	9	13.04%	14.81%
Native Hawaiian	0.00%	2.52%	0	0.00%	1.10%
White	64.00%	72.27%	46	66.67%	65.63%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	69	100.00%	100.00%

Ethnicity	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso #	2019 EI Paso %	2019 State %
Hispanic	24.49%	14.96%	16	23.19%	32.04%
Non Hispanic	75.51%	85.04%	53	76.81%	67.96%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	69	100.00%	100.00%

Disability	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso #	2019 EI Paso %	2019 State %
No	28.57%	37.39%	17	24.64%	43.62%
Yes	71.43%	62.61%	52	75.36%	56.38%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	69	100.00%	100.00%

Disability Type	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso #	2019 EI Paso %	2019 State %
Mental Health	82.93%	90.28%	36	69.23%	52.46%
Physical Health	17.07%	1.39%	3	5.77%	6.56%
Both	N/A	8.33%	9	17.31%	15.74%
Substance Use	N/A		22	42.31%	52.13%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	70	134.62%	126.89%

Domestic Violence	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso #	2019 EI Paso %	2019 State %
No	29.79%	88.06%	51	89.47%	89.54%
Yes	70.21%	11.94%	6	10.53%	10.46%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	57	100.00%	100.00%



# El Paso

Foster Care	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso #	2019 EI Paso %	2019 State %
No	69.77%	64.00%	28	50.91%	61.15%
Yes	30.23%	36.00%	27	49.09%	38.85%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	55	100.00%	100.00%
Corrections	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso #	2019 EI Paso %	2019 State %
No	51.22%	35.42%	17	31.48%	46.41%
Yes	48.78%	64.58%	37	68.52%	53.59%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	54	100.00%	100.00%
With Children	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso #	2019 EI Paso %	2019 State %
No	92.50%	81.13%	44	83.02%	77.53%
Yes	7.50%	18.87%	9	16.98%	22.47%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	53	100.00%	100.00%
In School	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso #	2019 EI Paso %	2019 State %
No	78.05%	89.09%	47	83.93%	68.23%
Yes	21.95%	10.91%	9	16.07%	31.77%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	56	100.00%	100.00%
High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso #	2019 EI Paso %	2019 State %
HS Grad/GED	43.33%	58.54%	23	50.00%	57.32%
HS Drop Out	56.67%	41.46%	23	50.00%	42.68%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	46	100.00%	100.00%
Has Income	2017 EI Paso %	2018 EI Paso %	2019 EI Paso #	2019 EI Paso %	2019 State %
No	78.95%	57.14%	28	49.12%	41.70%
Yes	21.05%	42.86%	29	50.88%	58.30%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	57	100.00%	100.00%

# Metro Denver Homeless Initiative

HUD Definition	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI #	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	7.05%	10.97%	16	5.99%	20.00%
Unknown	N/A	N/A	11	4.12%	5.25%
Yes	92.95%	89.03%	240	89.89%	74.75%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	267	100.00%	100.00%

Gender	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI #	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
Doesn't Identify	1.78%	1.56%	2	0.75%	0.34%
Female	23.49%	34.38%	87	32.71%	35.17%
Male	73.31%	62.50%	161	60.53%	60.17%
Transgender	1.42%	1.30%	8	3.01%	2.07%
Minority Gender Id	0.00%	0.26%	8	3.01%	2.24%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	266	100.00%	100.00%

Sexual Orientation	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI #	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
Bisexual	N/A	7.55%	14	8.38%	8.27%
Gay/Lesbian	N/A	6.92%	3	1.80%	1.60%
Straight	N/A	81.13%	134	80.24%	81.60%
Minority Orientation	N/A	4.40%	16	9.58%	8.53%
Total	N/A	100.00%	167	100.00%	100.00%

Age by Number	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI #	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
11-17	11.29%	4.24%	24	9.38%	14.65%
18	12.58%	8.79%	16	6.25%	10.19%
19	11.94%	13.94%	27	10.55%	13.38%
20	18.06%	11.82%	42	16.41%	13.38%
21	11.61%	13.33%	22	8.59%	8.70%
22	10.65%	15.45%	41	16.02%	12.95%
23	10.32%	14.85%	44	17.19%	13.59%
24	13.55%	17.58%	40	15.63%	13.16%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	256	100.00%	100.00%

# Metro Denver Homeless Initiative

Race	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI #	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	2.59%	3.00%	17	6.97%	6.03%
Asian	2.59%	1.12%	2	0.82%	0.37%
Black	19.26%	23.60%	41	16.80%	12.07%
Multiple Races	11.85%	10.86%	45	18.44%	14.81%
Native Hawaiian	0.00%	0.75%	4	1.64%	1.10%
White	63.70%	60.67%	135	55.33%	65.63%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	244	100.00%	100.00%

Ethnicity	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI #	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
Hispanic	20.96%	26.69%	80	32.92%	32.04%
Non Hispanic	79.04%	73.31%	163	67.08%	67.96%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	243	100.00%	100.00%

Disability	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI #	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	49.65%	60.14%	109	46.38%	43.62%
Yes	50.35%	39.86%	126	53.62%	56.38%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	235	100.00%	100.00%

Disability Type	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI #	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
Mental Health	72.93%	89.66%	59	46.83%	52.46%
Physical Health	27.07%	5.17%	9	7.14%	6.56%
Both	N/A	5.17%	26	20.63%	15.74%
Substance Use	N/A	N/A	76	60.32%	52.13%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	170	134.92%	126.89%

Domestic Violence	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI #	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	85.56%	93.13%	210	89.74%	89.54%
Yes	14.44%	6.87%	24	10.26%	10.46%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	234	100.00%	100.00%

# Metro Denver Homeless Initiative

Foster Care	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI #	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	50.93%	54.48%	95	57.23%	61.15%
Yes	49.07%	45.52%	71	42.77%	38.85%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	166	100.00%	100.00%
Corrections	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI #	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	40.25%	55.86%	65	42.21%	46.41%
Yes	59.75%	44.14%	89	57.79%	53.59%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	154	100.00%	100.00%
With Children	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI #	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	93.08%	81.72%	134	73.22%	77.53%
Yes	6.92%	18.28%	49	26.78%	22.47%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	183	100.00%	100.00%
In School	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI #	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	80.75%	63.82%	121	72.46%	68.23%
Yes	19.25%	36.18%	46	27.54%	31.77%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	167	100.00%	100.00%
High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI #	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
HS Grad/GED	70.87%	61.70%	66	56.41%	57.32%
HS Drop Out	29.13%	38.30%	51	43.59%	42.68%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	117	100.00%	100.00%
Currenting Receiving Income	2017 MDHI %	2018 MDHI %	2019 MDHI #	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	61.74%	39.49%	55	32.74%	41.70%
Yes	38.26%	60.51%	113	67.26%	58.30%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	168	100.00%	100.00%

# METRO Denver Homeless Initiative County Data

## Adams

HUD Def	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams #	2019 Adams %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	9.52%	3	15.79%	5.99%	20.00%
Unknown	N/A	1	5.26%	4.12%	5.25%
Yes	90.48%	15	78.95%	89.89%	74.75%
Total	100.00%	19	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Gender	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams #	2019 Adams %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
Doesn't Identify	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.75%	0.34%
Female	47.62%	7	38.89%	32.71%	35.17%
Male	52.38%	9	50.00%	60.53%	60.17%
Transgender	0.00%	0	0.00%	3.01%	2.07%
Minority Gender ID	0.00%	2	11.11%	3.01%	2.24%
Total	100.00%	18	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Sexual Orientation	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams #	2019 Adams %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
Bisexual	0.00%	0	0.00%	8.38%	8.27%
Gay/Lesbian	0.00%	0	0.00%	1.80%	1.60%
Straight	100.00%	6	75.00%	80.24%	81.60%
Minority Orientation	0.00%	2	25.00%	9.58%	8.53%
Total	100.00%	8	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Age by #	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams #	2019 Adams %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
11-17	6.25%	0	0.00%	9.38%	14.65%
18	6.25%	0	0.00%	6.25%	10.19%
19	12.50%	1	7.14%	10.55%	13.38%
20	12.50%	3	21.43%	16.41%	13.38%
21	12.50%	1	7.14%	8.59%	8.70%
22	6.25%	3	21.43%	16.02%	12.95%
23	18.75%	4	28.57%	17.19%	13.59%
24	25.00%	2	14.29%	15.63%	13.16%
Total	100.00%	14	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

# Adams

Race	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams #	2019 Adams %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.00%	0	0	6.97%	6.03%
Asian	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.82%	0.37%
Black	30.77%	1	7.14%	16.80%	12.07%
Multiple Races	0.00%	4	28.57%	18.44%	14.81%
Native Hawaiian	0.00%	0	0.00%	1.64%	1.10%
White	69.23%	9	64.29%	55.33%	65.63%
Total	100.00%	14	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Ethnicity	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams #	2019 Adams %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
Hispanic	53.33%	7	53.85%	32.92%	32.04%
Non Hispanic	46.67%	6	46.15%	67.08%	67.96%
Total	100.00%	13	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Disability	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams #	2019 Adams %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	53.33%	9	64.29%	46.38%	43.62%
Yes	46.67%	5	35.71%	53.62%	56.38%
Total	100.00%	14	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Disability Type	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams #	2019 Adams %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
Mental Health	85.71%	3	60.00%	46.83%	52.46%
Physical Health	14.29%	0	0.00%	7.14%	6.56%
Both	N/A	0	0.00%	20.63%	15.74%
Substance Use	N/A	5	100.00%	60.32%	52.13%
Total	100.00%	8	160.00%	134.92%	126.89%
Domestic Violence	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams #	2019 Adams %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	100.00%	12	85.71%	89.74%	89.54%
Yes	0.00%	2	14.29%	10.26%	10.46%
Total	100.00%	14	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%



# Adams

Foster Care	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams #	2019 Adams %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	50.00%	7	87.50%	57.23%	61.15%
Yes	50.00%	1	12.50%	42.77%	38.85%
Total	100.00%	8	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Corrections	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams #	2019 Adams %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	83.33%	5	62.50%	42.21%	46.41%
Yes	16.67%	3	37.50%	57.79%	53.59%
Total	100.00%	8	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
With Children	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams #	2019 Adams %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	71.43%	6	60.00%	73.22%	77.53%
Yes	28.57%	4	40.00%	26.78%	22.47%
Total	100.00%	10	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
In School	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams #	2019 Adams %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	20.00%	7	87.50%	72.46%	68.23%
Yes	80.00%	1	12.50%	27.54%	31.77%
Total	100.00%	8	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams #	2019 Adams %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
HS Grad/GED	100.00%	2	28.57%	56.41%	57.32%
HS Drop Out	0.00%	5	71.43%	43.59%	42.68%
Total	100.00%	7	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Currenting Receiving Income	2018 Adams %	2019 Adams #	2019 Adams %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	33.33%	3	37.50%	32.74%	41.70%
Yes	66.67%	5	62.50%	67.26%	58.30%
Total	100.00%	8	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%



# Arapahoe

HUD Def	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe #	2019 Arapahoe %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	N/A	N/A	1	6.67%	5.99%	20.00%
Unknown	N/A	N/A	0	0.00%	4.12%	5.25%
Yes	N/A	N/A	14	93.33%	89.89%	74.75%
Total	N/A	N/A	15	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Gender	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe #	2019 Arapahoe %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
Doesn't Identify	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.75%	0.34%
Female	33.33%	16.67%	7	46.67%	32.71%	35.17%
Male	66.67%	75.00%	8	53.33%	60.53%	60.17%
Transgender	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	3.01%	2.07%
Minority Gender ID	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	3.01%	2.24%
Total	100.00%	91.67%	15	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Sexual Orientation	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe #	2019 Arapahoe %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
Bisexual	N/A	14.29%	2	20.00%	8.38%	8.27%
Gay/Lesbian	N/A	28.57%	0	0.00%	1.80%	1.60%
Straight	N/A	57.14%	8	80.00%	80.24%	81.60%
Minority Orientation	N/A	0.00%	0	0.00%	9.58%	8.53%
Total	N/A	100.00%	10	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Age by #	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe #	2019 Arapahoe %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
11-17	5.26%	0.00%	1	7.14%	9.38%	14.65%
18	15.79%	8.33%	1	7.14%	6.25%	10.19%
19	15.79%	16.67%	0	0.00%	10.55%	13.38%
20	15.79%	16.67%	3	21.43%	16.41%	13.38%
21	5.26%	20.83%	2	14.29%	8.59%	8.70%
22	5.26%	8.33%	2	14.29%	16.02%	12.95%
23	21.05%	16.67%	2	14.29%	17.19%	13.59%
24	15.79%	12.50%	3	21.43%	15.63%	13.16%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	14	100.00%	100.01%	100.00%

# Arapahoe

Race	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe #	2019 Arapahoe %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	6.97%	6.03%
Asian	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.82%	0.37%
Black	50.00%	28.57%	5	33.33%	16.80%	12.07%
Multiple Races	31.25%	21.43%	4	26.67%	18.44%	14.81%
Native Hawaiian	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	1.64%	1.10%
White	18.78%	50.00%	6	40.00%	55.33%	65.63%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	15	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Ethnicity	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe #	2019 Arapahoe %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
Hispanic	11.76%	37.50%	3	21.43%	32.92%	32.04%
Non Hispanic	88.24%	62.50%	11	78.57%	67.08%	67.96%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	14	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Disability	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe #	2019 Arapahoe %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	42.11%	37.50%	8		46.38%	43.62%
Yes	57.89%	62.50%	7		53.62%	56.38%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	15	0.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Disability Type	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe #	2019 Arapahoe %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
Mental Health	71.43%	90.00%	3	42.86%	46.83%	52.46%
Physical Health	28.57%	0.00%	1	14.29%	7.14%	6.56%
Both	N/A	10.00%	1	14.29%	20.63%	15.74%
Substance Use	N/A	N/A	3	42.86%	60.32%	52.13%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	8	114.29%	134.92%	126.89%
Domestic Violence	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe #	2019 Arapahoe %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	84.21%	87.50%	17	94.44%	89.74%	89.54%
Yes	15.79%	12.50%	1	5.56%	10.26%	10.46%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	18	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

# Arapahoe

Foster Care	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe #	2019 Arapahoe %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	33.33%	62.50%	7	77.78%	57.23%	61.15%
Yes	66.67%	37.50%	2	22.22%	42.77%	38.85%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	9	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Corrections	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe #	2019 Arapahoe %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	50.00%	87.50%	8	88.89%	42.21%	46.41%
Yes	50.00%	12.50%	1	11.11%	57.79%	53.59%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	9	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
With Children	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe #	2019 Arapahoe %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	83.33%	100.00%	7	77.78%	73.22%	77.53%
Yes	16.67%	0.00%	2	22.22%	26.78%	22.47%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	9	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
In School	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe #	2019 Arapahoe %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	83.33%	75.00%	9	100.00%	72.46%	68.23%
Yes	16.67%	25.00%	0	0.00%	27.54%	31.77%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	9	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe #	2019 Arapahoe %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
HS Grad/GED	40.00%	100.00%	5	55.56%	56.41%	57.32%
HS Drop Out	60.00%	0.00%	4	44.44%	43.59%	42.68%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	9	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Currenting Receiving Income	2017 Arapahoe %	2018 Arapahoe %	2019 Arapahoe #	2019 Arapahoe %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	66.67%	28.57%	6	66.67%	32.74%	41.70%
Yes	33.33%	71.43%	3	33.33%	67.26%	58.30%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	9	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

# Boulder

HUD Def	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder #	2019 Boulder %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	N/A	N/A	3	6.98%	5.99%	20.00%
Unknown	N/A	N/A	4	9.30%	4.12%	5.25%
Yes	N/A	N/A	36	83.72%	89.89%	74.75%
Total	N/A	N/A	43	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Gender	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder #	2019 Boulder %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
Doesn't Identify	1.35%	0.00%	1	2.33%	0.75%	0.34%
Female	25.68%	44.44%	15	34.88%	32.71%	35.17%
Male	72.97%	55.56%	23	53.49%	60.53%	60.17%
Transgender	0.00%	0.00%	1	2.33%	3.01%	2.07%
Minority Gender ID	0.26%	0.00%	3	6.98%	3.01%	2.24%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	43	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Sexual Orientation	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder #	2019 Boulder %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
Bisexual	N/A	20.00%	0	0.00%	8.38%	8.27%
Gay/Lesbian	N/A	0.00%	0	0.00%	1.80%	1.60%
Straight	N/A	80.00%	20	83.33%	80.24%	81.60%
Minority Orientation	N/A	0.00%	4	16.67%	9.58%	8.53%
Total	N/A	100.00%	24	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Age by #	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder #	2019 Boulder %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
11-17	21.34%	0.00%	2	4.88%	9.38%	14.65%
18	12.36%	20.00%	6	14.63%	6.25%	10.19%
19	11.24%	24.00%	7	17.07%	10.55%	13.38%
20	17.98%	16.00%	7	17.07%	16.41%	13.38%
21	6.74%	16.00%	3	7.32%	8.59%	8.70%
22	12.36%	8.00%	4	9.76%	16.02%	12.95%
23	4.49%	4.00%	3	7.32%	17.19%	13.59%
24	14.61%	8.00%	9	21.95%	15.63%	13.16%
Total	100.00%	96.00%	41	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

# Boulder

Race	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder #	2019 Boulder %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	4.11%	10.00%	2	4.88%	6.97%	6.03%
Asian	0.00%	5.00%	1	2.44%	0.82%	0.37%
Black	4.11%	10.00%	2	4.88%	16.80%	12.07%
Multiple Races	6.85%	15.00%	11	26.83%	18.44%	14.81%
Native Hawaiian	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	1.64%	1.10%
White	84.93%	60.00%	25	60.98%	55.33%	65.63%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	41	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Ethnicity	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder #	2019 Boulder %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
Hispanic	21.43%	43.48%	17	40.48%	32.92%	32.04%
Non Hispanic	78.57%	56.52%	25	59.52%	67.08%	67.96%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	42	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Disability	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder #	2019 Boulder %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	72.00%	56.52%	26	61.90%	46.38%	43.62%
Yes	28.00%	43.48%	16	38.10%	53.62%	56.38%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	42	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Disability Type	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder #	2019 Boulder %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
Mental Health	61.54%	80.00%	8	50.00%	46.83%	52.46%
Physical Health	38.46%	20.00%	0	0.00%	7.14%	6.56%
Both	N/A	0.00%	4	25.00%	20.63%	15.74%
Substance Use	N/A	N/A	7	43.75%	60.32%	52.13%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	19	118.75%	134.92%	126.89%

Domestic Violence	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder #	2019 Boulder %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	90.67%	91.30%	37	88.10%	89.74%	89.54%
Yes	9.33%	8.70%	5	11.90%	10.26%	10.46%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	42	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%



# Boulder

Foster Care	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder #	2019 Boulder %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	63.83%	70.00%	14	56.00%	57.23%	61.15%
Yes	36.17%	30.00%	11	44.00%	42.77%	38.85%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	25	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Corrections	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder #	2019 Boulder %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	54.17%	25.00%	12	52.17%	42.21%	46.41%
Yes	45.83%	75.00%	11	47.83%	57.79%	53.59%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	23	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
With Children	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder #	2019 Boulder %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	89.58%	85.19%	23	67.65%	73.22%	77.53%
Yes	10.42%	14.81%	11	32.35%	26.78%	22.47%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	34	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
In School	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder #	2019 Boulder %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	82.98%	100.00%	18	72.00%	72.46%	68.23%
Yes	17.02%	0.00%	7	28.00%	27.54%	31.77%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	25	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder #	2019 Boulder %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
HS Grad/GED	71.79%	20.00%	11	61.11%	56.41%	57.32%
HS Drop Out	28.21%	80.00%	7	38.89%	43.59%	42.68%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	18	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Currenting Receiving Income	2017 Boulder %	2018 Boulder %	2019 Boulder #	2019 Boulder %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	54.55%	50.00%	6	24.00%	32.74%	41.70%
Yes	45.45%	50.00%	19	76.00%	67.26%	58.30%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	25	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

# Denver

HUD Def	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver #	2019 Denver %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	N/A	N/A	8	4.79%	5.99%	20.00%
Unknown	N/A	N/A	4	2.40%	4.12%	5.25%
Yes	N/A	N/A	155	92.81%	89.89%	74.75%
Total	N/A	N/A	167	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Gender	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver #	2019 Denver %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
Doesn't Identify	2.21%	1.93%	1	0.60%	0.75%	0.34%
Female	22.10%	31.60%	56	33.53%	32.71%	35.17%
Male	73.48%	64.86%	102	61.08%	60.53%	60.17%
Transgender	2.21%	1.54%	5	2.99%	3.01%	2.07%
Minority Gender ID	N/A	0.00%	3	1.80%	3.01%	2.24%
Total	100.00%	99.93%	167	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Sexual Orientation	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver #	2019 Denver %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
Bisexual	N/A	8.42%	12	11.11%	8.38%	8.27%
Gay/Lesibian	N/A	9.47%	3	2.78%	1.80%	1.60%
Straight	N/A	76.84%	84	77.78%	80.24%	81.60%
Minority Orientation	N/A	5.26%	9	8.33%	9.58%	8.53%
Total	N/A	100.00%	108	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Age by #	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver #	2019 Denver %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
11-17	3.76%	2.04%	5	3.03%	9.38%	14.65%
18	13.44%	8.16%	9	5.45%	6.25%	10.19%
19	12.37%	13.88%	19	11.52%	10.55%	13.38%
20	19.35%	10.61%	29	17.58%	16.41%	13.38%
21	15.05%	13.47%	15	9.09%	8.59%	8.70%
22	11.29%	17.14%	32	19.39%	16.02%	12.95%
23	11.83%	15.92%	31	18.79%	17.19%	13.59%
24	12.90%	18.78%	25	15.15%	15.63%	13.16%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	165	100.00%	100.01%	100.00%



# Denver

Race	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver #	2019 Denver %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	2.30%	2.88%	14	8.43%	6.97%	6.03%
Asian	4.02%	0.96%	1	0.60%	0.82%	0.37%
Black	23.56%	25.96%	31	18.67%	16.80%	12.07%
Multiple Races	12.07%	9.62%	25	15.06%	18.44%	14.81%
Native Hawaiian	0.00%	0.96%	4	2.41%	1.64%	1.10%
White	58.05%	59.62%	91	54.82%	55.33%	65.63%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	166	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Ethnicity	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver #	2019 Denver %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
Hispanic	21.59%	22.17%	51	30.72%	32.92%	32.04%
Non Hispanic	78.41%	77.83%	115	69.28%	67.08%	67.96%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	166	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Disability	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver #	2019 Denver %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	42.31%	61.99%	63	40.38%	46.38%	43.62%
Yes	57.69%	38.01%	93	59.62%	53.62%	56.38%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	156	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Disability Type	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver #	2019 Denver %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
Mental Health	74.81%	91.67%	41	44.09%	46.83%	52.46%
Physical Health	25.19%	3.57%	7	7.53%	7.14%	6.56%
Both	N/A	4.76%	21	22.58%	20.63%	15.74%
Substance Use	N/A	N/A	60	64.52%	60.32%	52.13%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	129	138.71%	134.92%	126.89%

Domestic Violence	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver #	2019 Denver %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	82.97%	93.67%	139	89.68%	89.74%	89.54%
Yes	17.03%	6.33%	16	10.32%	10.26%	10.46%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	155	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

# Denver

Foster Care	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver #	2019 Denver %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	46.23%	44.94%	62	59.62%	57.23%	61.15%
Yes	53.77%	55.06%	42	40.38%	42.77%	38.85%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	104	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Corrections	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver #	2019 Denver %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	32.04%	55.95%	34	35.79%	42.21%	46.41%
Yes	67.96%	44.05%	61	64.21%	57.79%	53.59%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	95	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
With Children	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver #	2019 Denver %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	95.15%	77.91%	84	73.68%	73.22%	77.53%
Yes	4.85%	22.09%	30	26.32%	26.78%	22.47%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	114	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
In School	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver #	2019 Denver %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	80.19%	76.67%	85	80.19%	72.46%	68.23%
Yes	19.81%	23.33%	21	19.81%	27.54%	31.77%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	106	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver #	2019 Denver %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
HS Grad/GED	71.95%	66.67%	47	57.32%	56.41%	57.32%
HS Drop Out	28.05%	33.33%	35	42.68%	43.59%	42.68%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	82	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Currenting Receiving Income	2017 Denver %	2018 Denver %	2019 Denver #	2019 Denver %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	65.63%	26.09%	39	36.79%	32.74%	41.70%
Yes	34.38%	73.91%	67	63.21%	67.26%	58.30%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	106	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

# Jefferson

HUD Def	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson #	2019 Jefferson %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	53.85%	1	4.55%	5.99%	20.00%
Unknown	N/A	1	4.55%	4.12%	5.25%
Yes	46.15%	20	90.91%	89.89%	74.75%
Total	100.00%	22	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Gender	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson #	2019 Jefferson %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
Doesn't Identify	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.75%	0.34%
Female	46.15%	2	9.52%	32.71%	35.17%
Male	53.85%	17	80.95%	60.53%	60.17%
Transgender	0.00%	2	9.52%	3.01%	2.07%
Minority Gender ID	0.00%	0	0.00%	3.01%	2.24%
Total	100.00%	21	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Sexual Orientation	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson #	2019 Jefferson %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
Bisexual	2.50%	0	0.00%	8.38%	8.27%
Gay/Lesbian	0.00%	0	0.00%	1.80%	1.60%
Straight	95.00%	19	95.00%	80.24%	81.60%
Minority Orientation	2.50%	1	5.00%	9.58%	8.53%
Total	100.00%	20	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Age by #	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson #	2019 Jefferson %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
11-17	36.84%	16	76.19%	9.38%	14.65%
18	5.26%	0	0.00%	6.25%	10.19%
19	0.00%	0	0.00%	10.55%	13.38%
20	15.79%	0	0.00%	16.41%	13.38%
21	0.00%	1	4.76%	8.59%	8.70%
22	21.05%	0	0.00%	16.02%	12.95%
23	10.53%	3	14.29%	17.19%	13.59%
24	10.53%	1	4.76%	15.63%	13.16%
Total	100.00%	21	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

# Jefferson

Race	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson #	2019 Jefferson %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.00%	1	14.29%	6.97%	6.03%
Asian	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.82%	0.37%
Black	0.00%	2	28.57%	16.80%	12.07%
Multiple Races	23.08%	1	14.29%	18.44%	14.81%
Native Hawaiian	0.00%	0	0.00%	1.64%	1.10%
White	76.92%	3	42.86%	55.33%	65.63%
Total	100.00%	7	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Ethnicity	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson #	2019 Jefferson %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
Hispanic	28.57%	2	28.57%	32.92%	32.04%
Non Hispanic	71.43%	5	71.43%	67.08%	67.96%
Total	100.00%	7	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Disability	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson #	2019 Jefferson %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	73.33%	3	42.86%	46.38%	43.62%
Yes	26.67%	4	57.14%	53.62%	56.38%
Total	100.00%	7	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Disability Type	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson #	2019 Jefferson %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
Mental Health	100.00%	3	75.00%	46.83%	52.46%
Physical Health	0.00%	1	25.00%	7.14%	6.56%
Both	0.00%	0	0.00%	20.63%	15.74%
Substance Use	N/A	1	25.00%	60.32%	52.13%
Total	100.00%	5	125.00%	134.92%	126.89%
Domestic Violence	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson #	2019 Jefferson %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	93.33%	7	100.00%	89.74%	89.54%
Yes	6.67%	0	0.00%	10.26%	10.46%
Total	100.00%	7	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

# Jefferson

Foster Care	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson #	2019 Jefferson %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	74.19%	5	25.00%	57.23%	61.15%
Yes	25.81%	15	75.00%	42.77%	38.85%
Total	100.00%	20	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Corrections	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson #	2019 Jefferson %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	50.00%	6	30.00%	42.21%	46.41%
Yes	50.00%	14	70.00%	57.79%	53.59%
Total	100.00%	20	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
With Children	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson #	2019 Jefferson %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	94.23%	17	89.47%	73.22%	77.53%
Yes	5.77%	2	10.53%	26.78%	22.47%
Total	100.00%	19	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
In School	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson #	2019 Jefferson %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	26.32%	2	10.53%	72.46%	68.23%
Yes	73.68%	17	89.47%	27.54%	31.77%
Total	100.00%	19	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
High School Graduation/GED v. HS Drop Out	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson #	2019 Jefferson %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
HS Grad/GED	44.44%	1	50.00%	56.41%	57.32%
HS Drop Out	55.56%	1	50.00%	43.59%	42.68%
Total	100.00%	2	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Currenting Receiving Income	2018 Jefferson %	2019 Jefferson #	2019 Jefferson %	2019 MDHI %	2019 State %
No	70.73%	1	5.00%	32.74%	41.70%
Yes	29.27%	19	95.00%	67.26%	58.30%
Total	100.00%	20	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%



**COLORADO**

Department of Local Affairs